COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1797-02 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 737

Subject: Energy; Taxation and Revenue - Property

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: March 8, 2011

Bill Summary: Would classify certain turbine generators as tangible personal property for

property tax purposes, and provide an assessment ratio of one percent of

value.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Blind Pension	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 1797-02 Bill No. HB 737 Page 2 of 5 March 8, 2011

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Local Government	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	

L.R. No. 1797-02 Bill No. HB 737 Page 3 of 5 March 8, 2011

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of Administration**, **Division of Budget and Planning** (BAP) assume this proposal would not result in additional costs or savings to their organization.

BAP officials stated that this proposal would classify certain turbines as tangible personal property, and lower the assessed valuation on such properties to 1% of their true value. If municipal governments are unable to adjust their levies, this may lower property tax receipts, including for schools. This proposal would also reduce Blind Pension Fund receipts. BAP officials also stated that they do not have data to estimate any losses.

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development**, **Office of Public Counsel**, and **Public Service Commission**, the **Department of Revenue**, the **Department of Natural Resources**, and **St. Louis County** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** (DESE) assume the equipment is currently assessed as industrial and commercial. This being the case, there would be a reduction from 33.3% to 1% in the amount of property taxes paid. This will result in a significant loss of revenue for certain schools and local taxing authorities. For many districts that contain these types of power plants, the company that owns the plant is one of the largest taxpayers in the district. The proposal would have no impact on the state, unless the reduction in assessed valuation falls below the 2004-05 amount. In which case, additional state aid would be required to make up for the reduction in local effort.

Officials from the **State Tax Commission** (TAX) assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization. TAX officials also stated that they do not have data to estimate any potential losses.

Officials from the **City of Kansas City** assume this proposal would have a negative impact on their organization but that impact is impossible to quantify.

Officials from the **City of Raytown** assume there is no impact to their organization from this proposal that they can easily identify.

L.R. No. 1797-02 Bill No. HB 737 Page 4 of 5 March 8, 2011

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Oversight was not able to determine if any turbine generators are currently installed which would qualify for the proposed classification and valuation process. To the extent that such equipment is currently installed or would be installed in the future, this proposal would reduce the assessed valuation of such property from the percentage specified in current provisions to one percent of value. That reduction in assessed valuation would in turn reduce the property tax that would otherwise be due on such property.

Oversight assumes that this property would be owned by electric companies and would be assessed by the State Tax Commission as distributable property; accordingly the tax reduction would impact local governments and the state Blind Pension Fund. For fiscal note purposes, Oversight will indicate an unknown amount of revenue reduction for local governments and for the state Blind Pension Fund.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government BLIND PENSION FUND	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
Revenue reduction - valuation reduction for turbine generators	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON BLIND PENSION FUND	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government LOCAL GOVERNMENTS	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
Revenue reduction - valuation reduction for turbine generators	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)

L.R. No. 1797-02 Bill No. HB 737 Page 5 of 5 March 8, 2011

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

This proposal would have a direct fiscal impact to any small business which owned or operated qualifying turbine generator equipment.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation would classify certain turbine generators as tangible personal property for property tax purposes, and provide an assessment ratio of one percent of value.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Administration
Division of Budget and Planning
Department of Economic Development
Office of Public Counsel
Public Service Commission
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Department of Natural Resources
Department of Revenue
State Tax Commission

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director March 8, 2011

St. Louis County City of Kansas City City of Raytown