

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 4076-04  
Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for HCS for SCS for SB 498  
Subject: Charities; Cities, Towns & Villages; Merchandising Practices; Veterans  
Type: Original  
Date: May 31, 2012

Bill Summary: This proposal would prohibit cities from restricting veterans organizations from operating re-sale shops in certain areas.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**FISCAL ANALYSIS**

**ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State**, the **Office of the Attorney General**, the **Department of Revenue**, the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules**, the **City of Columbia**, and **St. Louis County** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **City of Kansas City** and the **City of St. Louis** assumed a previous version of this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

**Oversight** assumes this proposal would have no fiscal impact to the state or to local governments.

<b><u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u></b>	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>
<b><u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u></b>	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.


FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State  
Office of the Attorney General  
Department of Revenue  
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules  
St. Louis County  
City of Columbia  
City of Kansas City  
City of St. Louis



Mickey Wilson, CPA  
Director  
May 31, 2012