COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 4433-08

Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed HCS for SS for SCS for SB 469

Subject: Administrative Rules; Administrative Law; State Departments

Type: Original June 5, 2012

Bill Summary: This proposal requires review of all administrative rules.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0 or (Unknown)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0 or (Unknown)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 9 pages.

L.R. No. 4433-08

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed HCS for SS for SCS for SB 469

Page 2 of 9 June 5, 2012

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated				
Net Effect on All				
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 4433-08 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed HCS for SS for SCS for SB 469 Page 3 of 9 June 5, 2012

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** state this legislation will have a fiscal impact on their agency. There is an anticipated increase in the workload of the Joint Committee that will occur upon the passage of this legislation, due to the necessity to facilitate and monitor compliance with the provisions of the legislation. There may also be increased rulemaking activity in response to reviews conducted by state agencies as a result of the legislation. However, any anticipated fiscal impact within the time period projected by this fiscal note should be able to be absorbed within current appropriations.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** assume, based on calculations of how many pages will be required to print the notices of agency review/delinquency, this bill could likely require an additional 70 pages in the Missouri Register beginning in fiscal year 2015. The estimated cost of a page in the Missouri Register is \$23.00. Our division calculates that, as a result of the requirements that agencies review their rules, between one third and one half of rules could become null and void. Based on calculations of how many pages will be required to print the new status of such rules, this bill could require an additional 1,245 pages in the Code of State Regulations. The estimated cost of a page in the Code of State Regulations is \$27.00. The actual cost could be more or less than the numbers given. The impact of this legislation in future years is unknown and depends upon the frequency and length of rules amended, rescinded, or withdrawn.

The actual cost could be more or less than the numbers given. Assuming that the major problems with rules that are uncovered as a result of the first mandatory review cycle, the estimated costs above would recur for the years 2015 through 2019 and decrease thereafter. The impact of this legislation in future years is unknown and depends upon the frequency and length of rules amended, rescinded, or withdrawn.

The SOS assumed a cost of roughly \$55,000 per year within the scope of this fiscal note.

Officials from the Office of the State Public Defender, Department of Public Safety (Divisions of Missouri Highway Patrol, State Emergency Management Agency, Alcohol and Tobacco Control, and Capitol Police), Missouri Senate, Office of the State Treasurer, Office of Prosecution Services, Office of the Governor, Department of Transportation, and the Missouri Department of Conservation each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Page 4 of 9 June 5, 2012

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

In response to a previous version of the proposal, officials from the Administrative Hearing Commission, Office of the State Courts Administrator, Department of Public Safety - Division of Fire Safety, Missouri House of Representatives, Missouri Ethics Commission, Office of the State Auditor, Office of Administration - Budget and Planning, Legislative Research, MOSERS, Office of the Governor, and the Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan each assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Department of Higher Education** assumed the proposal could be implemented utilizing existing resources and would, therefore, have no direct foreseeable fiscal impact on their department

Officials from the **Attorney General's Office (AGO)** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if there is an increase review of rules in later years.

In response to a previous version of the proposal, officials from the **Office of Administration** (**COA**) anticipated that in fiscal years when its rules are subject to review, additional resources could be required. COA assumed any costs could be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Missouri Gaming Commission (MGC)** state the fiscal impact of the proposal is unknown and could require additional resources.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** state the fiscal impact is unknown. The expected impact will occur beyond the scope of the fiscal note, beginning in 2015 with the first round of expirations. In succeeding years, the number of rules requiring review will increase. We will likely require additional staff to perform the reviews and promulgate rules.

In response to a previous version of the proposal, officials from the **Missouri Lottery** stated when the review process begins in 2018, an additional FTE may be required.

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development (DED)** state this proposal could have an impact on their General Counsel. The proposal could require additional resources but specific costs are unknown.

In response to a previous version of the proposal, officials from the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations (DOLIR)** stated they administer 10 chapters within the Missouri Revised

L.R. No. 4433-08 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed HCS for SS for SCS for SB 469 Page 5 of 9 June 5, 2012

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Statutes, Chapters 285-295 RSMo. Most of these chapters concern laws that affect groups with competing interests. When the Department seeks to promulgate a rule, or amend a rule, competing interests result in additional staff time and labor in promulgating, or amending a rule.

The Department estimates the proposed bill will likely require additional resources; however, the specific cost is unknown.

In response to a previous version of the proposal, officials from the **Department of Agriculture** (AGR) stated the bill could require additional resources but the specific costs are unknown.

Officials from the **Department of Mental Health (DMH)** assume that the process of responding to rule change petitions will require additional resources. Existing resources are not expected to be sufficient for this additional responsibility. At this time, DMH resources are deployed to prepare fiscal notes for proposed legislation, proposed initiative petitions, proposed administrative rules, and amendments to administrative rules. DMH states this legislation could require additional resources in order for the department to comply; however, the specific cost is unknown.

Officials from the **Department of Insurance**, **Financial Institutions and Professional Registration (DIFP)** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact in the fiscal years reflected in the fiscal note. DIFP believes existing FTE can implement the scheduled review of department rules beginning in FY2020 and the petition responses required in this bill. Among other purposes, department rules establish professional licensee requirements, are a requirement for state insurance accreditation and give protections to Missouri consumers. However, if the scheduled review and petition process is more time consuming than anticipated additional FTE will be requested through the budget process.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state passage of this bill will potentially require additional legal counsel/staff in order to comply with the new requirements of Section 536.041 and the review of rules as required be Section 536.175. In summary, the fiscal impact for DOC is a negative unknown for each fiscal year.

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** state they have approximately 700 existing rules which would require review and possible rulemaking action. DNR does not have sufficient existing resources to implement the requirements of this proposal. Therefore, DNR states the direct fiscal impact from this proposal is unknown, but could be significant.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed HCS for SS for SCS for SB 469

Page 6 of 9 June 5, 2012

ASSUMPTION (continued)

In response to a previous version of this proposal, officials from the **Department of Social Services (DOS)** had the following assumptions from the proposal:

- 1: The Children's Division assume Section 536.175.1 (periodic review of all rules) would have an unknown fiscal impact as reviewing rules could require an unknown number of labor intensive hours. The cost of the increased amount of work is unknown at this time. However, it is anticipated the additional work would be absorbed by existing staff.
- 2: The Missouri HealthNet Division assumed the need for two FTE (an attorney and one support staff) in Fiscal Year 2017. The cost for the two FTE would exceed \$100,000.
- 3: Legal Services expects an unknown fiscal impact beginning in FY 2018 greater than \$100,000 because it is impossible to forecast how many administrative rules could be subject to the provisions in the future.
- 4: The Information Technology Services Division state due to the large number of rules needed to be reviewed in a short amount of time, DOS would benefit from developing a new computer application to track all DOS rules. The one-time development cost is approximately \$98,307, with ongoing annual maintenance of \$3,271.

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS)** state Section 536.041 - Existing language in this section allows for the filing of a petition requesting the adoption, amendment, or repeal of a rule. The proposed language would expand the department's requirements regarding the review and conclusions related to the petition. DHSS is unable to anticipate how many persons will file written petitions requesting the adoption, amendment, or repeal of any rule, therefore the fiscal impact is considered unknown.

Section 536.175 - DHSS anticipates no fiscal impact for the years covered by this fiscal note since the proposed legislation results in rule termination/re-promulgation requirements since DHSS' rules are contained in Title 19, it is assumed that DHSS will not begin the review process until July 1, 2018. This may result in an unknown increase for additional staff and all associated expenses. DHSS is not able to estimate the staff time required for the review of rules and may result in a substantial amount of increased staff efforts. DHSS therefore assumes no fiscal impact for the requirements of this section during FY 2013, FY 2014, and FY 2015.

Officials from the **Missouri Veterans' Commission** assume the fiscal impact from this proposal is unknown.

Section 536.175 states that each agency shall periodically review all of its rules. In the Senate Committee Substitute, the first scheduled review (of rules contained in titles 1 through 6 of the code of state regulations) began July 1, 2015. The Senate Substitute changes this to "no later

RS:LR:OD

L.R. No. 4433-08

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed HCS for SS for SCS for SB 469

Page 7 of 9 June 5, 2012

ASSUMPTION (continued)

than July 1, 2015". For the Senate Committee Substitute, **Oversight** assumed this mandated agencies begin the review process of their rules starting in FY 2016 - which is outside the scope of this fiscal note. However, under this proposal, agencies may begin their review process earlier than FY 2016. According to numerous agencies, additional expenses will be incurred during this review process. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a potential cost to numerous agencies in FY 2015 to begin this review. Oversight assumes that due to the number of rules and the time required to adopt the rules, some agencies would need additional FTE and other resources. Oversight assumes that several different state funds would be affected by this proposal, but for simplicity, Oversight will reflect the potential costs in the General Revenue Fund.

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0 or</u> (Unknown)
Various State Agencies - Potential costs for state agencies to begin the review of the Code of State Regulations (Section 536.175)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 or (Unknown)
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(10 Mo.)	11 2011	112013
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Small businesses could be affected by a rule change.

L.R. No. 4433-08 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed HCS for SS for SCS for SB 469 Page 8 of 9 June 5, 2012

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This act modifies provisions regarding the updating and review of administrative rules. The Secretary of State is given the authority to make non-substantive changes to the Code of State Regulations to update state agency information, such as name or address changes.

This act provides that every state administrative rule shall be subject to a periodic review by the appropriate state agency every five years. The act creates a schedule for the periodic review of rules by their title in the Code of State Regulations. The Joint Committee on Administrative Rules (JCAR) shall cause notice to be published in the Missouri Register indicating the rules to be reviewed and also notice of the 60 day comment period. Each agency with rules under review shall prepare a report with the results of the periodic rule review. The report shall consider whether the rule: continues to be necessary or is obsolete; duplicates, overlaps or conflicts with other state, federal or local rules; needs changes or should be rescinded in order to reduce regulatory burdens on businesses, individuals or political subdivisions, or to eliminate unnecessary paperwork; and whether a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored rule could adequately protect the public or accomplish the same statutory purpose. For rules that affect small business, the agency must consider the specific public purpose or interest for adopting the rules and other reasons to justify its continued existence.

If a petition is filed with an agency requesting the adoption, amendment or rescission of a rule, the agency shall respond within 60 days with its determination as to whether a rule should be adopted, amended, or removed. Copies of the agency response shall be sent to JCAR and the Commissioner of Administration. A written petition shall constitute the required notice for purposes of current law provisions authorizing attorney fees and expenses in cases where an agency's actions were based on a statement of general applicability that should have been promulgated as a rule.

The act removes the requirement in current law that every agency with rules that affect small business must submit a list of such rules and a report to the General Assembly the and Small Business Regulatory Fairness Board every two years. This report contains the same information required in bill as part of the periodic review of all administrative rules.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 4433-08

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed HCS for SS for SCS for SB 469

Page 9 of 9 June 5, 2012

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State

Joint Committee on Legislative Rules

Office of Administration

Department of Social Services

Department of Revenue

Department of Natural Resources

Office of the State Public Defender

Department of Agriculture

Department of Transportation

Missouri Ethics Commission

State Tax Commission

Administrative Hearing Commission

Office of the State Courts Administrator

Office of Prosecution Services

Department of Public Safety

Department of Corrections

Department of Higher Education

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Department of Economic Development

Missouri Lottery Commission

Department of Mental Health

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration

Missouri Department of Conservation

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations

Missouri Gaming Commission

Department of Health and Senior Services

Attorney General's Office

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

June 5, 2012