# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 4467-02

Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for SB 599

Subject: Education, Elementary and Secondary; Elementary and Secondary Education

Department

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: May 31, 2012

Bill Summary: This bill enacts several provisions relating to education.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 7 pages.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for SB 599

Page 2 of 7 May 31, 2012

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on All				
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules (JCAR)** stated this proposed legislation is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact to JCAR beyond its current appropriation.

Officials from the Special School District of St Louis County and the Parkway School District indicated no fiscal impact to their districts.

§160.261 - Spanking in Schools

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** state this proposal has no fiscal impact on the Courts.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections** indicated no fiscal impact to their agency.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** state this proposal has no fiscal impact on the Courts.

Officials from the **Jefferson City Police Department** state there is no fiscal impact created for their agency.

In response to HB 1169 (4304-01), officials from the **Boone County Sheriff's Department** and the **Springfield Police Department** stated this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective departments.

Also in response to HB 1169, officials from the following school districts stated there would be no fiscal impact to their districts: **Mexico**, **Parkway**, **Center**, and **Malta Bend**.

§163.024 - Doe Run Settlement

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources** would not anticipate a direct fiscal impact from this legislation.

§170.310 - Instruction in Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation

Officials from the **Department of Insurance**, **Financial Institutions and Professional Registration** state this proposal will have no fiscal impact on their agency.

LMD:LR:OD

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for SB 599

Page 4 of 7 May 31, 2012

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services** stated this proposal will have no fiscal impact on their agency.

According to officials from the **Office of Secretary of State (SOS)**, that many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the proposal. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500.

**Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal with core funding. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process. Any decisions to raise fees to defray costs would likely be made in subsequent fiscal years.

In response to SCS/HB 1337 (5217-03) from this session, the following responses were received from school districts and charter school sponsors:

Officials from the **University of Central Missouri** (charter school sponsor) assume the provision of the proposal stating the instructor does not need to be a certified trainer if the course is not being taking for certification allows school districts to teach the CPR training within any health education course.

Officials from the **University of Missouri System** (charter school sponsor) state this proposal has no fiscal impact.

Officials from the **Independence School District** state this proposal will have no cost to their district. They already provide this instruction.

**Oversight** assumes the proposal is permissive and only relates to grades 9 to 12. According to the Missouri School Directory for 2011-2012, the fall enrollment in secondary schools for 2010-2011 was 275,536. **Oversight** also assumes that instruction shall be through a program developed by either the American Heart Association or the American Red Cross, or through a nationally recognized program and that staff from these organizations will not be required to do the instruction. Since schools may develop agreements with any local chapter of a voluntary organization of first responders for the "hands-on" training, costs associated with this proposal

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for SB 599

Page 5 of 7 May 31, 2012

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

would be limited to training for health instructors and materials. Based on 623 high schools and charter high schools at \$165 per instructor, the cost could be \$102,795 if all high schools participated. Since the proposal is permissive and some high schools already participate, for fiscal note purposes only, **Oversight** assumes no fiscal impact to school districts.

### 178.530 - Agriculture Education in Private Schools

Officials from the **Department of Agriculture** assumes there is no fiscal impact from this legislation.

Officials at the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE)** state currently the department is aware that this legislation is intended to allow one private school to apply to the state chapter for approval of a local chapter of a federally chartered national agricultural education association. It is not expected that this one private school's application will have a significant fiscal impact on operations. However, should additional private schools opt to take advantage of this program; costs could become unknown.

**Oversight** assumes this section requires the State Board of Education to develop standards for agricultural vocational education that may be adopted by a private school in order to qualify the school to apply to the state chapter of the Future Farmers of America for the approval of a local chapter.

**Oversight** assumes DESE can absorb any costs related to this section as the number of schools applying is expected to be minimal. This section will have no fiscal impact.

This proposed legislation was also sent to the following school districts, none of which responded to a request for fiscal impact: Blue Springs; Branson; Columbia; Fair Grove; Francis Howell; Independence; Jefferson City; Kirksville; Lee's Summit; Nixa; Parkway; Raytown; Sedalia; Sikeston; Silex; Special School District of St Louis County; Spickard; Springfield; St Charles; St Joseph; St Louis City; Sullivan.

This proposal was also sent to the following charter school sponsors who did not respond to a request for fiscal impact: Kansas City Metropolitan Community College, Lindenwood University, Southeast Missouri University, St Louis School District, Washington University.

This proposal was also sent to the following law enforcement agencies: Buchanan County Sheriff; Clark County Sheriff; Independence Police Department; Jackson County Sheriff;

LMD:LR:OD

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for SB 599

Page 6 of 7 May 31, 2012

## <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Kansas City Police Department; Platte County Sheriff; St Charles Police Department; St Joseph Police Department; St Louis County Department of Police; St Louis County Sheriff's Department; St Louis Metro Police Department.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for SB 599

Page 7 of 7 May 31, 2012

# **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Department of Natural Resources

Department of Insurance, Finance and Professional Registration

Office of State Courts Administrator

Department of Agriculture

Department of Corrections

Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

Office of Secretary of State

Administrative Rules Division

**School Districts** 

Special School District of St Louis County

Parkway

Mexico

Center

Malta Bend

Independence

**Charter School Sponsors** 

University of Central Missouri

University of Missouri System

Local Law Enforcement

Jefferson City Police Department

Boone County Sheriff's Department

Springfield Police Department

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

May 31, 2012