

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 4738-01  
Bill No.: SB 569  
Subject: Elections; Secretary of State  
Type: Original  
Date: February 10, 2011

---

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies the dates available for public elections.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

- Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**FISCAL ANALYSIS**

**ASSUMPTION**

Officials at the **Office of the Secretary of State** assume that there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials at the **Platte County Board of Election Commission** assume a savings would be realized by districts calling for an election, as with fewer elections, more district would be placing issues/candidates on the few remaining election dates. Thus the costs for the elections would be shared by more districts. Savings would range from \$50-\$15,000.

Officials at the **Kansas City Board of Election Commission** assume a savings of \$350,000 to \$400,000 per election omitted.

Officials at the **St. Louis County Board of Election Commission** assume the Board charges all costs of an election to the participating entities of that election, so the entities may experience a savings by having more entities filing for fewer available election dates.

**Oversight** assumes that changing the date of the presidential primary election would not affect the cost of the election. Oversight assumes no impact from this provision.

**Oversight** assume this proposal eliminates the June election date which was only used as a special election on rare occasions. Oversight assumes no impact from this provision.

**Oversight** assumes this proposal eliminates the February election which may result in some savings to certain entities while others may experience increased costs depending on how they reschedule their elections. Oversight will not reflect an impact from this provision.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.


FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Kansas City Board of Election Commission  
Office of the Secretary of State  
Platte County Board of Election Commission  
St. Louis County Board of Election Commission



Mickey Wilson, CPA  
Director  
February 10, 2011