

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 4738-02
Bill No.: Perfected SCS for SB 569
Subject: Elections; Secretary of State
Type: Original
Date: March 7, 2011

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies the dates available for public elections.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials at the **Office of the Secretary of State and St. Louis County Board of Election Commission** assume that there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials at the **Platte County Board of Election Commission** assume a savings would be realized by districts calling for an election, as with fewer elections, more district would be placing issues/candidates on the few remaining election dates. Thus the costs for the elections would be shared by more districts. Savings would range from \$50-\$15,000.

Officials at the **Kansas City Board of Election Commission** assume a savings of \$350,000 to \$400,000 per election omitted. Eliminating the February election would save these costs. The June election is seldom held so eliminating it would have no effect.

Oversight assumes that changing the date of the presidential primary election would not affect the cost of the election. Oversight assumes no impact from this provision.

Oversight assume this proposal eliminates the June election date which was only used as a special election on rare occasions. Oversight assumes no impact from this provision.

Oversight assumes this proposal eliminates the February election which may result in some savings to certain entities while others may experience costs from bond elections allowed in February. Oversight assumes that having the February election is permissive and will not reflect an impact from this provision.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.


FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Kansas City Board of Election Commission
Office of the Secretary of State
Platte County Board of Election Commission
St. Louis County Board of Election Commission



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
March 7, 2011