# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 5044-02 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 671

Subject: Counties; County Government; County Officials; Elections

Type: Original

Date: February 17, 2012

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to public offices that have

statutory bond requirements.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 5044-02 Bill No. SB 671 Page 2 of 4 February 17, 2012

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 5044-02 Bill No. SB 671 Page 3 of 4 February 17, 2012

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** and **Department of Revenue** each assume the current proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

In response to a similar bill, HB 1106, officials from the **Counties of Buchanan**, **Johnson** and **Lawrence** assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their local governments.

**Oversight** assumes this proposal outlines qualifications and therefore would have no fiscal impact on state or local governments.

Officials from the **Counties of Andrew**, **Bates**, **Miller** and **Scott** did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 5044-02 Bill No. SB 671 Page 4 of 4 February 17, 2012

#### **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State Department of Revenue County of Buchanan County of Johnson County of Lawrence

#### NOT RESPONDING

County of Andrew County of Bates County of Miller County of Scott

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 17, 2012