# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 5044-04

Bill No.: SCS for SB 671

Subject: Counties; County Government; County Officials

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: March 23, 2012

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to public offices that have

statutory bond requirements.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<b>Total Estimated</b>				
Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 5044-04 Bill No. SCS for SB 671

Page 2 of 4 March 23, 2012

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	TTED FY 2013 FY 2014 FY 20					
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0			

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 5044-04 Bill No. SCS for SB 671 Page 3 of 4 March 23, 2012

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

# **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** assume the current proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

In response to a previous version of this legislation, officials from the **Department of Revenue** assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

Officials from the **Counties of St. Louis** and **Boone** each assume the current proposal would not fiscally impact their respective counties.

Officials from the Counties of Andrew, Barry, Bates, Buchanan, Butler, Callaway, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Carroll, Cass, Clay, Cole, Cooper, DeKalb, Franklin, Greene, Hickory, Holt, Jackson, Jasper, Jefferson, Johnson, Knox, Laclede, Lafayette, Lawrence, Lincoln, Marion, Miller, Moniteau, Monroe, Montgomery, New Madrid, Nodaway, Ozark, Pemiscot, Perry, Phelps, Platte, Pulaski, Scott, St. Charles, St. Francois, Taney, Texas, Warren, and Webster did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

L.R. No. 5044-04 Bill No. SCS for SB 671 Page 4 of 4 March 23, 2012

# FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

# **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State Department of Revenue County of St. Louis County of Boone

# **NOT RESPONDING**

**Numerous Counties** 

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 23, 2012