COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 5566-03

Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for SB 755

Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Courts; Liability; Property - Real and Personal; Public

Buildings; Victims of Crime

Type: Original
Date: May 31, 2012

Bill Summary: This proposal creates the crime of disrupting a house of worship.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 5566-03

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for SB 755

Page 2 of 5 May 31, 2012

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 5566-03 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for SB 755 Page 3 of 5 May 31, 2012

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol** and the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume no measurable fiscal impact to their agency. The creation of a new crime creates additional responsibilities for county prosecutors which may in turn result in additional costs which are difficult to determine.

For the purpose of this proposed legislation, officials at the **Office of State Public Defender** (**SPD**) cannot assume that existing staff will provide effective representation for any new cases arising where indigent persons are charged with the proposed new crime of disrupting a house of worship - a new class B misdemeanor - a subsequent offense would be a class A misdemeanor or a class D felony.

While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide effective representation in all cases.

Oversight assumes the SPD can absorb the additional caseload that may result from this proposal.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state penalty provisions for violations, the component of the bill to have potential fiscal impact for DOC, is for a class D felony. Currently, the DOC cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY11 average of \$5.12 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$1,869 per offender).

DOC states their staff could be accused of disturbing the order and solemnity of a worship service if they have to terminate a service for safety and security reasons. The impact, fiscal and otherwise, is unknown.

L.R. No. 5566-03

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for SB 755

Page 4 of 5 May 31, 2012

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Oversight assumes the proposal will not have a fiscal impact to the Department of Corrections.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. $\,$ 5566-03 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for SB 755 Page 5 of 5 May 31, 2012

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Public Safety Office of the State Courts Administrator Office of Prosecution Services Office of the State Public Defender Department of Corrections

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director May 31, 2012