# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.:</u> 5600-01 <u>Bill No.:</u> SB 744

Subject: Public Safety

Type: Original

Date: February 17, 2012

Bill Summary: This proposal requires video camera surveillance of public spaces within

the State Capitol building and authorizes private security within the State

Capitol.

### **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
General Revenue	(Could exceed \$100,000)	\$0	\$0
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	(Could exceed		
Fund	\$100,000)	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 5600-01 Bill No. SB 744 Page 2 of 5 February 17, 2012

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 5600-01 Bill No. SB 744 Page 3 of 5 February 17, 2012

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Capitol Police** assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

Officials from the **Office of Administration** state this bill would have an unknown fiscal effect on OA-Facilities Management, Design and Construction (OA-FMDC). The requirement for OA-FMDC to provide and maintain video cameras surveillance for all public spaces within the state capitol building and to provide private security services within the State Capitol besides Public Safety's Capitol Police has an unknown over \$1 million cost factor.

Currently there are twenty (20) cameras strategically placed at various entryways in the capitol. The request for additional security cameras (approx. 32) placed to monitor hallways; plus the fiber runs needed to connect the cameras feeds to DPS-Capitol Police and any additional equipment needed to monitor this is an unknown cost.

The request to provide private security services for a building the size of the Capitol Building with the many entrance ways would be bid through OA-Purchasing to determine a cost factor. The day-to-day security services would be in FMDC's operating budget. This too is an unknown cost.

**Oversight** assumes changes to Section 8.115, RSMo authorizes OA-FMDC to contract with a private security firm for the state capitol building, but does not require it. Oversight assumes this part of the proposal is permissive and may not have a fiscal impact to the state if the private security firm is not retained. Oversight assumes additions to Section 8.177, RSMo requiring all public spaces in the state capitol to be under video surveillance could perhaps cost more than \$100,000 for cameras, wire, installation, and perhaps additional new equipment if required. Therefore, Oversight will utilize OA-FMDC's estimate of unknown costs regarding this part of the proposal, but assume the total costs to install the video surveillance system could exceed \$100,000.

L.R. No. 5600-01 Bill No. SB 744 Page 4 of 5 February 17, 2012

	,		
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(Could exceed <u>\$100,000)</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Costs - OA-FMDC Purchase and installation of video camera surveillance system in capitol	(Could exceed \$100,000)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government  GENERAL REVENUE	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

This proposal removes a provision in current law that prohibited the Office of Administration from contracting with a private firm to provide armed security guards at the State Capitol. The act provides that the Office of Administration shall establish and maintain a system of video camera surveillance for all public spaces within the State Capitol building. Such system shall be available to the Missouri Capitol police officers..

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 5600-01 Bill No. SB 744 Page 5 of 5 February 17, 2012

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of Administration Department of Public Safety

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 17, 2012