# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 5641-06

Bill No.: HCS for SCS for SB 729

Subject: Political Subdivisions; County Officials; Cities, Towns and Villages

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: April 27, 2012

Bill Summary: This proposal changes the law regarding political subdivisions.

### **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
State Facility Maintenance & Operation	\$0 or (\$389,188)	\$0 or (\$413,443)	\$0 or (\$419,982)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0 or (\$389,188)	\$0 or (\$413,443)	\$0 or (\$419,982)	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 8 pages.

Bill No. HCS for SCS for SB 729

Page 2 of 8 April 27, 2012

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
State Facility Maintenance & Operation	4	4	4	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	4	4	4	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$124,092	\$148,910	\$148,910	

Bill No. HCS for SCS for SB 729

Page 3 of 8 April 27, 2012

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the Department of Public Safety, Joint Committee on Public Retirement,
Department of Revenue, Department of Natural Resources, Department of Labor and
Industrial Relations, Department of Transportation, Department of Economic
Development, Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional
Registration, State Tax Commission and Office of the State Auditor each assume the current proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** stated that they could not predict the number of new commitments which could result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in the proposal. An increase in commitments would depend on the utilization of prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the courts. If additional persons were sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC would incur a corresponding increase in operational costs either through incarceration (FY 2011 average \$16.878 per inmate, per day or an annual cost of \$6,160) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY 2011 average \$5.03 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$1,836). The following factors contribute to DOC's minimal assumption:

- DOC assumes the narrow scope of the crime will not encompass a large number of offenders.
- The low felony status of the crime enhances the possibility of plea-bargaining or imposition of a probation sentence.
- The probability exists that offenders would be charged with a similar but more serious offense or that sentences may run concurrent to one another.

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

**Oversight** assumes that the conviction and incarceration of only one person would create a minimal fiscal impact of less than \$100,000 annually.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** state many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for

Bill No. HCS for SCS for SB 729

Page 4 of 8 April 27, 2012

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

**Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

Officials from the **Office of Administration (COA)** assume this proposal requires a searchable online repository be developed at no cost to the state for publication of advertisements of construction bidding opportunities at the local government level in lieu of newspaper advertising. Cuts to the core budgets of Information Technology Services Division (ITSD) and Facilities Management, Design and Construction (FMDC) in recent years would make it difficult to absorb new costs. A simple bulletin board website would require additional storage and server capacity. In addition, it would require ongoing staff supervision to maintain the security, integrity and accuracy of advertisements appearing on the web domain pursuant to federal law. Depending upon the degree of usage by local governments, ITSD could require additional resources to accomplish this.

The proposal also permits COA to contract with a private entity to fulfill this function. It is unknown whether any profit or nonprofit entity exists that would be willing to provide this service, either based on subscription revenues or as a public service, at no cost to the state. If one were found, there would be no cost to state government.

COA also states that some have interpreted the term repository in the proposal to require that all detailed specifications, including oversized drawings, blueprints, CAD documents and related materials necessary for preparing a bid be hosted for public interest viewing on a COA website. FMDC has prepared an estimate for developing a repository to meet this expectation.

**Oversight** assumes for fiscal note purposes that the impact on COA would be \$0 if a private entity is found to contract with at no cost, or a negative fiscal impact related to FMDC's estimate, which is reflected in the tables.

Bill No. HCS for SCS for SB 729

Page 5 of 8 April 27, 2012

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Attorney General's Office** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assume the proposal allows the city of Columbia to charge a \$10 surcharge on all municipal code violations for the purpose of funding the construction, remodel, repair and maintenance of the municipal court building. Based on data for FY 11, CTS assumes that there are approximately 14,981 municipal code violations on which this \$10.00 surcharge could be applied. CTS anticipates the revenue from the surcharge would be \$148,910 in any given year.

**Oversight** assumes this would create a positive fiscal impact on the City of Columbia.

Officials from the **City of Columbia** assume the current proposal would not fiscally impact their city.

Officials from the **Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District** assume the current proposal would not fiscally impact their district.

Officials from the **Springfield Police Department** assume the current proposal would not fiscally impact their department.

#### **Section 67.2010**

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** state that this may reduce the amount of fine revenue districts in first class counties have been accustomed to receiving. It is impossible to estimate by what amount fire revenues may decrease.

**Oversight** assumes this is speculative and won't affect local governments without action by the governing body.

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

Bill No. HCS for SCS for SB 729

Page 6 of 8 April 27, 2012

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
Costs - Department of Corrections Conviction and incarceration of unclassed felony	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
STATE FACILITY MAINTENANCE & OPERATION FUND			
Costs - Office of Administration Development and maintenance of Central Repository	\$0 or (\$389,188)	\$0 or (\$413,443)	\$0 or (\$419,982)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FACILITY MAINTENANCE & OPERATION FUND	<u>\$0 or</u> (\$389,188)	\$0 or (\$413,443)	<u>\$0 or</u> (\$419,982)
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
CITY OF COLUMBIA	(10 1110.)		
Revenue - surcharge assessed on municipal code violations	<u>\$124,092</u>	<u>\$148,910</u>	<u>\$148,910</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE CITY OF COLUMBIA	<u>\$124,092</u>	<u>\$148,910</u>	<u>\$148,910</u>

Bill No. HCS for SCS for SB 729

Page 7 of 8 April 27, 2012

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposal changes the laws regarding political subdivisions.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Economic Development

Division of Business and Community Services

Missouri Development Finance Board

Office of the Public Counsel

**Public Service Commission** 

Division of Tourism

Department of Revenue

Office of the Secretary of State

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations

State Tax Commission

Department of Public Safety

Alcohol and Tobacco Control

Missouri Highway Patrol

Capitol Police

Division of Fire Safety

Missouri Gaming Commission

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Department of Transportation

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration

Department of Corrections

Office of the State Auditor

Attorney General's Office

Office of the State Courts Administrator

Office of Administration

LR:LR:OD

Bill No. HCS for SCS for SB 729

Page 8 of 8 April 27, 2012

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION (continued)**

Joint Committee on Public Retirement Department of Natural Resources City of Columbia Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

April 27, 2012