## COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.:0234-02Bill No.:SCS for SB 9Subject:Education, Higher; Boards, Commissions, Committees, CouncilsType:OriginalDate:February 12, 2013

| Bill Summary: | This proposal allows University of Missouri Extension Councils to form |
|---------------|--|
|               | extension districts made up of cooperating counties.                   |

# FISCAL SUMMARY

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND                |         |         |         |  |
|---|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED   | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |  |
|   |         |         |         |  |
|   |         |         |         |  |
| Total Estimated<br>Net Effect on<br>General Revenue<br>Fund | \$0.    | \$0     | \$0     |  |
| General Revenue<br>Fund                                     | \$0     | \$0     |         |  |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS                    |         |         |         |  |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED  | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |  |
|  |         |         |         |  |
|  |         |         |         |  |
| Total Estimated<br>Net Effect on <u>Other</u><br>State Funds | \$0     | \$0     | \$0     |  |

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 0234-02 Bill No. SCS for SB 9 Page 2 of 4 February 12, 2013

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS       |         |         |         |  |
|---|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED                               | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |  |
|   |         |         |         |  |
|   |         |         |         |  |
| Total Estimated<br>Net Effect on <u>All</u> |         |         |         |  |
| Federal Funds                               | \$0     | \$0     | \$0     |  |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) |         |         |         |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| FUND AFFECTED                                      | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |
|  |         |         |         |
|  |         |         |         |
| Total Estimated<br>Net Effect on<br>FTE            | 0       | 0       | 0       |

□ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

□ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS |         |         |         |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| FUND AFFECTED                       | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |
| Local Government                    | \$0     | \$0     | \$0     |

L.R. No. 0234-02 Bill No. SCS for SB 9 Page 3 of 4 February 12, 2013

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

## ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Platte County Board of Election Commissioners** assume there would be costs for an election for any county conducting an election. Costs per election would range from \$50,000 to \$60,000, depending upon the number of other participants involved in any specific election, as costs would be pro-rated based upon the number of registered voters within each district.

In response to the introduced bill, officials from the **Kansas City Election Board (KCEB)** stated the cost to conduct a city-wide general municipal election can range up to \$350,000 depending on the number of entities participating and the number of registered voters in each jurisdiction requesting the election. The KCEB would need more information about the size and locations of these "districts" before they could give a more accurate estimate of these costs.

**Oversight** assumes this proposal is discretionary and would have no local fiscal impact without action by the governing body.

Officials from the **Department of Higher Education**, **Department of Revenue**, **Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning**, **State Tax Commission**, **Office of State Courts Administrator**, **Office of Secretary of State - Elections Division**, **St. Louis County**, and the **University of Missouri** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

| FISCAL IMPACT - State Government | FY 2014<br>(10 Mo.) | FY 2015    | FY 2016    |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|
|                                  | <u>\$0</u>          | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |
| FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government | FY 2014<br>(10 Mo.) | FY 2015    | FY 2016    |
|                                  | <u>\$0</u>          | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |

L.R. No. 0234-02 Bill No. SCS for SB 9 Page 4 of 4 February 12, 2013

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

In the event a tax is approved, any small business in the area that approves a tax for an extension district would be affected.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Higher Education Department of Revenue Office of Administration Division of Budget and Planning State Tax Commission Office of State Courts Administrator University of Missouri Office of Secretary of State Elections Division Platte County Board of Election Commissioners Kansas City Election Board St. Louis County

Curo Adde

Ross Strope Acting Director February 12, 2013