

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0665-04
Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for SB 125
Subject: Saint Louis; Education - Elementary and Secondary; Employees - Employers;
 Teachers; Boards, Commissions, Committees, Councils; Elementary and
 Secondary Education Department
Type: Original
Date: May 30, 2013

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to duties of boards of education.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
 This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§161.092 - State Board of Education Rulemaking

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE)** assume there may be some costs to provide notice in accordance with the requirements of this section; however, those costs would not likely be significant.

According to officials from the **Office of Secretary of State (SOS)**, many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS's office is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to Secretary of State's office for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what their office can sustain with their core budget. Therefore, SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal with core funding. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

In response to the Senate Committee Substitute for SB 7, officials from the **Missouri Senate** and the **Missouri House of Representatives** assumed no fiscal impact.

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules**, the **Administrative Hearing Commission**, the **Joint Committee on Education**, and the **Office of the Governor** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

§162.081- Unaccredited School Districts

DESE assumes there may be some costs to local school districts and charter schools to implement the provisions of this proposal. Costs will likely be significant.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Kansas City Public School District (KCPSD)** assume that if DESE implements a Special Administrative Board (SAB), text within the legislation requires that one SAB member be a paid administrator with expenses paid from the KCPSD. This could have a fiscal impact to the KCPSD between \$75,000 - \$150,000. If existing governance remains in place, there would be minimal fiscal impact to the KCPSD.

Oversight assumes statute is already in place (§162.081.3) to implement a SAB and there should be no significant fiscal impact over and above what which would be incurred under existing law.

Officials from the **St. Louis Public School District, Riverview Gardens School District,** and the **Normandy School District** did not respond to a request for fiscal impact.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Administrative Hearing Commission
Office of the Governor
Missouri Senate
Missouri House of Representatives
Joint Committee on Education
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Office of Secretary of State
 Administrative Rules Division
School Districts
 Kansas City Public School District

Not Responding:

St. Louis Public School District
Riverview Gardens Public School District
Normandy Public School District



Ross Strope
Acting Director
May 30, 2013