

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0878-03
Bill No.: HCS for HB 505
Subject: Social Services Department; Public Safety Department; Administrative Rules
Type: Original
Date: March 21, 2013

Bill Summary: This proposal changes the laws regarding child abuse and neglect.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
General Revenue	(Unknown, less than \$100,000)	(Unknown, less than \$100,000)	(Unknown, less than \$100,000)
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(Unknown, less than \$100,000)	(Unknown, less than \$100,000)	(Unknown, less than \$100,000)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 7 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state the penalty provision component of this bill resulting in a potential fiscal impact for the DOC is for a class A felony. Currently, the DOC cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in direct offender costs either through incarceration (FY 12 average of \$17.059 per offender, per day, or an annual cost of \$6,227 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY 12 average of \$4.960 per offender, per day, or an annual cost of \$1,810 per offender).

Therefore, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in additional unknown costs to the department. Seventeen (17) persons would have to be incarcerated per fiscal year to exceed \$100,000 annually. Due to the narrow scope of this new crime, it is assumed the impact would be less than \$100,000 per year for the DOC.

Officials from the **Department of Social Services (DSS) - Division of Research and Evaluation** state this proposal removes language in 160.261, starting at subsection 10 to subsection 22. These are provisions in current statute that prohibit the Children's Division (CD) from having jurisdiction to investigate reports of alleged child abuse arising out of or related to the use of reasonable force to protect persons or property when administered by personnel of a school district or any spanking administered in a reasonable manner by any certificated school personnel in the presence of a witness who is an employee of the school district as long as no allegation of sexual misconduct arises from the spanking or use of force.

From calendar years 2008 to 2012, the CD averaged 71 reports a year in which the finding was entered as a result of an investigation by a school board. This proposal would require the CD to conduct at least 71 additional investigations per year. Investigators can do 12 hotlines a month and 144 per year. Although there would be a slight increase in investigations as a result of this legislation, the fiscal impact could be absorbed by current staff.

This proposal requires that individuals mandated to report suspicions of child abuse or neglect under 210.115.1, must make their report to the CD immediately, removing the option "or cause a report to be made...". In section 210.115.2, this proposal removes the requirement of the mandated reporter to report the suspicion to the person in charge or designee of the medical institution, school facility or public or private agency the person is working for. This proposal also adds the exception that when two or more persons required to report under 210.115.1, both

ASSUMPTION (continued)

have knowledge of the abuse, they can make a single report as a team member, but requires that if either team member is aware that a report has not been made the person is required to report. This proposal prohibits a supervisor or administrator from impeding or inhibiting the person required to report from reporting and prohibits any sanction against the reporter for not reporting. This proposal also allows the development of internal procedures to facilitate reporting and apprising supervisors or administrators as long as it does not conflict with the provisions in this section.

The CD does not anticipate a fiscal or programmatic impact as a result of this proposal.

Section 595.220.7 of this proposal requires that the Department of Public Safety establish rules regarding the reimbursement of costs of forensic examinations for children under 14 years of age, including the required conditions and definitions for emergency and non-emergency forensic examinations as well as the required qualifications for medical providers performing non-emergency forensic examinations.

There is no fiscal impact to the CD in the drafting of rules by the Department of Public Safety; however, it is unknown whether Public Safety would write in rule additional conditions for reimbursement or qualifications for medical providers performing non-emergency forensic examinations that could affect eligibility for reimbursement in some circumstances. It is assumed that these conditions would be reasonable and ensure better quality of forensic examinations.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE)** state there is no anticipated state cost to the foundation formula associated with this proposal. To the extent fine revenues exceed 2004-2005 collections, any increase in this money distributed to school districts increases the deduction in the foundation formula the following year. Therefore, the affected districts will see an equal decrease in the amount of funding received through the formula the following year unless the affected districts are hold-harmless, in which case the districts will not see a decrease in the amount of funding received through the formula (any increase in fine money distributed to the hold-harmless districts will simply be additional money). An increase in the deduction (all other factors remaining constant) reduces the cost to the state of funding the formula.

Oversight assumes any increase or decrease in fine or penalty revenues generated cannot be determined. Therefore, the fiscal note does not reflect any fine or penalty revenues for the local school districts.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

For the purpose of this proposed legislation, officials at the **Office of State Public Defender (SPD)** cannot assume that existing staff will provide effective representation for any new cases arising where indigent persons are charged with the enhanced penalties for child abuse or neglect when the child dies as a result of the abuse or neglect. These cases would become new class A felonies.

While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide effective representation in all cases.

Oversight assumes the SPD can absorb the additional caseload that may result from this proposal.

Officials from the **Office of Secretary of State (SOS)** state many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The Secretary of State's office is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, it is also recognized that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain within its core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General** assume any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules (JCAR)** state the legislation is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact to JCAR beyond its current appropriation.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator**, the **Department of Mental Health**, the **Department of Natural Resources**, the **Department of Health and Senior Services**, the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri State Highway Patrol**, the **Missouri Department of Conservation**, the **Office of Prosecution Services**, the **Parkway School District**, and the **Jefferson City Police Department** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their

respective agencies.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

In response to the previous version of this proposal, officials from **Barton County Memorial Hospital**, the **Boone County Sheriff's Department**, and the **University of Missouri** each assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

No additional schools or local law enforcement responded to **Oversight's** request for a statement of fiscal impact.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
---	---------	---------	---------

GENERAL REVENUE FUND

Costs - DOC

Additional incarceration and parole expenditures

<u>(Unknown, less than \$100,000)</u>	<u>(Unknown, less than \$100,000)</u>	<u>(Unknown, less than \$100,000)</u>
---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND

<u>(Unknown, less than \$100,000)</u>	<u>(Unknown, less than \$100,000)</u>	<u>(Unknown, less than \$100,000)</u>
---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
---	---------	---------	---------

<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
------------	------------	------------

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposal provides that if a child dies as result of injuries sustained from conduct chargeable under provisions of this proposal, it is a class A felony.


This proposal has an emergency clause.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not

require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Attorney General
Office of State Courts Administrator
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Department of Mental Health
Department of Natural Resources
Department of Corrections
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Social Services -
 Division of Research and Evaluation
Department of Public Safety -
 Missouri State Highway Patrol
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Missouri Department of Conservation
Office of Prosecution Services
Office of Secretary of State
Office of State Public Defender
University of Missouri
Parkway School District
Barton County Hospital
Boone County Sheriff's Department
Jefferson City Police Department



Ross Strope
Acting Director
March 21, 2013