COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 1409-02

Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SB 267
Subject: Administrative Law; Courts; Contracts; Religion

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: May 28, 2013

Bill Summary: This proposal specifies how courts may rule in contractual disputes

involving the law of other countries and jurisdictional issues involving

other countries.

FISCAL SUMMARY

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND | | | |
|--|---------|-----------------|--|
| FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 60 | 60 | \$0 | |
| | | FY 2014 FY 2015 | |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 1409-02

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SB 267

Page 2 of 4 May 28, 2013

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS | | | |
|---|---------|---------|------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> | | | |
| Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE | 0 | 0 | 0 |

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |
| Local Government | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

L.R. No. 1409-02

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SB 267

Page 3 of 4 May 28, 2013

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assume the proposal would not fiscally impact the courts.

Officials from the **Office of Administration** and the **Administrative Hearing Commission** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

In response to a similar proposal from this year (HB 757), officials from the **Attorney General's**Office assumed that any potential costs arising from the proposal could be absorbed with existing resources.

Oversight assumes the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact.

| FISCAL IMPACT - State Government | FY 2014 (10 Mo.) | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|
| | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |
| FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government | FY 2014 (10 Mo.) | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |
| | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 1409-02

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SB 267

Page 4 of 4 May 28, 2013

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the State Courts Administrator Office of Administration Administrative Hearing Commission Attorney General's Office

> Ross Strope Acting Director May 28, 2013

Con Ada