

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 1480-04
Bill No.: Perfected SS for SB 282
Subject: Ambulances and Ambulance Districts; Crimes and Punishment; Emergencies;
Health Care Professionals; Licenses - Driver's; Medical Procedures and
Personnel; Motor Vehicles; Revenue Department; Transportation
Type: Original
Date: April 16, 2013

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies various provisions relating to the regulation of motor vehicles.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** and **Cole County Sheriff's Department** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

For the purpose of this proposed legislation, officials at the **Office of State Public Defender (SPD)** cannot assume that existing staff will provide effective representation for any new cases arising where indigent persons are charged with the proposed new crime of endangerment of emergency personnel or emergency responder - a new Class C misdemeanor.

While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide effective representation. .

Oversight assumes the SPD can absorb the additional caseload that may result from this proposal.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol** and the **Boone County Sheriff's Department** each assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assumed there will be no measurable fiscal impact from this proposal. The creation of a new crime creates additional responsibilities for county prosecutors which may in turn result in additional cost which are difficult to determine.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Department of Revenue - Driver License Bureau** estimated 40 hours of system testing for on Administrative Analyst I at \$24 per hour or \$960.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue - Information Technology (OA-ITSD)** estimated 40 hours for 1 full time employee valued at \$1,082 to make the IT changes that would be necessary for this proposal.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

In summary, DOR assumes a cost of \$2,042 (\$960+ \$1,082) in FY 2014 to provide for the implementation of the changes in this proposal.

Oversight assumes DOR is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. Oversight assumes DOR could absorb the costs related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties at substantial costs, DOR could request funding through the appropriation process.

In response to a similar proposal from this year (HCS for HB 312), officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assumed this proposal would result in an unknown increase in convictions to be processed by the Driver License Bureau. With the increase in convictions, the number of point warning and suspension/revocation notices issued for accumulation of points will also increase.

There are no statistics available to determine how many additional convictions DOR may be required to process; however, one FTE processes 320 convictions per day. DOR assumes that a minimum of one FTE (Revenue Licensing Tech) will be needed to process the additional convictions that will result from this legislation. If the volume of convictions exceeds 320 per day, then additional FTE will be required and requested through the appropriation process.

There are no statistics available to determine the volume of telephone calls that may be received; however, one Telephone Information Operator (Revenue Processing Tech) is required to handle 100 calls per day. DOR assumes that a minimum of one FTE will be needed to answer the additional phone calls. If the volume exceeds 100 calls per day, additional FTE will be required and requested through the appropriation process.

DOR states that the amount of reinstatement fees collected is unknown.

Fees collected will be distributed as follows: Highway Funds - 75%, Cities - 15%, and Counties - 10%.

Oversight assumes the proposal is permissive and, for fiscal note purposes only, will assign no fiscal impact.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

In response to a similar proposal from this year (HCS for HB 312), officials from the **Department of Higher Education (DHE)** stated this proposal will have no direct, foreseeable impact on their agency.

Granting authority to state college and university police officers to implement and enforce traffic regulations on public college and university property may result in additional revenue from fines or financial penalties assessed and received by the institutions as a result of the enforcement of those regulations. This could have a positive fiscal impact on public and colleges and universities granted this authority under the bill. DHE defers to institutions, which were included on the initial request, for the actual fiscal impact estimated by those individual entities.

In response to a similar proposal from this year (HCS for HB 312), officials from the **Department of Transportation, City of Kansas City, Columbia, St. Louis County, City Police Department, University of Missouri System, Missouri Western State University, Linn State Technical College, Missouri Southern State University, Missouri State University, University of Central Missouri, Lincoln University, and Northwest Missouri State University** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies and institutions.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Revenue
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Department of Public Safety
Department of Transportation
Office of the State Public Defender
Office of Prosecution Services
Boone County Sheriff's Department
Department of Higher Education
City of Kansas City
Columbia
St. Louis County
Jefferson City Police Department
University of Missouri System
Missouri Western State University
Linn State Technical College
Missouri Southern State University
Missouri State University
University of Central Missouri
Northwest Missouri State University
Lincoln University



Ross Strobe
Acting Director
April 16, 2013