COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 1808-05

Bill No.: SCS for SB 437

Subject: Education, Higher; Higher Education Department; Boards, Commissions,

Committees, Councils; General Assembly

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: April 19, 2013

Bill Summary: This proposal creates a model for funding the state's public institutions of

higher education.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016		
General Revenue*	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0		

^{*}Offsetting Savings and Transfers Out are \$0 or Unknown for FY 2015 and FY 2016

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 8 pages.

Page 2 of 8 April 19, 2013

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016		
Higher Education Performance Fund*	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Universities**	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

^{*}Offsetting Transfers In and Out are \$0 or Unknown for FY 2015 and FY 2016
**Offsetting Transfers In and Losses are \$0 or Unknown for FY 2015 and FY 2016

EST	TIMATED NET EFFE	CT ON FEDERAL FU	NDS
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on All			
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

	Estimated Total Net Effect on	All funds expected to exceed	L\$100,000 savings or (cost	t)
_	Estimated Total Tiet Effect of	in rands expected to exected	a proof of this or (cost	·,.

[□] Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

Page 3 of 8 April 19, 2013

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Local Government*	\$0	\$0	\$0

^{*}Offsetting Transfers In and Losses are \$0 or Unknown for FY 2015 and FY 2016

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning (BAP) state that the proposed legislation should not result in additional costs or savings to their agency.

BAP further states that this legislation calculates the state share of the operating budgets for the public institutions of higher education. It also creates a new fund, the Higher Education Performance Fund, from which performance funding for the public institutions of higher education is to be appropriated at an amount equal to ten percent of each institution's total funding. This legislation doesn't mandate that the state share of the institutions' operating budgets be funded nor does it mandate additional funding for performance funding. Higher education institution funding remains subject to appropriation and therefore there is no statewide fiscal impact associated with this legislation.

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Education** state there aren't any duties that would result in additional costs. Also, the model doesn't call for any predetermined level of funding or time line for implementation. In addition, there would be no costs to the institutions.

Officials from **Northwest Missouri State University** assume the changes in two-year institutional funding structure will reduce the overall funding pool and this negatively impact funding for all institutions.

Officials from **Linn State Technical College** assume this proposal would have an unknown fiscal impact on their institutions.

Officials from **Kansas City Metropolitan Community College** assume this proposal could have a positive, although unknown, fiscal impact on their institution.

Officials from **Missouri State University** state any potential fiscal impact cannot be determined at this time.

LMD:LR:OD

L.R. No. 1808-05 Bill No. SCS for SB 437 Page 4 of 8 April 19, 2013

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Officials from **St. Louis Community College** assume no negative impact for their institution.

In response to the introduced version of this proposal, officials from the **Office of Secretary of State (SOS)**, assumed many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS's office is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to Secretary of State's office for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what their office can sustain with their core budget. Therefore, SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal with core funding. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

Officials from the **Department of Higher Education**, **Office of State Treasurer**, and the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

In response to the introduced version of this proposal, the **Missouri House of Representatives** and the **Missouri Senate** each assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Oversight assumes the funding model would not be implemented until FY 2015 at the earliest and that the total appropriation for Higher Education would not be impacted by this proposal.

Page 5 of 8 April 19, 2013

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
GENERAL REVENUE	(10 1110.)		
Savings - Higher Education - Reduced general funding appropriation with 10% now going to the new fund	\$0	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
Transfer Out - Higher Education Performance Fund - 10% of Higher Education funding appropriation	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
HIGHER EDUCATION PERFORMANCE FUND			
<u>Transfer In</u> - General Revenue - 10% of Higher Education funding appropriation	\$0	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
<u>Transfer Out</u> - Colleges and Universities - Performance funding	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 or (<u>Unknown)</u>	\$0 or (<u>(Unknown)</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON HIGHER EDUCATION PERFORMANCE FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
UNIVERSITIES			
<u>Transfer In</u> - Universities - Performance funding	\$0	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
<u>Loss</u> - Universities- Decrease in performance funding due to not satisfying performance measures	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON UNIVERSITIES	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

LMD:LR:OD

Page 6 of 8 April 19, 2013

performance measures \$0 (Unknown)	Unknown)
<u>Loss</u> - Colleges - Decrease in performance funding due to not satisfying \$0 or	\$0 or
Transfer In - Colleges - Performance funding \$0 \$0 or Unknown \$0 or	Unknown
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government FY 2014 FY 2015 (10 Mo.) LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	FY 2016

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This act modifies the way the state funds public institutions of higher education by creating a new model for calculating institutions' state funding.

The higher education funding model provides that institutions will be funded based on both costs and outcomes. The model calculates institutions' core operational expenditures, which are divided into six categories: academic support, institutional support, instruction, public service, research, and student services. The calculations for these components are described in the act.

§173.1515 - For institutions in all sectors, the state share of the operating budget estimate will be the sum of the institution's totals for academic support and institutional support and instruction and public service and research and student services multiplied by the sector-specific modifier.

§173.1510 - All courses that are completed at an institution will be weighted based on their discipline and level and divided into clusters. Weights are assigned in the act. The Coordinating Board for Higher Education must review the disciplines, clusters, and weights every five years and make recommendations to the General Assembly on their revision.

§173.1530 - All calculations will use a three year rolling average of final data from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), except for fiscal year 2015. Fiscal year 2015 will use an average of the final data for fiscal years 2010 and 2011.

LMD:LR:OD

L.R. No. 1808-05 Bill No. SCS for SB 437 Page 7 of 8 April 19, 2013

FISCAL DESCRIPTION (continued)

<u>§163.191 - COMMUNITY COLLEGES</u> Currently, community colleges receive one appropriation for the entire sector that is divided amongst them by a community college resource allocation model. This act removes this resource allocation model and requires that each community college's state funding be calculated separately by the new funding model.

§173.1520 - PERFORMANCE FUNDING Ten percent of each public institution of higher education's state appropriation must be designated and set aside as performance funding. This amount of money will be placed into the Higher Education Performance Fund.

The Coordinating Board for Higher Education must adopt institutional performance measures specific to the funding model in collaboration with the institutions by January 1, 2014. These performance measures must be updated every five years beginning in 2019.

An institution will receive performance funding if it satisfies the performance measures. If an institution satisfies all of its institutional performance measures, it will receive all of its performance funding. If it meets at least one, but not all, of its institutional performance measures, it will receive a prorated amount of its performance funding. The Coordinating Board will then authorize the release of the appropriate amount of performance funds to the institution.

§173.1525 - STOP-LOSS PROVISION If an institution's funding as calculated by the model is less than what the institution receives under the current method of state funding, the institution will receive ninety-eight percent of previous year's funding until such time as the institution is on the model.

§173.1535 - JOINT COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION REVIEW OF THE MODEL The Joint Committee on Education must review the functionality of the higher education funding model every five years beginning in academic year 2018-2019. The Joint Committee must consult with the Coordinating Board for Higher Education, the Department of Higher Education, at least two academic researchers, the Midwest Higher Education Compact, and the Education Commission of the States.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 1808-05 Bill No. SCS for SB 437 Page 8 of 8 April 19, 2013

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Higher Education
Office of Administration
Division of Budget and Planning
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Missouri House of Representatives
Missouri Senate
Office of Secretary of State
Administrative Rules Division
Joint Committee on Education
Office of State Treasurer
Colleges and Universities

Kansas City Metropolitan Community College Linn State Technical College Missouri State University Northwest Missouri State University St. Louis Community College

> Ross Strope Acting Director April 19, 2013

Con Adg.