# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4153-02 Bill No.: SB 491

Subject: Boats and Watercraft; Children and Minors; Corrections Department; Courts;

Crimes and Punishment; Criminal Procedure; Drugs and Controlled Substances;

Firearms and Fireworks; Uniform Laws; Weapons

Type: Original

Date: January 8, 2014

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to criminal law.

#### **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015 FY 2016 FY 201						
General Revenue	\$0	(More than \$1,107,525)	(Less than \$1,067,823)				
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	e (More than (Less \$0 \$1,107,525) \$1,067						

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015 FY 2016 FY 201						
Highway Funds*	\$0	(\$1,584,850) or (\$19,584,850)	(\$167,820) or (\$18,167,820)				
Highway Safety	\$0	\$0 or \$18,000,000	\$0 or \$18,000,000				
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds*	\$0	(\$1,584,850)	(\$167,820)				

<sup>\*</sup> This does not reflect the potential loss of federal-aid highway funds of \$32 million in FY 2015 and \$62 million in FYs 2016 and 2017 if Missouri is found to be substantially in noncompliance with Federal BAC laws.

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses. This fiscal note contains 18 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED FY 2015 FY 2016 FY						
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0			

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)						
FUND AFFECTED FY 2015 FY 2016 FY						
General Revenue	0	18 FTE	18 FTE			
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	18 FTE	18 FTE			

- Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED FY 2015 FY 2016 FY 201					
Local Government \$0 (\$448,380) (\$55,940					

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator (CTS)** state they will need to adopt the new charge codes and develop a new charge code schema. There may be some unknown costs associated with updating the JIS (statewide court automation) system used by the courts and developing a new charge code schema. We would not anticipate a fiscal impact in excess of \$100,000.

Officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender (SPD)** state additional Public Defenders would be required to provide representation to the indigent accused of the new crimes created that would potentially result in jail time, if found guilty.

The SPD states there are changes that should reduce the number of attorneys we need:

- (1) Creation of additional fine only offenses (class D misdemeanors) for first time offenders, thus rendering these defendants ineligible for our services:
- --Possession of a Controlled Substance (195.202) and Possession of Marijuana < 35 grams (195.203) (FY2013 cases = 1,289)
- -- Misdemeanor Use of Drug Paraphernalia for first time drug offenders (195.233) (FY2013 cases = 726)
- -- Stealing (570.030) (FY2013 cases = 1,891) and Receiving (570.080) (FY2013 cases = 298) Less Than \$150 for first time stealing offenders.
- (2) Increase in felony threshold value for property crimes from \$500 to \$750. Most statistically relevant offenses affected are:
  - -- Stealing (570.030); (FY2013 cases = 3,793)
  - -- Receiving (570.080); (FY2013 cases =808)
  - -- Passing Bad Checks (570.120); (FY2013 cases = 835)
  - -- Property Damage (569.100); (FY2013 cases = 302)
  - -- Fraudulent Use of Credit Device (570.130); (FY2013 cases = 139)

## <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

(3) Changing Stealing Third Offense to Stealing Fourth Offense (570.040); (FY2013 cases = 345)

The SPD states there are also changes that should increase the number of attorneys we need:

- (1) Creation of new felony Assault Third Degree (565.070) (FY2013 cases = 945) and a new felony Domestic Assault Third Degree (565.074). (FY2013 cases = 1,654)
- (2) Creation of new felony Harassment First Degree (565.090). (FY2013 cases = 147)

The estimate is that with these changes to stay at the level we are currently at, we would need an additional 6 attorneys and the current level of support staff.

The proposed legislation would also result in an increase in the number of trials, therefore an increased in the number of Appeals and PCRS would also increase. (FY2013 = 274 Jury Trials, 293 court trials)

The estimate is that with these changes, the SPD would need an additional 6 attorneys and the current level of support staff. SPD assumes a total need of 18 FTE (6 Assistant Public Defenders and 12 Legal Assistants) to implement the changes in this proposal. SPD assumes a total cost of approximately \$1.1 million per year for these FTE to the General Revenue Fund.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state the bill proposes many changes to the criminal statutes, of which the most significant is the extension of the felony classes from four to five. The change is accomplished by creating a new felony class C with an overlapping sentence range. The current Class C and Class D felonies are renamed D and E.

#### Proposed authorized felony cases:

Current Class	Range	Proposed Class	Range
Class A	10-30 years, or life	Class A	10-30 years, or life
Class B	5-15 years	Class B	5-15 years
Class C	1 day - 7 years	Class C	3-10 years
Class D	1 day - 4 years	Class D	1 day - 7 years
		Class E	1 day - 4 years

The assessment is based upon an assumption that a change in the authorized range of the felony class will result in a change in sentencing practice. In some instances sentencing will have to change because the current sentence is outside of the proposed authorized range, for example, a

L.R. No. 4153-02 Bill No. SB 491 Page 5 of 18 January 8, 2014

current class B offense that will be a new class C offense cannot have a sentence of more than 10

## ASSUMPTION (continued)

years. Sentencing, however, can also be thought of being relative to the range of allowed sentences. The methodology has to take account of both factors.

The methodology used to estimate the change is to compare the range of authorized sentences before and after the change and to assume an equal distribution between each sentence in the range. For example, if the sentence range is reduced from 1 to 7 to 1 to 4 then the average sentence, assuming equal distribution, will be reduced from 3.5 to 2.5 years. The reduction will be 2.5/3.5 applied to the average current sentence in FY13.

Impact Assessment of Changes in SB 491:

### **Drug Offenses**

The most significant likely reduction in the institutional population is from the proposed changes to the drug statutes. Most drug offenses are reduced by one felony class. Drug Possession, will become a class E offense and drug distribution/manufacturing will become a new class C with a maximum sentence of 10 years, compared to 15 years at present. Drug trafficking offenses will generally be reduced by one felony class (from A to B or from B to the new C).

In addition, the no parole restrictions will be removed when amounts of the controlled substances are over specified limits. The no parole restrictions currently require such offenders to serve to conditional release. The average sentence for drug possession is expected to decrease from 4.8 years to 3.4 and for drug distribution from 8.3 years to 6.7 years.

#### **Stealing Related Offenses**

The proposed increase in the minimum value of a felony stealing or stealing related offense from \$500 to \$750 is estimated to reduce the number of felony convictions by 15. Stealing-related offenses include stealing, receiving stolen property, passing bad checks, fraudulent use of a credit card device, and counterfeiting. As the felony class is unchanged (old class C) no change is estimated to the average sentence. Stealing over \$25,000 becomes a class C felony with a maximum sentence of 10 years. A reduction in new admissions for felony stealing will not result in an increase in probation stealing misdemeanor cases because the DOC does not supervise nonviolent misdemeanor offenses.

#### Child Kidnaping

The offense will become a class B unless the child is younger than 2. No significant impact.

L.R. No. 4153-02 Bill No. SB 491 Page 6 of 18 January 8, 2014

## ASSUMPTION (continued)

### Robbery 2nd degree

The felony class for Robbery 2nd degree will be reduced from class B to the new class C.

### Unlawful discharge of a firearm

There is an extensive re-writing of weapon offenses. New offenses include unlawful discharge of a weapon (first and second degree) and the retirement of unlawful use of a weapon and a number of other weapon offenses. The proposed sentencing for the new offenses is similar to the existing statute and no impact on the institutional population is expected.

## Incest, Use of a child in a sexual performance

No probation for a prior offense. The numbers of convictions for either offense is small (1 in FY13) and no impact is expected.

### Abandonment of a child

No offenses were received in FY13, no impact expected.

#### DWI

The legislation adds another level for prior DWI offenders with six or more DWI convictions (habitual offender). The offense is a class B offense and a dangerous felony. The number of DWI offenders who are likely to be sentenced as habitual is estimated to be 66 and the average sentence will be 8.2 years. The estimate of habitual offenders is based upon DOC admissions for DWI offenders and the prior DWI records in the DOC offender database. The DOC is currently incarcerating 501 chronic offenders and 350 had six or more DWI convictions. The sentence for the chronic offender will be reduced from a Class B to the new Class C and the number of admissions will be reduced by the number of offenders who will be sentenced as habitual offenders.

#### Child Molestation

There is an extensive re-writing of child molestation offenses but the proposed changes do not have an expected impact, except for child molestation 4th degree that is expected to make misdemeanor child molestation a felony and require incarceration. In FY13 there were 15 probation offenders for child molestation. Offenders serving child molestation offenses and released in FY13 served 76% of the sentence. Child Molestation 1st degree will be a dangerous felony.

### ASSUMPTION (continued)

Removing 120-day sentences from the commitment count for the minimum prison term. Current statutes exclude the first 120-day sentence from the calculation of the minimum prison term. The bill will remove all 120-day sentences and there will, therefore, be fewer offenders serving a MPT of 40%, 50% or 80% minimum time served. The proposed bill specifies that any commitment pursuant to §§217.738 (regimented discipline program), 559.115 (120-day treatment program), and 217.785 (post-conviction program) shall not be counted as a previous prison commitment for the purpose of determining a minimum prison term, regardless of whether the offender was released on probation.

### Timing of the Impact

An estimate of when the changes in SB 491 will impact the institutional population is based upon the expected averages of sentences and time served of offender. If offenders are admitted to prison who would not otherwise have been sentenced to prison then the impact occurs in the year following the enactment of the bill. For offenders who will serve longer then the impact will be after the date they would have been released.

#### Projected Impact on the Prison Population

Although the DOC has made some preliminary projections on the impact of this bill below, the actual impact is dependent on the implementation of the statute changes by the courts and prosecutors.

Fiscal Year	Number
2015	142
2016	(150)
2017	(624)
2018	(635)
2019	(689)
2020	(625)
2021	(591)
2022	(570)
2023	(570)
2024	(570)

FY15 - The initial impact of the proposed legislation for the first year may be an increase of unknown cost because of changes to assault 3rd, domestic assault 3rd, and child molestation 4th

L.R. No. 4153-02 Bill No. SB 491 Page 8 of 18 January 8, 2014

that are expected to increase the population immediately. The initial impact in the first year may be an increase because of the admissions of offenders due to changes in the offenses.

## ASSUMPTION (continued)

Changes to assault, DWI, involuntary manslaughter 1st, and sex offenses are expected to increase the population of offenders sentenced for these crimes over the long term. However, the majority of the long term impact will not take effect until beyond the 10-year scope of this bill.

FY16 and FY17 - The proposed changes to the statutes for drugs, stealing, bad checks, and the elimination of all 120-day releases from the minimum prison term commitment count are expected to reduce the long term institutional population. The unknown savings impact is the number of offenders who are affected by the statute multiplied by the time served. The timing of the impact of these savings does not take place until FY16 and FY17, at which time this reduction in the offender population exceeds the population increase from assaults, DWI, involuntary manslaughter and sex offenses.

In summary, DOC anticipates an unknown cost in FY 2015 and an unknown savings in FY 2016 and beyond.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol (MHP)** state this bill revises and changes the laws regarding the Missouri criminal code. This would greatly affect the Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) Division as well as all agencies that report criminal history information based on violations of the Missouri Criminal Code. Since this information is reported utilizing the associated Missouri Criminal Charge Code, the current Missouri Charge Code system by which charge codes are created and maintained will have to be rewritten. In addition, changes to the criminal history reporting system will need to occur with this rewrite. While the Missouri Criminal Charge Code system is in the initial stages of the rewrite, passage of this bill would greatly expedite the need for this change.

As the Criminal History Record System impacts all criminal justice agencies in Missouri, implementation of the changes to the Missouri Criminal Charge Code system would be a concerted effort between all of the criminal justice agencies in Missouri, with a special emphasis on the Highway Patrol's CJIS Division and the Office of State Courts Administrators (OSCA), as these are the entities responsible for production and distribution of the Missouri Criminal Charge Codes.

The current criminal charge code system is maintained by a Criminal History Specialist within the CJIS Division. The Criminal History Specialist is responsible for updating the Missouri Charge Code System, preparation of reports, and maintaining statistical data as well as

L.R. No. 4153-02 Bill No. SB 491 Page 9 of 18 January 8, 2014

coordinating updates to all necessary state and local criminal justice agencies in the state. One temporary employee will be needed to assist with the implementation of the changes to the charge code system in FY15. The current salary for a temporary employee is \$9.21 per hour at

### <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

1,000 hours for an annual cost of \$9,210.

Approximately 280 Livescan fingerprint devices used throughout the state would have to be updated with the new charge code scheme and the Integration Module (IM) and the Fingerprint Data Router (FDR) for the state Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS). The estimated cost for the Livescan and AFIS modifications has been determined by MorphoTrack, the state AFIS vendor, to be approximately \$50,000.

In addition to the Livescan and AFIS enhancements, the state's Computerized Criminal History (CCH) system will require updates as well. The estimated changes to the CCH system by the state's CCH Vendor, Computer Projects of Illinois, include a total of 1,770 (150 + 240 + 300 + 300 + 300 + 210 + 180 + 90) hours of combined work @ \$100 per hour for a total price of \$177,000 (1,770 x \$100) based on the following projections:

```
150 hours - Discovery and Design
```

240 hours - Changes to the Uniform Charge Code Table application and database

 $300\ hours$  - Other database changes to adjust for Charge Code changes

300 hours - Stored procedure code

300 hours - Forms - Messenger, CCH Client, UCT Editor, SOR, etc.

210 hours - Switch transaction changes

180 hours - Testing

90 hours - Project Management

1,770 hours - total

In addition, modifications would need to be made to Niche/FATPOT at a cost of \$3,500.

The systems affected are components of commercial systems purchased by the Patrol and since the programming code is not available to the Patrol, all changes must be made by the vendor.

Even though this projects a cost to Highway funds, the Patrol is pursuing a grant to potentially fund the cost of this project.

In summary, the MHP assumes a cost of \$239,710 (\$9,210 + \$50,000 + \$177,000 + \$3,500) to the Highway Fund in FY 2015 to implement these changes.

L.R. No. 4153-02 Bill No. SB 491 Page 10 of 18 January 8, 2014

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** state the proposal would have the following impact on Total State Revenues: Section 302.304.13, RSMo, terminates the \$20 reinstatement fee after two years from the effective date of any suspension or revocation imposed under chapter 302.

By moving suspensions and revocations from chapter 577 to chapter 302, the \$20 reinstatement fee may expire resulting in a substantial loss in revenue. The impact will be as follows:

- Chemical refusal revocations moved from section 577.041 to section 302.574: based on a sweep of the Missouri Driver License system (MODL), there are currently 85,556 active revocations that will no longer require the \$20 reinstatement fee as of January 1, 2016 (effective date of this legislation). This will result in a potential loss of \$1,711,120 (85,556 X \$20).
- Abuse and lose suspensions and revocations moved from section 577.510 to section 302.410: Based on a sweep of MODL, there are currently 3,839 active suspensions and revocations that will no longer require the \$20 reinstatement fee as of January 1, 2016. This will result in a potential loss of \$76,780 (3,839 X \$20).
- Ignition interlock revocations moved from section 577.614 to section 302.462: Based on a sweep of MODL, there are currently 281 active revocations that will no longer require the \$20 reinstatement fee as of January 1, 2016. This will result in a potential loss of \$5,620 (281 X \$20).

#### FY16

\$1,711,120 Loss of \$20 reinstatement fees for section 302.574 pursuant to 302.304.13 + \$76,780 Loss of \$20 reinstatement fees for section 302.410 pursuant to 302.304.13 + \$5,620 Loss of \$20 reinstatement fees for section 302.462 pursuant to 302.304.13 \$1,793,520 Total loss in reinstatement fees upon implementation.

Assuming the number of suspensions and revocations would remain the same in subsequent fiscal years, based on FY13 statistics, there were 912 suspensions and revocations pursuant to section 577.510; 10,208 revocations pursuant to section 577.041; and 68 revocations pursuant to section 577.614 added to MODL. Based on Section 302.304.13, RSMo, the twenty dollar reinstatement fees will be lost as follows:

FY17

L.R. No. 4153-02 Bill No. SB 491 Page 11 of 18 January 8, 2014

11,188 # of suspensions and revocations added in FY13

X \$20 Reinstatement fee

\$223,760 Revenue lost from the reinstatement fee each year.

### ASSUMPTION (continued)

Total Fiscal Impact breakout for the new sections 302.410, 302.462, and 302.574 are as follows:

FY16 = \$1,793,520 which would have been distributed as shown below.

75% Highway Fund = \$ 1,345,140 15% Municipalities = \$ 269,028 10% Counties = \$ 179,352

FY17 = \$ 223,760 75% Highway Fund = \$ 167,820 15% Municipalities = \$ 33,564 10% Counties = \$ 22,376

DOR also stated the numerous changes in the bill would require additional programming and have other administrative impacts on their department. DOR assumes a cost of \$22,874 for updated forms and envelopes and postage. DOR also assumes a level of effort from their IT staff valued at \$92,288 in FY 2016. In total, DOR assumes an administrative cost of \$115,162 in FY 2016 to make these changes.

**Oversight** assumes DOR is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. Oversight assumes DOR could absorb at least some of the costs related to this proposal within their current appropriation level. Oversight will therefore range the administrative cost from this proposal to DOR as "up to" their estimate.

Officials from the **Department of Transportation (MoDOT)** state SB 491 is a comprehensive (over 700 pages) criminal code revision bill and has several provisions that could result in a substantial negative fiscal impact to MoDOT. SB 491 contains multiple provisions that MoDOT believes will place the state out of substantial compliance with federal motor carrier safety regulations (FMCSRs) for commercial motor vehicles (CMVs). MoDOT believes we will be subject to an annual \$18 million dollar transfer of federal funds from construction dollars to be used for safety programs. The department would continue to receive the funds but would be limited to what they could be used for. The department also believes we will be subject to a \$32 million dollar loss of federal funds the first year and a \$62 million dollar lose each year thereafter. These funds would not be a transfer but would be a loss to the state. The department

L.R. No. 4153-02 Bill No. SB 491 Page 12 of 18 January 8, 2014

also believes there will be a loss of funds from the reinstatement of license changes but we defer to DOR for that impact on state road fund dollars.

Officials from **DOR** state the provisions of Section 302.574 may affect Missouri's eligibility to obtain federal grant money relating to alcohol impaired driving in the reauthorization bill known

## ASSUMPTION (continued)

as Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act ("MAP-21"). At this current time, the Department is still working to determine the impact of this provision with regard to MAP-21. The Missouri Department of Transportation may also provide details for the funding contained in MAP.

**Oversight** will range the fiscal impact of the proposal from \$0 (legislation would not impact Missouri's compliance with federal code) to the \$18.0 million penalty as stated by MoDOT.

Officials from the **Attorney General's Office** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal could be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** state this proposal is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact to their agency beyond its current appropriation.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE)** state there is no anticipated state cost to the foundation formula associated with this proposal. To the extent fine revenues exceed 2004-2005 collections, any increase in this money distributed to school districts increases the deduction in the foundation formula the following year. Therefore, the affected districts will see an equal decrease in the amount of funding received through the formula the following year unless the affected districts are hold-harmless, in which case the districts will not see a decrease in the amount of funding received through the formula (any increase in fine money distributed to the hold-harmless districts will simply be additional money). An increase in the deduction (all other factors remaining constant) reduces the cost to the state of funding the formula.

**Oversight** assumes any increase or decrease in fine or penalty revenues generated cannot be determined. Therefore, the fiscal note does not reflect any fine or penalty revenues for the local school districts.

According to officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)**, many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and

L.R. No. 4153-02 Bill No. SB 491 Page 13 of 18 January 8, 2014

regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, we also recognize that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what our office can

## <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

sustain with our core budget. Therefore, we reserve the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

**Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

Officials from the Office of Prosecution Services, Department of Natural Resources, Department of Social Services, Administrative Hearing Commission, Missouri Ethics Commission, Department of Health and Senior Services, Department of Economic Development, Missouri Gaming Commission, Office of the State Auditor, Department of Agriculture, Missouri Department of Conservation, Department of Mental Health, Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration, Department of Public Safety - Director's Office, and Department of Labor and Industrial Relations each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

If passed, this proposal has an effective date of January 1, 2016. Therefore, **Oversight** will assume the proposal will not have an impact until FY 2016.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
GENERAL REVENUE			
Savings - Department of Corrections  Decrease incarceration/probation costs from changes within the proposal	\$0	\$0	Unknown
<u>Costs</u> - Department of Corrections Increase incarceration/probation costs from changes within the proposal	\$0	(Unknown)	\$0
<u>Costs</u> - Office of the State Courts Administrator - to update the JIS system	\$0	(Less than \$100,000)	\$0
<u>Costs</u> - Department of Revenue - to updates various systems	\$0	(Up to \$115,162)	\$0
<u>Costs</u> - Office of the State Public Defender			
Personal Service (18 FTE)	\$0	(\$633,391)	(\$639,725)
Fringe Benefits	\$0	(\$323,061)	(\$326,292)
Expense and Equipment	<u>\$0</u>	(\$151,073)	(\$101,806)
Total Costs - SPD	<u>\$0</u>	(\$1,107,525)	(\$1,067,823)
FTE Change - SPD		18 FTE	18 FTE
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>\$0</u>	(More than <u>\$1,107,525)</u>	Less than <u>(\$1,067,823)</u>
Estimated Net FTE Change for the General Revenue Fund	0 FTE	18 FTE	18 FTE

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government (continued)	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
HIGHWAY FUNDS			
<u>Loss</u> - DOR - reinstatement fees	\$0	(\$1,345,140)	(\$167,820)
Costs - Missouri Highway Patrol			
Temporary Employee	\$0	(\$9,210)	\$0
Consultant Fees	\$0	(\$177,000)	\$0
Niche/FATPOT Modifications	\$0	(\$3,500)	\$0
MorphoTrack Modifications	<u>\$0</u>	(\$50,000)	<u>\$0</u>
<u>Total Costs</u> - MHP	\$0	(\$239,710)	\$0
Loss - MoDOT - some Federal funding		\$0 or	\$0 or
would have to spent on Highway Safety if	\$0	(\$18,000,000)	(\$18,000,000)
Missouri becomes non-compliant again		\ <u></u>	
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO		(\$1,584,850) or	(\$167,820) or
HIGHWAY FUNDS*	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	( <u>\$19,584,850)</u>	( <u>\$18,167,820</u> )

<sup>\*</sup> This does not reflect the potential loss of federal-aid highway funds of \$32 million in FY 2015 and \$62 million in FYs 2016 and 2017 if Missouri is found to be substantially in noncompliance with federal BAC laws.

## **HIGHWAY SAFETY FUND**

L.R. No. 4153-02 Bill No. SB 491 Page 16 of 18 January 8, 2014

Savings - MoDOT - some Federal funding would have to be spent on Highway Safety if Missouri becomes non-compliant	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 or \$18,000,000	\$0 or \$18,000,000
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE HIGHWAY SAFETY FUND	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 or <u>\$18,000,000</u>	\$0 or <u>\$18,000,000</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government  LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
<u>Loss</u> - Municipalities - lost reinstatement fees (15%) per DOR	\$0	(\$269,028)	(\$33,564)
<u>Loss</u> - Counties - lost reinstatement fees (10%) per DOR	<u>\$0</u>	(\$33,564)	(\$22,376)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(\$448,380)</u>	<u>(\$55,940)</u>

# FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

# **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

This bill changes the laws regarding the criminal code.

The changes shall become effective on January 1, 2016.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 4153-02 Bill No. SB 491 Page 17 of 18 January 8, 2014

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the State Courts Administrator

Office of the State Public Defender

Attorney General's Office

Department of Social Services

Office of the State Auditor

Department of Economic Development

Department of Public Safety

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations

Missouri Ethics Commission

Department of Health and Senior Services

Administrative Hearing Commission

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration

Department of Mental Health

Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

Missouri Gaming Commission

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Department of Agriculture

Missouri Department of Conservation

Office of Prosecution Services

Department of Revenue

Department of Transportation

Office of the Secretary of State

Department of Natural Resources

Department of Corrections

L.R. No. 4153-02 Bill No. SB 491 Page 18 of 18 January 8, 2014

> Mickey Wilson, CPA Director January 8, 2014

Ross Strope Assistant Director January 8, 2014