

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 4923-03
Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SB 673
Subject: Bonds - General Obligation and Revenue; Employees - Employers; Employment Security; Unemployment Compensation
Type: Original
Date: May 22, 2014

Bill Summary: This proposal would modify the duration of unemployment compensation and the method to repay federal advances.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 8 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
Unemployment Compensation Trust	\$0 to Greater than \$14,005,323	\$0 to Greater than \$14,005,323	\$0 to Greater than \$14,005,323
Unemployment Insurance Administration	\$0 to (Greater than \$35,684)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0 to Greater than \$13,969,639	\$0 to Greater than \$14,005,323	\$0 to Greater than \$14,005,323

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations (DOL)** assume the proposed changes would index the maximum number of weeks benefits are payable to a qualified claimant to the average statewide unemployment rate during the three months of the most recent third calendar year quarter. DOL officials estimate the proposed language may result in eligible claimants receiving from \$14 million to \$124 million less in regular unemployment insurance (UI) benefits than they would under current provisions. The following chart illustrates the DOLIR estimate of potential benefit reductions.

If Unemployment Rate Is	# Regular UI Weeks Reduced	Maximum Duration for Regular UI	Estimated Regular UI Benefits NOT Paid to Eligible Recipients (Trust Fund)	Incremental Difference For Additional Week
8.5-9.0	1 week	19 weeks	\$14,005,323	
8.0-8.5	2 weeks	18 weeks	\$28,822,457	\$14,817,134
7.5-8.0	3 weeks	17 weeks	\$44,848,868	\$16,026,411
7.0-7.5	4 weeks	16 weeks	\$62,584,281	\$17,735,412
6.5-7.0	5 weeks	15 weeks	\$81,904,487	\$19,320,206
6.0-6.5	6 weeks	14 weeks	\$102,689,620	\$20,785,134
< 6.0	7 weeks	13 weeks	\$124,567,299	\$21,877,679

Oversight does not have a way to estimate future unemployment rates; therefore, it is difficult to accurately predict the amount of savings this proposal could generate. Oversight will indicate savings to the Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund as \$0 (unemployment rate higher than reduced benefit rate) to Greater than \$14,005,323 (the DOLIR estimate of savings from a one week reduction in benefits.)

ASSUMPTION (continued)

DOLIR officials also assumed that implementation of this provision would require computer programming costs estimated at \$35,684.

Oversight will include the one time computer upgrades for the Department of Labor to the UI Administration Fund in this fiscal note.

DOLIR officials assume the proposed changes could reduce taxes paid into the Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund. Since fewer benefits would be paid under this proposal, the amount of tax that would otherwise be paid would be reduced in subsequent years as employer experience ratings are adjusted to reflect the reduction in benefit payments. DOLIR officials stated they cannot estimate this impact.

DOLIR officials also assume the proposed changes would reduce the amount of money DOLIR receives from the United States Department of Labor (USDOL) to administer the unemployment program because it would decrease the number of weeks claimed. DOLIR officials stated they cannot estimate this impact.

Finally, DOLIR officials assume the proposed changes would modify the balance levels in the Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund at which employer contribution rates would be changed. DOLIR officials noted the proposed language would allow for a higher balance to be accumulated in the fund.

Oversight assumes these provisions would have a negative impact on the fund, but considers the potential changes to be prospective and will not include them in this fiscal note. Further, Oversight assumes those adjustments would be made after the period covered by this fiscal note.

DOLIR officials noted this proposal would require the Board of Unemployment Fund Financing to meet and consider using the use of credit instruments in place of federal advances when the federal debt is greater than \$300 million.

Oversight assumes the Board of Unemployment Fund Financing would have the option to issue debt instruments in place of federal loans. Oversight notes the debt instruments may have a higher interest rate than the federal loans, but Missouri employers could potentially avoid the reduction in state tax credit on federal unemployment taxes if federal loans are paid off with state financing instruments. This would tend to offset the additional interest cost of the state financing instruments.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight will include a cost to the UI Administration Fund for the potential increased costs for the change to the repayment of the federal loan process. Oversight assumes the increased costs to the UI Administration Fund would be significantly less than the savings to the Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund. Therefore, these changes should result in a net savings to the State.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General** assume any potential costs arising from this proposal could be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Divisions of Accounting and Personnel, and Information Technology Services Division** assume there would be no fiscal impact to their organizations from this proposal.

Oversight notes this proposal could result in a reduction of unemployment cost for the state and for local governments due to the potential reductions in benefit payments, and the potential for eventual downward adjustment of unemployment tax rates for local governments. In addition, local governments could be affected by the potential elimination of state credit reductions on federal unemployment taxes. Oversight considers those potential changes to be prospective and will not include them in this fiscal note.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION TRUST FUND			
<u>Savings</u> - Department of Labor Reduction of weekly benefits based on unemployment rate	\$0 to Greater than <u>\$14,005,323</u>	\$0 to Greater than <u>\$14,005,323</u>	\$0 to Greater than <u>\$14,005,323</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION TRUST FUND	\$0 to Greater than <u>\$14,005,323</u>	\$0 to Greater than <u>\$14,005,323</u>	\$0 to Greater than <u>\$14,005,323</u>

**UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE
ADMINISTRATION FUND**

<u>Cost</u> - Department of Labor Computer programming changes	(\$35,684)	\$0	\$0
Credit instrument increased charges	\$0 to <u>(Unknown)</u>	\$0 to <u>(Unknown)</u>	\$0 to <u>(Unknown)</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION FUND	\$0 to (Greater than <u>\$35,684</u>)	\$0 to <u>(Unknown)</u>	\$0 to <u>(Unknown)</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Small businesses may be impacted by the potential reduction in unemployment taxes and by the potential elimination of state tax credit reductions on federal unemployment taxes.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

Under current law, the maximum duration for an individual to receive unemployment benefits is 20 weeks. This act would index the duration of benefit eligibility on the Missouri unemployment rate as follows:

- 20 weeks if the Missouri average unemployment rate is nine percent or higher;
- 19 weeks if the Missouri average unemployment rate is between 8 1/2% and 9%;
- 18 weeks if the Missouri average unemployment rate is 8% up to and including 8 1/2%;
- 17 weeks if the Missouri average unemployment rate is between 7 1/2% and 8%;
- 16 weeks if the Missouri average unemployment rate is 7% up to and including 7 1/2%;
- 15 weeks if the Missouri average unemployment rate is between 6 1/2% and 7%;
- 14 weeks if the Missouri average unemployment rate is 6% up to and including 6 1/2%; and
- 13 weeks if the Missouri average unemployment rate is below 6%.

Reductions in employer contribution rates would be required when the balance in the Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund reaches certain levels.

Under current law, the Board of Unemployment Fund Financing may issue credit instruments with a simple majority vote authorizing such issuance. This proposal would require the board to consider the issuance of credit instruments when the amount owed to the federal government for advancements exceeds \$300 million.

Under current law, interest is charged to employers when the state has an outstanding balance for federal advancements. Under this proposal, when credit instruments are required to be issued to pay off the balance of the federal advances, employers would be required to continue to pay the interest assessment to finance the credit instruments.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 4923-03
Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SB 673
Page 8 of 8
May 22, 2014

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Administration
 Division of Accounting
 Division of Personnel
 Information Technology Services Division
Department of Labor and Industrial Relations
Office of Attorney General



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
May 22, 2014

Ross Strobe
Assistant Director
May 22, 2014