# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

# **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 5065-02

Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for SB 731

Subject: Cities, Towns, and Villages; Counties; Courts; Property - Real and Personal

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: May 27, 2014

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to nuisance ordinances and

actions.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 5065-02

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for SB 731

Page 2 of 5 May 27, 2014

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials at the **Office of the Attorney General** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials at the **Office of the State Courts Administrator (CTS)** assume the fiscal impact of this proposal would not be in excess of \$100,000.

**Oversight** assumes that any costs from CTS relating to this proposal could be absorbed within their current appropriation level.

Officials at the **Office of the State Public Defender**, the **Department of Natural Resources** and the **Office of Prosecution Services** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

In response to a previous version, officials at **St. Louis County**, the **City of Columbia**, the **City of Kansas City**, the **City of Jefferson** and **Cole County** each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective organizations from this proposal.

Officials at the following cities: Clayton, Fulton, Harrisonville, Independence, Liberty, Springfield, St. Charles, St. Joseph and St. Louis did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

Officials at the following counties: Boone, Buchanan, Callaway, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Cass, Clay, Franklin, Greene, Jackson, Jefferson, Platte, St. Charles, St. Francois, and Taney did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

L.R. No. 5065-02

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for SB 731

Page 4 of 5 May 27, 2014

# FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

# FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

# SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Natural Resources
Office of the Attorney General
Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Office of the State Public Defender
City of Columbia
City of Jefferson
City of Kansas City
Cole County
St. Louis County

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

May 27, 2014

Ross Strope Assistant Director L.R. No. 5065-02

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for SB 731 Page 5 of 5 May 27, 2014

May 27, 2014