

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 5079-02
Bill No.: HB 1454
Subject: Telecommunications
Type: Original
Date: January 28, 2014

Bill Summary: This proposal changes the laws regarding utilities.

FISCAL SUMMARY

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE | 0 | 0 | 0 |

- Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
| Local Government | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources**, the **Office of the State Courts Administrator**, the **Department of Economic Development - Public Service Commission**, the **Department of Economic Development - Office of the Public Counsel**, the **Department of Economic Development - Division of Energy**, and the **Administrative Hearing Commission** each assume the current proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** state that the proposal is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact to their agency beyond its current appropriation.

Officials from the **City of Kansas City** assume the current proposal would not fiscally impact their local political subdivision.

Officials from the **City of Ashland**, the **City of Columbia**, the **County of Boone**, the **County of Clay**, the **County of Holt**, and the **Independence Power & Light District** did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

| | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| <u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u> | FY 2015 (10 Mo.) | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
| | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |
| <u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u> | FY 2015 (10 Mo.) | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
| | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.


FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Administrative Hearing Commission
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Department of Economic Development
Public Service Commission
Office of the Public Counsel
Division of Energy
Department of Natural Resources
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Office of the Secretary of State
City of Kansas City



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
January 28, 2014

Ross Strope
Assistant Director
January 28, 2014