

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 5556-04  
Bill No.: HCS for HB 1685  
Subject: Physicians; Health Care; Pharmacy  
Type: Original  
Date: March 7, 2014

---

Bill Summary: This proposal allows physicians to prescribe certain investigational drugs, biological products, or devices to certain eligible terminally ill patients.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

| <b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>       |            |            |            |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| FUND AFFECTED   | FY 2015    | FY 2016    | FY 2017    |
|   |            |            |            |
|   |            |            |            |
| <b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund</b> | <b>\$0</b> | <b>\$0</b> | <b>\$0</b> |

| <b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>              |            |            |            |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| FUND AFFECTED   | FY 2015    | FY 2016    | FY 2017    |
|   |            |            |            |
|   |            |            |            |
| <b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b> | <b>\$0</b> | <b>\$0</b> | <b>\$0</b> |

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

| <b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>                  |                |                |                |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| <b>FUND AFFECTED</b>  | <b>FY 2015</b> | <b>FY 2016</b> | <b>FY 2017</b> |
|   |                |                |                |
|   |                |                |                |
| <b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b> | <b>\$0</b>     | <b>\$0</b>     | <b>\$0</b>     |

| <b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b> |                |                |                |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| <b>FUND AFFECTED</b>                                      | <b>FY 2015</b> | <b>FY 2016</b> | <b>FY 2017</b> |
|   |                |                |                |
|   |                |                |                |
| <b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>                  | <b>0</b>       | <b>0</b>       | <b>0</b>       |

Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

| <b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b> |                |                |                |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| <b>FUND AFFECTED</b>                       | <b>FY 2015</b> | <b>FY 2016</b> | <b>FY 2017</b> |
| <b>Local Government</b>                    | <b>\$0</b>     | <b>\$0</b>     | <b>\$0</b>     |

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Mental Health**, the **Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration**, the **Office of the State Public Defender**, the **Office of the State Courts Administrator**, and the **Department of Social Services** each assume the current proposal will not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

In response to a previous version of this proposal, officials from the **Attorney General's Office** assumed that any potential costs arising from the proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** stated that they could not predict the number of new commitments which could result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in the proposal. An increase in commitments would depend on the utilization of prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the courts. If additional persons were sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC would incur a corresponding increase in operational costs through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY 2013 average \$5.07 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$1,851).

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

In response to a previous version of this proposal, officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services** and the **Office of Prosecution Services** each assumed the proposal will not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

| <u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u> | FY 2015<br>(10 Mo.) | FY 2016    | FY 2017    |
|---|---------------------|------------|------------|
|   | <u>\$0</u>          | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |

| <u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u> | FY 2015<br>(10 Mo.) | FY 2016    | FY 2017    |
|---|---------------------|------------|------------|
|   | <u>\$0</u>          | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.


FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration  
Department of Health and Senior Services  
Department of Mental Health  
Department of Corrections  
Department of Social Services  
Attorney General's Office  
Office of the State Courts Administrator  
Office of Prosecution Services  
Office of the State Public Defender



Mickey Wilson, CPA  
Director  
March 7, 2014

Ross Strobe  
Assistant Director  
March 7, 2014