COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0070-02

Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for HB 92

Subject: Attorney General, State; Boards, Commissions, Committees, Councils; Fees;

Lakes, Rivers, and Waterways; Mining and Oil and Gas Production; Utilities; Water Resources and Water Districts; Environmental Protection; Natural

Resources Department

Type: Original Date: June 1, 2015

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to the Department of Natural

Resources.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Oil and Gas Resources*	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Solid Waste Management Fund**	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

^{*}Revenues and Expenditures net to zero. ** Cost avoidance equal to the loss of revenue in FY18. Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 12 pages.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for HB 92

Page 2 of 12 June 1, 2015

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018		
Total Estimated					
Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Oil and Gas Resources	3 FTE	3 FTE	3 FTE	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	3 FTE	3 FTE	3 FTE	

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Solid Waste Management Districts	\$0	\$0	\$0 or (up to \$1,000,000)	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§§ 29.380, 260.325 - Solid Waste Management District Audits:

Officials from the **Office of the State Auditor (SAO)** assume the provision would not fiscally impact their agency.

Oversight assumes this provision <u>could</u> have a financial impact on solid waste management districts. Districts would be required to reimburse the SAO for costs of conducting an audit of the district <u>if</u> requested by the SAO.

Oversight assumes costs of conducting an audit and moneys collected from Solid Waste Management Districts would be deposited in the Petition Audit Revolving Trust Fund. Audit reimbursement costs will be limited to 2% of the Solid Waste Management District's annual monetary allocation.

In response to similar legislation from 2015 (SCS for HCS for HB 1058), officials from the **Southwest Missouri Solid Waste Management - District N** assumed a savings could incur from the bi-annual financial audit currently required for all districts receiving \$200,000 or less. District N pays \$3,800 every two years for a financial audit.

District N assumed this proposal could result in a cost of \$1,900 from the Office of the State Auditor to audit the District based upon the 2% maximum reimbursement auditor's fee.

Oversight is unable to determine how many audits would be completed for solid waste management districts, and of those audits, how many audits the Office of the State Auditor would request reimbursement from the district. Therefore **Oversight** will <u>not</u> reflect any additional cost or savings to solid waste management districts from this provision.

§ 260.330 - Landfill Tipping Fees:

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** note currently, the moratorium on increasing the demolition landfill tipping fee and the transfer station tipping fee is set to expire in 2017. This provision would extend the moratorium to 2027.

L.R. No. 0070-02 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for HB 92 Page 4 of 12 June 1, 2015

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **St. Louis-Jefferson Solid Waste Management District (SWMD)** assume this proposal extends the cap on the solid waste management fund for an additional 10 years.

SWMD assumes this would have a negative fiscal impact on resources available for local recycling programs and solid waste management district administration due to the lack of annual CPI inflation adjustment. This has been suspended for the last ten years, which led to a loss of approximately \$1,000,000 per year to the statewide solid waste management fund.

SWMD assumes based on an annual CPI inflation adjustment of 2%, and an annual disposal estimate of 5 million tons, extending the cap for an additional 10 years would result in the loss of an additional \$1,000,000 per year for local recycling programs.

Oversight assumes this provision extends the moratorium on increasing the demolition landfill tipping fee and the transfer tipping fee to the year 2027. These fees <u>could</u> be adjusted annually under current law, beginning in FY18, by the same percentage as the increase in the general price level as measured by the Consumer Price Index (CPI) if the moratorium on increasing the tipping fee were to expire.

Oversight assumes a direct fiscal impact of \$0 (DNR may choose not to increase the fee) or a loss up to (\$1,000,000) per year to the Solid Waste Management Fund passed on to Solid Waste Management Districts, beginning in FY18, <u>if</u> the moratorium would be allowed to expire and the tipping fee adjusted by the CPI.

§ 260.335 - Allocation of Solid Waste Management Fund Moneys & Grants:

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** assume this provision would provide that DNR <u>may</u> allocate up to \$200,000 each year to those solid waste management districts receiving fewer funds than under the previous district funding formula.

DNR assumes this provision appears to provide a funding mechanism for the newly defined "solid waste management projects" in years when insufficient or inadequate district grant applications are received by a district.

Currently DNR is not required to approve or deny grant applications in a specified number of days. Section 260.335.5 of this proposal sets forth a timeline for which DNR is required to either approve or deny an application.

L.R. No. 0070-02 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for HB 92 Page 5 of 12 June 1, 2015

ASSUMPTION (continued)

DNR assumes the current statutory language provides for up to 50% of a solid waste management district's allocation to be used for implementation of a solid waste management plan and district operations and for at least 50% of a solid waste management district's allocation to be allocated to cities, counties, persons or entities providing various solid waste management services. This provision sets forth criteria that may be considered in establishing the order of district grant priority.

§§ 259.052, 259.080, and 259.190 - Oil and Gas Resources Fund & Oil and Gas Remedial Fund:

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** assume revenues collected as a result of this provision would come from fee structures that are to be established by DNR while involving stakeholders and the State Legislature.

DNR assumes this provision would result in an increase to state revenue through fee funds. Any possible oil and gas revenue generated by fees established through this proposal will be controlled by the rate of oil and gas production.

DNR assumes this provision creates the Oil and Gas Resources Fund to consist of all gifts, donations, transfers, moneys appropriated by the general assembly, permit fees, and bequests, to be administered by DNR.

DNR assumes this provision would give the State Oil and Gas Council the authority to regulate release and forfeiture of surety bonds to cover proper well closure.

DNR assumes this provision would establish the ability by DNR to propose a fee structure with stakeholder involvement to the State Oil and Gas Council. If approved by a 2/3 majority of the council, DNR would file for rulemaking containing the proposed fee structure. The General Assembly can act to disapprove the fee structure within the first 60 days of the following session.

DNR assumes this provision would establish a late fee for failure to pay fees.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for HB 92

Page 6 of 12 June 1, 2015

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

DNR assumed 3 additional Geologists III (each at \$55,416 annually) are needed to implement these provisions.

	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018
Salaries:	\$138,540	\$167,910	\$169,590
Geologist III (3.0 FTE)			
Fringe Benefits	\$72,048	\$87,322	\$88,195
Expense and Equipment			
Standard - 3 FTE	\$39,287	\$18,296	\$18,754
Contracting-Engineering	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$12,000
Total	\$261,875	\$285,528	\$288,539

DNR assumed engineering services to provide reservoir engineering consultation and expertise, especially as it relates to enhanced oil recovery and emerging production technologies.

Oversight assumes this provision would result in additional income through fees established by the Oil and Gas Commission for oil and gas drilling permits.

Oversight assumes the additional fee income would be used for salaries, fringe benefits, expenses, and equipment for the 3 FTE as well as contract engineering paid from the Oil and Gas Resources Fund set to cover the costs of the program.

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning (B&P)** assume the proposal would authorize the promulgation of regulations to establish a fee structure for regulated oil and gas activities, with revenues to be deposited into a new state fund, the Oil and Gas Resources Fund, which it would also create. Any new fees established under such rules would affect both TSR and 18e. Budget and Planning defers to DNR for an estimate of any such impact

L.R. No. 0070-02 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for HB 92 Page 7 of 12 June 1, 2015

ASSUMPTION (continued)

§§ 260.235, 260.395, 444.600, 444.773, 444.980, 621.250, 640.115, 643.075, 643.078, 644.051, 644.056 - Department of Natural Resources Permit Decision Appeal Procedures:

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** assume whenever a license is issued, renewed, denied, suspended or revoked by DNR, this proposal would allow any aggrieved person to file a petition for appeal with the Administrative Hearing Commission (AHC) within thirty days of the decision. Once the AHC has reviewed the appeal, the AHC shall make a recommendation to DNR environmental commissions. DNR's environmental commissions shall make the final decision. The commissions' decisions shall be subject to judicial review pursuant to chapter 536. No judicial review shall be available until and unless all administrative remedies are exhausted.

DNR does not anticipate any significant direct fiscal impact from these provisions.

§ 644.145 - Clean Water Law:

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** assume this provision would add public water or sewer treatment works to the types of facilities DNR would be required to make finding of affordability determinations when issuing permits that incorporate a new requirement for discharges.

DNR assume this provision relates to publicly owned water and sewer facilities permitted to discharge and therefore would anticipate minimal fiscal impact.

Oversight assumes this provision will have no direct fiscal impact on state or local government funds.

Officials from the Cole County Public Water District #4, Franklin County Water District, Cole County Public Water District #3, and the St. Charles County Public Water Supply District #2 did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact regarding this provision.

L.R. No. 0070-02 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for HB 92 Page 8 of 12 June 1, 2015

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Bill as a Whole

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** assume the department's Solid Waste Management Program anticipates the streamlining of the grant review and approval process will off-set any additional hours required to complete the biennial fiscal monitoring of the solid waste management districts.

Officials from the Department of Economic Development, Office of Administration - Administrative Hearing Commission, Department of Health and Senior Services, Joint Committee on Administrative Rules, Office of State Courts Administrator, Office of Governor, and the State Treasurer's Office each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for HB 92 Page 9 of 12

June 1, 2015

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
OIL AND GAS RESOURCES FUND	,		
Income - DNR			
§§ 259.010 - 259.190 - Oil and Gas	\$261,875	\$285,528	\$288,539
Council	, ,,,,,	,,.	* 9
G DND			
Costs - DNR			
§§ 259.010 - 259.190 - Oil and Gas Council			
	(\$12,000)	(\$12,000)	(\$12,000)
Contract Engineering Personal Service	(\$12,000)	(\$167,910)	
Fringe Benefits	(\$72,048)	(\$87,322)	(\$109,390)
Expense & Equipment	(\$72,048) (\$39,287)	(\$18,296)	(\$18,754)
Total Costs - DNR	(\$261,875)	(\$285,528)	(\$288,539)
FTE Change - DNR	3FTE	3 FTE	3 FTE
TTE Change - DINK	31 1 L	3111	STIE
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE			
OIL AND GAS RESOURCES FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Estimated Net FTE Change for the Oil and Gas Resources Fund	3 FTE	3 FTE	3 FTE

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for HB 92 Page 10 of 12

June 1, 2015

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT **FUND**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICTS	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 or (up to <u>\$1,000,000)</u>
Loss - SWMD § 260.330 - Tipping fee CPI adjustment: moratorium moved from 2017 to 2027			\$0 or (up to \$1,000,000)
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICTS	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Cost Avoidance - DNR § 260.330 -Tipping fee CPI adjustment revenue sent to Solid Waste Management Districts	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 or up to \$1,000,000
Loss - DNR § 260.330 - Tipping fee CPI adjustment: moratorium moved from 2017 to 2027	\$0	\$0	\$0 or (up to \$1,000,000)

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

§§ 259.052, 259.080, and 259.190 - Oil and Gas Resources Fund & Oil and Gas Remedial Fund:

This proposal includes a mechanism to establish fee structures for oil and gas production. Small businesses providing oil and gas could see an additional cost from this proposal.

§ 260.320 - Solid Waste Management Executive Boards:

Small businesses providing recycling and solid waste management services could see a positive fiscal impact. Under this provision Solid Waste Management District Executive Boards are encouraged to engage these small businesses and <u>not</u> perform projects that would compete with these small businesses.

§ 260.330 - Landfill Tipping Fees:

Small business solid waste management companies <u>could</u> expect to see a cost avoidance as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

§§ 259.052, 259.080, and 259.190 - Oil and Gas Resources Fund & Oil and Gas Remedial Fund:

This proposal creates the Oil and Gas Resources Fund consisting of appropriated moneys as well as permit application fees, operating fees, closure fees, late fees, severance fees, and gifts. Such money shall be use to administer provisions of law relating to oil and gas.

Currently, the Oil and Gas Remedial Fund may be used to pay the expenses incurred by the State Oil and Gas Council. This proposal repeals this provision.

§ 259.080 - Fee Structure:

Currently, the State Oil and Gas Council does not charge a fee for obtaining a permit for drilling operations. Under this proposal, the State Oil and Gas Council may authorize the Department of Natural Resources to file an order of rulemaking amending the fee structure for permit application fees, operating fees, closure fees, late fees, and extraction or severance fees after certain procedures are followed as set forth in this act.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for HB 92

Page 12 of 12 June 1, 2015

FISCAL DESCRIPTION (continued)

§ 260.330 - Landfill Tipping Fees:

Currently, the moratorium on increasing the demolition landfill tipping fee and the transfer station tipping fee is set to expire in 2017. This provision extends the moratorium to 2027.

Such authority to revise the fee structure in this manner shall expire in 2025. If any applicant fails to pay the appropriate fee, a penalty may be assessed and relief may be sought by the Department of Natural Resources in the appropriate circuit court.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Natural Resources

Department of Economic Development

Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning

Office of Administration - Administrative Hearing Commission

Department of Health and Senior Services

Office of State Courts Administrator

State Treasurer's Office

Office of Governor

Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

Office of the State Auditor

Southwest Missouri Solid Waste Management - District N

St. Louis-Jefferson Solid Waste Management District

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

June 1, 2015

Ross Strope Assistant Director June 1, 2015

KB:LR:OD