

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0291-01

Type: Original

Bill No.: SB 17

Date: January 7, 2015

Subject: Courts; Judges; Civil Procedure; Crimes and Punishment; Criminal Procedure

Bill Summary: This proposal changes intersectional references relating to court costs.

State Fiscal Highlights

- No direct fiscal impact on the state is anticipated.

Local Fiscal Highlights

- No direct fiscal impact on local political subdivisions is anticipated.

Fiscal Analysis

Officials at the **City of O'Fallon** assume if the court automation fee were to stay within each City and not go to the State, then the \$7.00 fee could produce \$84,000. If the cities were to receive a portion of the \$7.00, say \$3-\$5, then O'Fallon would recover an estimated \$36,000 - \$60,000.


Oversight assumes this legislation is making corrections to some intersectional references relating to court costs and there would be no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials at the **Office of the State Public Defender**, the **Office of the State Courts Administrator**, the **Office of the State Treasurer**, **Office of Prosecution Services** and the **Department of Revenue** each assume no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials at the **Platte County Board of Election Commission**, the **City of Columbia**, the **St. Louis County Board of Election Commission**, the **City of Jefferson** and **St. Louis County** each assume no fiscal impact from this proposal.

FISCAL ANALYSIS (continued)

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal. Also, this legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
January 7, 2015

Ross Strobe
Assistant Director
January 7, 2015