

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0447-01
Bill No.: SB 96
Subject: Campaign Finance; Ethics
Type: Original
Date: January 14, 2015

Bill Summary: This proposal establishes campaign contribution limits.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials at the **Missouri Ethics Commission (MEC)** assume the proposed legislation would implement campaign finance limits. The implementation of the above responsibilities would require the following additional resources:

- \$15,120 in expense and equipment to acquire contract services that would develop the MEC internal search capabilities for oversight of the campaign finance committees' adherence to the specific contribution limits, as established in 105.955.14, RSMo. Current campaign finance data collection would allow the MEC to use search capabilities, providing for a manual review by MEC staff of adherence to the established limits.
- 2 Business Analysts to conduct the routine work necessary in reviewing and providing oversight for the proposed legislation. The Business Analysts would review committee reports for compliance, prepare necessary notices, track the receipt of payment, and coordinate the necessary collection efforts. Currently two Business Analysts assist 2,800 committees in filing campaign finance reports, prepare and disseminate 15,000 notices, and review 11,000 campaign finance reports.
- If the Commission identifies significant violations during the process established in Section 105.955.14 (2), (3), RSMo, or complaints received increase significantly an Investigator would be required to provide the proper oversight.

Oversight assumes that the MEC has programmers on staff to handle the reprogramming of the computer systems and would not need to contract for these services. MEC did not reduce its programming staff when the campaign limits were repealed with SB 1038 and therefore the current FTE should be able to handle the increased programming responsibilities. **Oversight** assumes this proposal has no fiscal impact on the MEC.

In 2008, Senate Bill 1038 repealed campaign finance contribution limits in Missouri. At that time, the Missouri Ethics Commission did not reduce its number of FTE due to the cutback in the number of complaints, investigations, sending notices or tracking receipt of payment caused by the campaign limits being repealed. Therefore, **Oversight** assumes the current FTE should be able to handle the increased number of complaints and investigations due to the limits being reimposed. **Oversight** assumes this proposal has no fiscal impact on the Missouri Ethics Commission.

Oversight assumes that should the MEC have a significant increase in complaints, they can seek additional resources through the appropriation process.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight assumes that the once committees are informed of the new limits, they will comply with the provisions. Due to the limited number of non-compliers and assessment of surcharges, **Oversight** assumes there will be no fiscal impact.

Officials at the **Department of Revenue** assume no fiscal impact from this proposal.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION


The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 0447-01
Bill No. SB 96
Page 5 of 5
January 14, 2015

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Revenue
Missouri Ethics Commission



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
January 14, 2015

Ross Strobe
Assistant Director
January 14, 2015