COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0519-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 23

Subject: Children and Minors; Crimes and Punishment; Firearms and Fireworks; Weapons

Type: Original

Date: January 8, 2015

Bill Summary: This proposal creates the crimes of failing to stop illegal firearm

possession, negligent storage of a firearm, and failure to notify a school of

firearm ownership.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 0519-01 Bill No. SB 23 Page 2 of 6 January 8, 2015

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 0519-01 Bill No. SB 23 Page 3 of 6 January 8, 2015

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol** and **Office of the State Courts Administrator** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume the proposal would not have a fiscal impact on their agency. The creation of a new crime creates additional responsibilities for county prosecutors which may, in turn, result in additional costs which are difficult to determine.

For the purpose of this proposed legislation, officials at the **Office of State Public Defender** (**SPD**) cannot assume that existing staff will provide effective representation for any new cases arising where indigent persons are charged with the proposed new crime of failing to stop the illegal possession of a firearm - a new Class A misdemeanor, unless a child causes injury or death, then the offense becomes a new Class D felony. This bill also creates the new crime of negligent storage of a firearm - also a Class D felony.

While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide effective representation in all cases where the right to counsel attaches.

Oversight assumes the SPD can absorb the additional caseload that may result from this proposal.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state penalty provisions, the component of the bill to have potential fiscal impact for DOC, is for up to a class D felony through the end of 2016, and a class E felony beginning the first of 2017. Currently, the DOC cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase of direct offender costs either through incarceration (FY14 average of \$16.725 per offender, per day, or an annual cost of \$6,105 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY14 average of \$6.72 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$2,453 per offender).

L.R. No. 0519-01 Bill No. SB 23 Page 4 of 6 January 8, 2015

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

The following factors contribute to DOC's minimal assumption:

- DOC assumes the narrow scope of the crime will not encompass a large number of offenders:
- The low felony status of the crime enhances the possibility of plea-bargaining or imposition of a probation sentence;
- The probability exists that offenders would be charged with a similar but more serious offense or that sentences may run concurrent to one another.

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE)** defer to local school districts regarding costs associated with collecting, filing, and recording the firearm notifications as defined in Section 571.099.

Officials for the **Kansas City Public Schools** state they see no unfunded mandates within the proposed legislation.

In response to a similar proposal from the 2014 session (SB 548), officials from the **Francis Howell School District (FHSD)** stated there would be some fiscal impact to the FHSD, as their building information specialists would need to add this information to the student record. It is difficult to calculate an exact cost, but it is presumed to be de minimis.

Officials from the Columbia Public Schools, Cole County R-1 Public Schools, Fulton Public Schools, Harrisonville School District, Springfield Public Schools and St. Louis Public Schools did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

Based upon the responses we received, **Oversight** will assume the proposal would only cause a minimal impact to state agencies as well as local political subdivisions and could be absorbed within existing appropriation levels.

Subsection 571.099.4 allows a \$100 fine for failure to notify a school district or school of firearm ownership. **Oversight** assumes the amount of fine revenue collected from this provision will be minimal and will not reflect an impact in the fiscal note.

L.R. No. 0519-01 Bill No. SB 23 Page 5 of 6 January 8, 2015

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 0519-01 Bill No. SB 23 Page 6 of 6 January 8, 2015

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Public Safety
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Department of Corrections
Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the State Public Defender
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Kansas City Public Schools
Francis Howell Public Schools

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

January 8, 2015

Ross Strope Assistant Director January 8, 2015