COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1150-02 <u>Bill No.</u>: SJR 7

Subject: Constitutional Amendments; Judges; Juries; Liability; Civil Procedure; Courts;

Crimes and Punishment

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: January 23, 2015

Bill Summary: This joint resolution establishes a constitutional limit on punitive damages.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
General Revenue	\$0 to (More than \$7,100,000)	\$0	\$0	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0 to (More than \$7,100,000)	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 1150-02 Bill No. SJR 7 Page 2 of 6 January 23, 2015

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Total Estimated Net Effect on			
FTE	0	0	0

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Local Government*	\$0	\$0	\$0

^{*}Distribution increase (decrease) net to zero.

L.R. No. 1150-02 Bill No. SJR 7 Page 3 of 6 January 23, 2015

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials at the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** each year assume a number of joint resolutions that would refer to a vote of the people a constitutional amendment and bills that would refer to a vote of the people the statutory issue in the legislation may be considered by the General Assembly.

Unless a special election is called for the purpose, Joint Resolutions proposing a constitutional amendment are submitted to a vote of the people at the next general election. Article XII section 2(b) of the Missouri Constitution authorizes the Governor to order a special election for constitutional amendments referred to the people. If a special election is called to submit a Joint Resolution to a vote of the people, section 115.063.2, RSMo requires the state to pay the costs. The cost of the special election has been estimated to be \$7.1 million based on the cost of the 2012 Presidential Preference Primary.

The SOS is required to pay for publishing in local newspapers the full text of each statewide ballot measure as directed by Article XII, Section 2(b) of the Missouri Constitution and Sections 116.230-116.290, RSMo. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. Funding for this item is adjusted each year depending upon the election cycle with \$1.3 million historically appropriated in odd numbered fiscal years and \$100,000 appropriated in even numbered fiscal years to meet these requirements. Through FY 2013, the appropriation had historically been an estimated appropriation because the final cost is dependent upon the number of ballot measures approved by the General Assembly and the initiative petitions certified for the ballot. In FY 2013, at the August and November elections, there were 5 statewide Constitutional Amendments or ballot propositions that cost \$2.17 million to publish (an average of \$434,000 per issue). In FY 2015, the General Assembly changed the appropriation so that it was no longer an estimated appropriation and the SOS was appropriated \$1.19 million to publish the full text of the measures. Due to this reduced funding, the SOS reduced the scope of the publication of these measures. In FY 2015, at the August and November elections, there were 9 statewide Constitutional Amendments or ballot propositions that cost \$1.1 million to publish (an average of \$122,000 per issue). Despite the FY 2015 reduction, the SOS will continue to assume, for the purposes of this fiscal note, that it should have the full appropriation authority it needs to meet the publishing requirements. Because these requirements are mandatory, we reserve the right to request funding to meet the cost of our publishing requirements if the Governor and the General Assembly again change the amount or continue to not designate it as an estimated appropriation.

L.R. No. 1150-02 Bill No. SJR 7 Page 4 of 6 January 23, 2015

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight has reflected in this fiscal note, the state potentially reimbursing local political subdivisions the cost of having this joint resolution voted on during a special election in fiscal year 2016. This reflects the decision made by the Joint Committee on Legislative Research, that the cost of the elections should be shown in the fiscal note. The next scheduled primary election is in August 2016 (FY 2017) and the next scheduled general election is in November 2016 (FY 2017). It is assumed the subject within this proposal could be on either of those ballots; however, it could also be on a special election called for by the Governor. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a potential election cost reimbursement to local political subdivisions in FY 2016.

Officials at the **Office of the Attorney General** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials at the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** and the **Department of Corrections** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

0 or (More than 7,100,000)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
	(10 Mo.) 0 or (More than	(10 Mo.) 0 or (More than

L.R. No. 1150-02 Bill No. SJR 7 Page 5 of 6 January 23, 2015

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>Costs</u> - Local Election Authorities - cost of a special election	\$0 or (More than \$7,100,000)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>Transfer In</u> - Local Election Authorities - reimbursement of election costs by the State for a special election	\$0 or More than \$7,100,000	\$0	\$0
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government		FY 2017	FY 2018

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposed constitutional amendment, if approved by the qualified voters of this state, provides that the right to the determination of punitive damages by a jury shall remain inviolate, except that no award for punitive damages shall be greater than five hundred thousand dollars or five times the net amount of the judgment awarded to the plaintiff against the defendant. Such limitations may be reduced by law, and shall not apply if the defendant pleads guilty to or is convicted of a felony arising out of the acts or omissions pled by the plaintiff.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 1150-02 Bill No. SJR 7 Page 6 of 6 January 23, 2015

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Attorney General Office of the State Courts Administrator Department of Corrections Office of the Secretary of State

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

January 23, 2015

Ross Strope Assistant Director January 23, 2015