

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 1168-01

Type: Original

Bill No.: SB 229

Date: February 17, 2015

Subject: Cities, Towns, and Villages; Counties; Courts; Kansas City; Property - Real and Personal

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions regarding the ability of neighborhood organizations to bring nuisance actions in certain cities and counties.

State Fiscal Highlights

- No direct fiscal impact on the state is anticipated.

Local Fiscal Highlights

- No direct fiscal impact on local political subdivisions is anticipated.

Fiscal Analysis

Oversight was unable to receive some of the agency responses in a timely manner due to the short fiscal note request time. Oversight has presented this fiscal note on the best current information that we have or on prior year information regarding a similar bill. Upon the receipt of agency responses, Oversight will review to determine if an updated fiscal note should be prepared and seek the necessary approval of the chairperson of the Joint Committee on Legislative Research to publish a new fiscal note.

Officials at the **Department of Natural Resources** assume no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials at the **Platte County Board of Election Commission** assume no fiscal impact from this proposal.

In response to similar legislation filed in 2014, TAFP SCS for SB 731, officials at the **Office of the Attorney General** assumed that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

FISCAL ANALYSIS (continued)


In response to similar legislation filed in 2014, TAFP SCS for SB 731, officials at the **Office of the State Courts Administrator (OSCA)** assumed the fiscal impact of this proposal would not be in excess of \$100,000.

Oversight assumes that any costs from OSCA relating to this proposal could be absorbed within their current appropriation level.

In response to similar legislation filed in 2014, TAFP SCS for SB 731, officials at the **Office of the State Public Defender** and the **Office of Prosecution Services** each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

In response to similar legislation filed in 2014, TAFP SCS for SB 731, officials at **St. Louis County**, the **City of Columbia**, the **City of Kansas City**, the **City of Jefferson** and **Cole County** each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective entities from this proposal.

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal. Also, this legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
February 17, 2015

Ross Strobe
Assistant Director
February 17, 2015