COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1195-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 201

Subject: Appropriations; Corrections Department; Counties; County Government; Crimes

and Punishment; Prisons and Jails

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 9, 2015

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies the state's requirements to reimburse counties for

certain costs related to imprisonment and electronic monitoring for

criminal offenders.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018		
General Revenue	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)		
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue (Unknown) (Unknown) (Unknown)					

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 1195-01 Bill No. SB 201 Page 2 of 5 February 9, 2015

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018		
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018		
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED FY 2016 FY 2017 FY 20					
Local Government Unknown Unknown		Unknown			

L.R. No. 1195-01 Bill No. SB 201 Page 3 of 5 February 9, 2015

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Oversight was unable to receive agency responses in a timely manner due to the short fiscal note request time. Oversight has presented this fiscal note on the best current information that we have or on prior year information regarding a similar bill. Upon the receipt of agency responses, Oversight will review to determine if an updated fiscal note should be prepared and seek the necessary approval of the chairperson of the Joint Committee on Legislative Research to publish a new fiscal note.

Officials from **Cole County** state the legislation will have an estimated \$900,000 positive fiscal impact on their county annually.

According to budget submissions from the Department of Corrections, the state reimbursed counties for the past three fiscal years for Certificates of Delivery, extradition expenses, and for the cost of incarceration:

Certificates of Delivery	\$ 1,841,049	\$ 2,022,861	\$ 1,892,884
Extradition expenses	\$ 1,826,271	\$ 1,788,868	\$ 1,506,710
Incarceration expenses	\$34,393,293	\$34,248,866	\$33,518,451
TOTAL	\$38,060,613	\$38,060,595	\$36,918,595

Without a response from the Department of Corrections, **Oversight** will assume the proposal will increase the state reimbursement payments to the counties by an unknown amount.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO GENERAL REVENUE	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	<u>(Unknown)</u>
<u>Costs</u> - Department of Corrections change in reimbursement for costs of incarceration	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government GENERAL REVENUE	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
FIGGAL DADAGE GLAG	EX. 2016	EX. 0017	EX. 2010

L.R. No. 1195-01 Bill No. SB 201 Page 4 of 5 February 9, 2015

FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
	(10 Mo.)		

COUNTIES

Revenue - payments from the			
Department of Corrections - change in	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
reimbursement for costs of incarceration			

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO COUNTIES

<u>Unknown</u> <u>Unknown</u> <u>Unknown</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

Under current law, the state must reimburse counties for the cost of imprisoning a criminal offender who is eventually sentenced to the Department of Corrections for the time such offenders spent in the county jail. This act provides that the state must reimburse counties at a rate of half the cost currently being reimbursed to counties by the state beginning on the date state charges are filed against the prisoner by the county prosecutor, and at a rate of 100 percent of expenses after the offender is convicted of the state charge, regardless of whether the offender is sentenced to imprisonment in the Department of Corrections, to the county jail, or only sentenced to pay a fine. In addition, the state must pay 100 percent of the costs of the electronic monitoring of such offenders.

This act specifies that, if the defendant is sentenced to imprisonment or to pay a fine or both for a violation of a county ordinance and cannot pay the costs, the county must pay the costs and may seek reimbursement from the defendant.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 1195-01 Bill No. SB 201 Page 5 of 5 February 9, 2015

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Cole County

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

February 9, 2015

Ross Strope Assistant Director February 9, 2015