# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 1440-04

Bill No.: Perfected HCS for HB 769

Subject: Health Care; Physicians; Contracts and Contractors; Insurance - Medical

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: March 31, 2015

Bill Summary: This proposal allows for direct primary health care services to be provided

through a medical retainer agreement between the physician and patient.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 1440-04

Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 769

Page 2 of 4 March 31, 2015

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on All				
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 1440-04 Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 769 Page 3 of 4 March 31, 2015

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

# **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Insurance**, **Financial Institutions and Professional Registration (DIFP)** assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their agency. In response to the introduced version of the bill, DIFP assumed the need for one FTE Market Conduct Examiner III as well as approximately \$100,000 of filing fees to impact the Insurance Dedicated Fund. DIFP has updated their response to assume no impact from the proposal.

Officials from the **Department of Mental Health**, the **Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan**, the **Office of Administration**, the **Department of Health and Senior Services**, the **Department of Social Services**, and the **Missouri Department of Conservation** each assume the current proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol** defer to Department of Transportation for fiscal impact.

Officials from the **Department of Transportation** did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

This proposal could have a fiscal impact on health care providers.

L.R. No. 1440-04

Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 769

Page 4 of 4 March 31, 2015

## **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration Office of Administration
Missouri Department of Conservation
Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan
Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol
Department of Mental Health
Department of Health and Senior Services

Department of Social Services

## **Not Responding:**

Department of Transportation

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 31, 2015

Ross Strope Assistant Director March 31, 2015