

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 1746-03  
Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed HCS for SCS for SB 336  
Subject: Taxation and Revenue - Income; Employees - Employers; Revenue Department  
Type: Original  
Date: June 9, 2015

---

Bill Summary: This proposal would modify provisions relating to employee tips.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>FY 2018</b>
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume this proposal would limit the calculation of income tax withholding on tips received by employees to the greater of the amount of tips that an employee reports to the employer in a written statement or the amount of tips received by the employer and remitted to the employee.

#### Administrative impact

DOR officials assume Collections and Tax Assistance (CATA) would have additional customer contacts from employers with questions on employee withholding, and would require one additional Tax Collection Technician (Range 10, Step L) for every additional 15,000 contacts annually on the non-delinquent tax line, with CARES equipment.

The DOR response included one additional employee; with benefits, equipment, and expense the DOR estimate of cost to implement this proposal totaled \$41,204 for FY 2016, \$42,044 for FY 2017, and \$42,480 for FY 2018.

**Oversight** notes this proposal would make Missouri law similar to federal law with respect to calculating withholding on tips, and assumes employers would be able to use the federal reporting data for Missouri withholding calculations. Oversight assumes this proposal would have no impact on the amount of tip income and withholding reported to the state.

**Oversight** also assumes this proposal would have minimal impact to the Department of Revenue, and that DOR could implement this proposal with existing resources. If unanticipated additional costs are incurred or if multiple proposals are implemented which increase the DOR workload, resources could be requested through the budget process.

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning (BAP)** assume this proposal would not have an impact on Total State Revenue or on the calculation required under Article x, Section 18(e) of the state constitution.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** stated many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. SOS officials noted the SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session and stated the estimated fiscal impact for this proposal to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. SOS officials recognize this is a small amount and do not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs; however, they also recognize that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be greater than the SOS can sustain with existing resources. SOS officials reserve the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** assume this proposal would not have a fiscal impact to their organization.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.


FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State  
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules  
Office of Administration  
    Division of Budget and Planning  
Department of Revenue



Mickey Wilson, CPA  
Director  
June 9, 2015

Ross Strobe  
Assistant Director  
June 9, 2015