

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

**L.R. No.:** 2139-01

**Type:** Original

**Bill No.:** SB 430

**Date:** March 10, 2015

**Subject:** Annexation; Cities, Towns and Villages; Counties; Roads and Highways

---

**Bill Summary:** This proposal requires cities, towns, and villages proposing to annex a portion of a state highway to also annex a specified portion of the area on either side of the highway.

State Fiscal Highlights

- No direct fiscal impact on the state is anticipated.

Local Fiscal Highlights

- No direct fiscal impact on local political subdivisions is anticipated.

Fiscal Analysis


Officials at the **Department of Public Safety's Missouri Highway Patrol** and the **Missouri Department of Transportation** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

Officials at the **City of Kansas City** assume an unknown cost from this proposal. Because this proposal would increase the area required to be annexed, it would increase the area to which the City services must be provided. The cost would depend on a particular fact situation and the specific amount of additional area required to be annexed.

**Oversight** assumes this proposal would be permissive in nature and would be up to a governing body to decide if it would be cost effective for the local political subdivision to seek an annexation of an area.

FISCAL ANALYSIS (continued)

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal. Also, this legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.



Mickey Wilson, CPA  
Director  
March 10, 2015

Ross Strobe  
Assistant Director  
March 10, 2015