

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 2194-01
Bill No.: Perfected SB 497
Subject: Sewers and Sewer Districts; Courts
Type: Original
Date: April 27, 2015

Bill Summary: This proposal provides a procedure for the dissolution of special districts.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

In response to the previous version of this proposal, officials at the **Office of the Secretary of State**, the **Office of the State Courts Administrator**, the **Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District**, the **St. Louis County Board of Election Commission** and the **Platte County Board of Election Commission** each assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Senate Amendment 1

§393.015 - Non-payment of a sewer bill

In response to similar legislation (HB 824), officials at the **Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District**, the **City of O'Fallon** and the **City of Kansas City** each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective entities from this proposal.

In response to similar legislation from 2014, HB 2079, officials at the **City of Jefferson**, the **City of Columbia** and the **Cole County Public Water District Number 3** each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective entities from this proposal.

Officials at the following cities: Ashland, Belton, Bernie, Bonne Terre, Boonville, California, Cape Girardeau, Clayton, Dardenne Prairie, Excelsior Springs, Florissant, Frontenac, Fulton, Gladstone, Grandview, Harrisonville, Independence, Joplin, Kearney, Knob Noster, Ladue, Lake Ozark, Lee Summit, Liberty, Louisiana, Maryland Heights, Maryville, Mexico, Monett, Neosho, Pacific, Peculiar, Pineville, Popular Bluff, Raytown, Republic, Richmond, Rolla, Sedalia, Springfield, St. Charles, St. Louis, St. Robert, Sugar Creek, Sullivan, Warrensburg, Warrenton, Webb City, Weldon Spring and West Plains did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

Officials at the following water and sewer districts: Boone County Regional Sewer District, Cole County Public Water District #4, Franklin County Water District, Little Blue Valley Sewer District, Pulaski County Sewer District and the St. Charles County Public Water Supply District did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Senate Amendment 2

§ 644.145 - Clean Water Law:

In response to similar legislation (HB 1058), officials from the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** assumed this proposal would add public water or sewer treatment works to the types of facilities DNR would be required to make finding of affordability determinations when issuing permits that incorporate a new requirement for discharges.

DNR assumed this proposal relates to publicly owned water and sewer facilities permitted to discharge and therefore would anticipate minimal fiscal impact.

Oversight assumes this proposal will have no direct fiscal impact on state or local government funds.

In response to similar legislation (HB 1058), officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services**, the **Department of Economic Development-Division of Energy**, and the **Missouri Public Service Commission** each assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Cole County Public Water District #4**, **Franklin County Water District**, **Cole County Public Water District #3**, and the **St. Charles County Public Water Supply District #2** did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

Senate Amendment 3

Oversight assumes Senate Amendment 3 will have no fiscal impact on community improvement districts.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.


FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Economic Development
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Natural Resources
Office of State Courts Administrator
Office of Secretary of State
Missouri Public Service Commission
City of O'Fallon
City of Kansas City
City of Jefferson
City of Columbia
Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District
St. Louis County Board of Election Commission
Platte County Board of Election Commission
Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District
Cole County Public Water District Number 3



L.R. No. 2194-01
Bill No. Perfected SB 497
Page 6 of 6
April 27, 2015

Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
April 27, 2015

Ross Strobe
Assistant Director
April 27, 2015