# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 2410-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 553

Subject: Agriculture and Animals; Boards, Commissions, Committees, Councils; Counties;

County Government; Health Department; Health, Public; Natural Resources

Department

Type: Original

Date: April 14, 2015

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies the authority of county health center boards to

promulgate rules to enhance public health and prevent disease.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 2410-01 Bill No. SB 553 Page 2 of 5 April 14, 2015

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **ASSUMPTION**

§§ 192.300, 640.710 - County Health Center Boards:

Officials from the **Cooper County Public Health Center** state this proposal does not have a direct fiscal impact, however if a disease outbreak occurred due to the removal of the authority to confine or quarantine any agricultural product it would slow the public health notice and intervention to residents resulting in increased costs to contain a disease outbreak.

**Oversight** assumes this proposal would prevent any county commission from adopting a public health or disease prevention ordinance for structures used for agricultural purposes. Should a disease outbreak occur in a structure engaged in agricultural activities, reduced intervention by county public health centers to contain the disease outbreak could occur, resulting in additional local costs.

However, **Oversight** considers this an indirect fiscal impact, dependent upon a disease outbreak at an agricultural structure, and will not reflect this cost in the fiscal note.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** state many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

**Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

L.R. No. 2410-01 Bill No. SB 553 Page 4 of 5 April 14, 2015

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Department of Agriculture**, **Department of Natural Resources**, **Office of the Attorney General**, **St. Louis County**, **Boone County Department of Public Health**, and the **City of Independence Health Department** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
	<u>\$0</u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

#### §§ 192.300, 640.710 - County Health Center Boards:

Small business structures engaged in agricultural activities would no longer be subject to any public health or disease prevention ordinance adopted by a county commission. This could result in a savings to the agricultural small business due to less local regulation, however increased costs could also occur if the agricultural small business experienced a disease outbreak due to decreased local regulation.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 2410-01 Bill No. SB 553 Page 5 of 5 April 14, 2015

# **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Agriculture
Department of Natural Resources
Office of the Attorney General
St. Louis County
Boone County Department of Public Health
City of Independence Health Department
Cooper County Public Health Center

Mickey Wilson, CPA Director

Mickey Wilen

April 14, 2015

Ross Strope Assistant Director April 14, 2015