# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 4372-06

Bill No.: SS #2 for SCS for HCS for HB 1550 with SA 1

Subject: Children and Minors; Courts; Family Law; Marriage and Divorce

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: April 26, 2016

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions of law relating to child custody orders.

### **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 4372-06

Bill No.  $\,$  SS #2 for SCS for HCS for HB 1550 with SA 1

Page 2 of 5 April 26, 2016

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 4372-06

Bill No. SS #2 for SCS for HCS for HB 1550 with SA 1

Page 3 of 5 April 26, 2016

#### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Sections 452.310, 452.340, 452.375, 452.400, and 452.556

Officials at the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation modifies provisions of law relating to child custody orders. There may be some impact but there is no way to quantify that currently. Any significant changes will be reflected in future budget requests.

Officials at the **Department of Social Services**, the **Department of Mental Health** and the **Department of Corrections** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

In response to a previous version, officials at the **Department of Health and Senior Services** assumed no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Senate Amendment #1 - Sections 454.849 and 454.1728

**Oversight** notes this proposal would repeal §454.850 to §454.999 upon the effective date of this proposal. These sections are the 1996 Uniform Interstate Family Support Act. The Uniform Interstate Family Support Act (UIFSA) provides universal and uniform rules for the enforcement of family support orders. The Uniform Law Commission is made up of federal and state child support officials and is the enforcer of the UIFSA.

**Oversight** notes the Uniform Law Commission is requiring each state to adopt the 2008 UIFSA by the end of the 2015 legislative session as a condition to continue receipt of federal funds supporting state child support programs. Missouri is currently out of compliance as our statutes include the 1996 UIFSA and the 2008 UIFSA. Missouri is currently set to lose our federal funding if the 2008 UIFSA laws are not the only ones enacted.

This proposal is removing the 1996 UIFSA laws in Missouri with the repeal of §454.850 to §454.999. Additionally this proposal is enacting the 2008 UIFSA laws in §454.1500 to §454.1728 effective upon passage of this proposal. **Oversight** assumes that these changes would bring Missouri into compliance and not have a fiscal impact.

In response to similar legislation this year, SCS for SB Nos. 905 & 992, officials at the **Office of the Attorney General** assumed that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

L.R. No. 4372-06

Bill No. SS #2 for SCS for HCS for HB 1550 with SA 1

Page 4 of 5 April 26, 2016

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials at the **Department of Corrections**, the **Department of Social Services** and the **Department of Mental Health** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

In response to similar legislation this year, SCS for SB Nos. 905 & 992, officials at the **Office of the Governor** and the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** each assumed there is no fiscal impact from this proposal to their respective agencies.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2017 (10 Mo.)	FY 2018	FY 2019
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2017 (10 Mo.)	FY 2018	FY 2019
	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This proposal contains an emergency clause.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 4372-06 Bill No. SS #2 for SCS for HCS for HB 1550 with SA 1 Page 5 of 5 April 26, 2016

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of the State Courts Administrator
Department of Mental Health
Department of Corrections
Department of Social Services
Department of Health and Senior Services
Office of the Attorney General
Office of the Governor

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

April 26, 2016

Ross Strope Assistant Director April 26, 2016