COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 4833-07

Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for SB Nos. 586 & 651

Subject: Education, Elementary and Secondary; Elementary and Secondary Education

Department

Type: Original Date: June 8, 2016

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies the definition of "current operating expenditures"

and "state adequacy target" for the purposes of state funding and applies

the definition of "average daily attendance" to charter schools.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018 FY 20		
General Revenue*	Could exceed	Could exceed	Could exceed	
	\$1,000,000 to	\$1,000,000 to	\$1,000,000 to	
	(Could exceed	(Could exceed	(Could exceed	
	\$1,000,000)	\$1,000,000)	\$1,000,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	Could exceed	Could exceed	Could exceed	
	\$1,000,000 to	\$1,000,000 to	\$1,000,000 to	
	(Could exceed	(Could exceed	(Could exceed	
	\$1,000,000)	\$1,000,000)	\$1,000,000)	

^{*}Oversight notes that DESE and the Office of Administration's Division of Budget and Planning were not able to provide Oversight with a projection of when the foundation formula may be fully funded. This proposal has a provision that may not have a fiscal impact until such time as the formula is fully funded (§163.011). Oversight, for fiscal note purposes, is showing the impact of those provisions as if the formula were fully funded.

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for SB Nos. 586 & 651

Page 2 of 6 June 8, 2016

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019		
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for SB Nos. 586 & 651

Page 3 of 6 June 8, 2016

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials at the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE)** assume this proposal makes changes to the funding formula. One section would result in additional state aid; one section has the potential to be a savings in state aid. However, neither section will result in a fiscal impact unless the formula is fully funded.

DESE assumes §163.018 will have an undetermined increase in cost to the formula by the inclusion of charter schools in the schools that can count preschool children in the Average Daily Attendance for state aid purposes. The potential cost could exceed \$1 million.

DESE assumes §163.011(3)(b) changes the law to provide for a cap on the increase in state funding that is included in the calculation of the state adequacy target. This has the potential to be a cost saver for the state. The potential savings could exceed \$1 million.

DESE assumes §163.011(18) might not cause immediate savings; however, this provision brings into control the rate of growth of the State Adequacy Target, thereby reducing potential future increases to the formula call for full funding.

Officials at the **Office of Administration's Division of Budget and Planning** defers to DESE for impact.

L.R. No. 4833-07 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for SB Nos. 586 & 651 Page 4 of 6 June 8, 2016

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight notes that DESE and the Office of Administration's Division of Budget and Planning were not able to provide Oversight with a projection of when the foundation formula may be fully funded. This proposal has a provision that may not have a fiscal impact until such time as the formula is fully funded. Oversight for fiscal note purposes is showing the impact of that provision as if the formula were fully funded.

Oversight notes that currently there are 16 Kansas City charter schools and 17 St. Louis charter schools that offer courses for kindergarten students. Oversight is unable to determine how many of these charter schools would add courses for preschool students. However, at the current state adequacy payment of \$6,110 per student is would only take 163 students (\$1,000,000 / \$6,110) to reach a million dollars.

Oversight notes the current state adequacy target for the FY 2015 school year is \$6,716. It is projected under the current formula and, if fully funded will be \$6,763 in FY 2016 and \$6,810 in FY 2017. This proposal would change the fully funded number from \$6,810 to \$6,241. Oversight notes that if the fully funded number is looked at it would appear that this proposal would generate more than \$456 million in savings to the state. However, Oversight notes that even though the fully funded number is currently \$6,716 the state only pays \$6,110 per student. This proposal, while changing the growth rate of the formula, still does not require fully funding of the formula. If the formula continues to be funded at \$6,110 per student, changing the fully funded number would not generate an actual savings.

Officials at the **Carondelet Leadership Academy** assume there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for SB Nos. 586 & 651

Page 5 of 6 June 8, 2016

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
----------------------------------	---------	---------	---------

GENERAL REVENUE

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE*	Could exceed \$1,000,000 to (Could exceed \$1,000,000)	Could exceed \$1,000,000 to (Could exceed \$1,000,000)	Could exceed \$1,000,000 to (Could exceed \$1,000,000)
Cost - DESE - charter school students included in ADA (§163.018)	(Could exceed \$1,000,000)	(Could exceed \$1,000,000)	(Could exceed \$1,000,000)
Savings - DESE - cap on the State Adequacy Target (§163.011)	Could exceed \$1,000,000	Could exceed \$1,000,000	Could exceed \$1,000,000

*Oversight notes that DESE and the Office of Administration's Division of Budget and Planning were not able to provide Oversight with a projection of when the foundation formula may be fully funded. This proposal has two provisions that may not have a fiscal impact until such time as the formula is fully funded (§§160.405 and 162.1250). Oversight, for fiscal note purposes, is showing the impact of those provisions as if the formula were fully funded.

	\$0	\$0	\$0
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This act modifies the definition of "current operating expenditures" so that, when used to recalculate the state adequacy target as provided in this section, any increase in state funding attributable to an individual district shall be limited to two hundred percent of the aggregate percentage increase in state funding for all of the performance districts used in the same recalculation.

This act contains an emergency clause.

JH:LR:OD

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for SB Nos. 586 & 651

Page 6 of 6 June 8, 2016

FISCAL DESCRIPTION (continued)

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Carondelet Leadership Academy
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Office of Administration
Division of Budget and Planning

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director June 8, 2016 Ross Strope Assistant Director June 8, 2016