# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.:4943-01Bill No.:SJR 27Subject:Campaign Finance; Constitutional Amendments; Ethics; LobbyingType:OriginalDate:January 6, 2016

Bill Summary: This proposal creates new constitutional provisions relating to ethics.

# FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
General Revenue	\$0 or (Greater than \$7,100,00)			
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0 or (Greater than \$7,100,00)	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 8 pages.

L.R. No. 4943-01 Bill No. SJR 27 Page 2 of 8 January 6, 2016

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Local Government*	\$0	\$0	\$0	

\*Transfers In and Cost net to zero.

L.R. No. 4943-01 Bill No. SJR 27 Page 3 of 8 January 6, 2016

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

Officials at the **Missouri Ethics Commission** (**MEC**) assume the proposed legislation would implement campaign finance limits. The implementation of the above responsibilities would require the following additional resources:

- \$15,120 in expense and equipment to acquire contract services that would develop the MEC internal search capabilities for oversight of the campaign finance committees' adherence to the specific contribution limits, as established in §105.955.14, RSMo. Current campaign finance data collection would allow the MEC to use search capabilities, providing for a manual review by MEC staff of adherence to the established limits.
- 1 Business Analyst to conduct the routine work necessary in reviewing and providing oversight for the proposed legislation. The Business Analyst would review committee reports for compliance, prepare necessary notices, track the receipt of payment, and coordinate the necessary collection efforts. Currently two Business Analysts assist 2,800 committees in filing campaign finance reports, prepare and disseminate 15,000 notices, and review 11,000 campaign finance reports.
- If the Commission identifies significant violations during the process established in Section 105.955.14 (2), (3), RSMo or complaints received increase significantly an Investigator would be required to provide the proper oversight.

The Commission would anticipate that changes or additions to the proposed language set forth in this bill, may require additional associated costs for FTE, equipment, and expenses.

In summary, the MEC assumes the need for one additional Business Analyst (at \$39,480) plus related expenses to implement this joint resolution. MEC anticipates costs totaling approximately \$63,000 per year if approved by voters.

In 2008, Senate Bill 1038 repealed campaign finance contribution limits in Missouri. At that time, the MEC did not reduce its number of FTE due to the cutback in the number of complaints and investigations caused by the campaign limits being repealed. Therefore, **Oversight** assumes the current FTE should be able to handle the increased number of complaints and investigations due to the limits being reimposed. Oversight assumes this proposal has no fiscal impact on the MEC.

L.R. No. 4943-01 Bill No. SJR 27 Page 4 of 8 January 6, 2016 ASSUMPTION (continued)

**Oversight** assumes that the MEC has programmers on staff to handle the reprogramming of the computer systems and would not need to contract for these services. MEC did not reduce its programming staff when the campaign limits were repealed with SB 1038 and therefore the current FTE should be able to handle the increased programming responsibilities.

**Oversight** assumes that should MEC have a significant increase in complaints, the MEC can seek additional resources through the appropriation process.

Officials at the **Office of the Secretary of State** (**SOS**) assume each year, a number of joint resolutions that would refer to a vote of the people a constitutional amendment and bills that would refer to a vote of the people the statutory issue in the legislation may be considered by the General Assembly.

Unless a special election is called for the purpose, Joint Resolutions proposing a constitutional amendment are submitted to a vote of the people at the next general election. Article XII section 2(b) of the Missouri Constitution authorizes the governor to order a special election for constitutional amendments referred to the people. If a special election is called to submit a Joint Resolution to a vote of the people, §115.063.2, RSMo, requires the state to pay the costs. The cost of the special election has been estimated to be \$7.1 million based on the cost of the 2012 Presidential Preference Primary. This figure was determined through analyzing and totaling expense reports from the 2012 Presidential Preference Primary received from local election authorities.

The SOS is required to pay for publishing in local newspapers the full text of each statewide ballot measure as directed by Article XII, Section 2(b) of the Missouri Constitution and Section 116.230-116.290, RSMo. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. Funding for this item is adjusted each year depending upon the election cycle with \$1.3 million historically appropriated in odd numbered fiscal years and \$100,000 appropriated in even numbered fiscal years to meet these requirements. The appropriation has historically been an estimated appropriation because the final cost is dependent upon the number of ballot measures approved by the General Assembly and the initiative petitions certified for the ballot. In FY 2013, at the August and November elections, there were 5 statewide Constitutional Amendments or ballot propositions that cost \$2.17 million to publish (an average of \$434,000 per issue). In FY 2015, the General Assembly changed the appropriation so that it was no longer an estimated appropriation and the SOS was appropriated \$1.19 million to publish the full text of the measures. Due to this reduced funding, the SOS reduced the scope of the publication of these measures. In FY 2015, at the August and November elections, there were 9 statewide Constitutional Amendments or ballot propositions that cost suppropriated \$1.19 million to publish the full text of the measures. Due to this reduced funding, the SOS reduced the scope of the publication of these measures. In FY 2015, at the August and November elections, there were 9 statewide Constitutional Amendments or ballot propositions

L.R. No. 4943-01 Bill No. SJR 27 Page 5 of 8 January 6, 2016 ASSUMPTION (continued)

that cost \$1.1 million to publish (an average of \$122,000 per issue). Despite the FY 2015 reduction, the SOS will continue to assume, for the purposes of this fiscal note, that it should have the full appropriation authority it needs to meet the publishing requirements. Because these requirements are mandatory, we reserve the right to request funding to meet the cost of our publishing requirements if the Governor and the General Assembly again change the amount or continue to not designate it as an estimated appropriation.

**Oversight** has reflected in this fiscal note, the state potentially reimbursing local political subdivisions the cost of having this joint resolution voted on during a special election in fiscal year 2017. This reflects the decision made by the Joint Committee on Legislative Research, that the cost of the elections should be shown in the fiscal note. The next scheduled statewide primary election is in August 2016 and the next scheduled general election is in November 2016 (FY 2017). It is assumed the subject within this proposal could be on that ballot; however, it could also be on a special election called for by the Governor. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a potential election cost reimbursement to local political subdivisions in FY 2017.

L.R. No. 4943-01 Bill No. SJR 27 Page 6 of 8 January 6, 2016

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2017 (10 Mo.)	FY 2018	FY 2019
GENERAL REVENUE			
<u>Transfer Out</u> - Secretary of State - reimbursement of local election authority costs <b>if</b> a special election is called by the Governor	\$0 or (Greater than \$7,100,00)	\$0	\$0
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE	\$0 or (Greater <u>than \$7,100,00)</u>	\$0	\$0
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2017 (10 Mo.)	FY 2018	FY 2019
LOCAL ELECTION AUTHORITIES FUND	(10 100.)		
<u>Transfer In</u> - Local Election Authorities -			
reimbursement of election costs by the State for a special election	\$0 or Greater than \$7,100,00	\$0	\$0
<u>Costs</u> - Local Election Authorities - cost of a special election	\$0 or (Greater than \$7,100,00)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON			
LOCAL ELECTION AUTHORITIES FUNDS	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

L.R. No. 4943-01 Bill No. SJR 27 Page 7 of 8 January 6, 2016

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

If approved by the voters, this constitutional amendment would impose the following contribution limits:

- For persons running for statewide elected office, \$10,000;
- For persons running for state senator, \$5,000; and
- For persons running for state representative, \$2,500.

The amendment would prohibit statewide elected officials and members of the General Assembly, in addition to their family, employees, and staff, from receiving any tangible or intangible item, service, or thing of value from lobbyists.

The amendment would further impose a one year cooling off period before members of the General Assembly may become lobbyists.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Missouri Ethics Commission Office of the Secretary of State

Mickey Wilen

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Ross Strope

SJ:LR:OD

L.R. No. 4943-01 Bill No. SJR 27 Page 8 of 8 January 6, 2016

Director January 6, 2016 Assistant Director January 6, 2016