## COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

**L.R. No.**: 4945-01 **Type**: Original

<u>Bill No.</u>: SB 698 <u>Date</u>: January 11, 2016

**Subject:** Counties; Bonds-Surety; Elections; County Government; County Officials; Property,

Real and Personal

**Bill Summary:** This proposal requires a candidate for public administrator to provide an affidavit stating that the candidate meets the bonding requirements.

#### State Fiscal Highlights

• No direct fiscal impact on the state is anticipated.

#### Local Fiscal Highlights

• No direct fiscal impact on local political subdivisions is anticipated.

# Fiscal Analysis

Officials at the **Office of the Secretary of State** and the **Department of Revenue** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

Officials at the Platte County Board of Election Commission, the Jackson County Board of Election Commission, St. Louis County, the St. Louis County Board of Election Commission, the Callaway County Commission and Lincoln County each assume no fiscal impact to their respective entities from this proposal.

Officials at the following boards of election commissioners: Kansas City Board of Election Commission, St. Louis City Board of Election Commission and Clay County Board of Election Commission did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

Officials at the following counties: Andrew, Atchison, Audrain, Barry, Bollinger, Boone, Buchanan, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Carroll, Cass, Christian, Clay, Cole, Cooper, DeKalb, Dent, Franklin, Greene, Holt, Jackson, Jasper, Jefferson, Johnson, Knox, Laclede, Lawrence, Maries, Marion, McDonald, Miller, Mississippi, Moniteau, Monroe,

L.R. No. 4945-01 Bill No. SB 698 Page 2 of 2 January 11, 2016

### FISCAL ANALYSIS (continued)

Montgomery, New Madrid, Nodaway, Ozark, Perry, Pettis, Phelps, Platte, Pulaski, Scott, Shelby, St. Charles, St. Francois, Taney, Warren, Wayne and Worth did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal. Also, this legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

Mickey Wilson, CPA Director

Mickey Wilen

January 11, 2016

Ross Strope Assistant Director January 11, 2016