

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 4945-03

Type: Original

Bill No.: SCS for SB 698

Date: February 26, 2016

Subject: Counties; Bonds-Surety; Elections; County Government; County Officials; Property, Real and Personal

Bill Summary: This proposal requires a candidate for public administrator to provide an affidavit stating that the candidate meets the bonding requirements.

State Fiscal Highlights

- No direct fiscal impact on the state is anticipated.

Local Fiscal Highlights

- No direct fiscal impact on local political subdivisions is anticipated.

Fiscal Analysis

Officials at the **Department of Revenue** assume no fiscal impact from this proposal.

In response to a previous version, officials at the **Office of the Secretary of State** assumed no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials at the **Platte County Board of Election Commission** assume no fiscal impact from this proposal.


In response to a previous version, officials at the **Jackson County Board of Election Commission, St. Louis County, the St. Louis County Board of Election Commission, the Callaway County Commission and Lincoln County** each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective entities from this proposal.

Officials at the following boards of election commissioners: Kansas City Board of Election Commission, St. Louis City Board of Election Commission and Clay County Board of Election Commission did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

FISCAL ANALYSIS (continued)

Officials at the following counties: Andrew, Atchison, Audrain, Barry, Bollinger, Boone, Buchanan, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Carroll, Cass, Christian, Clay, Cole, Cooper, DeKalb, Dent, Franklin, Greene, Holt, Jackson, Jasper, Jefferson, Johnson, Knox, Laclede, Lawrence, Maries, Marion, McDonald, Miller, Mississippi, Moniteau, Monroe, Montgomery, New Madrid, Nodaway, Ozark, Perry, Pettis, Phelps, Platte, Pulaski, Scott, Shelby, St. Charles, St. Francois, Taney, Warren, Wayne and Worth did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal. Also, this legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
February 26, 2016

Ross Strobe
Assistant Director
February 26, 2016