COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4945-04 <u>Type</u>: Original

Bill No.: Perfected SS for SCS for SB 698 **Date**: March 30, 2016

Subject: Counties; Bonds-Surety; Elections; County Government; County Officials; Property,

Real and Personal; Estates, Wills, and Trusts; Liability; Courts; Civil and Criminal

Procedure

Bill Summary: This proposal requires a candidate for office of public administrator to

meet the bonding requirements of the office and modifies laws regarding

estate administration.

State Fiscal Highlights

• No direct fiscal impact on the state is anticipated.

Local Fiscal Highlights

• No direct fiscal impact on local political subdivisions is anticipated.

Fiscal Analysis

Officials at the **Department of Revenue**, the **Department of Insurance**, **Financial Institutions and Professional Registration**, the **Office of the Secretary of State** and the **Office of Prosecution Services** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

Officials at St. Louis County, the Platte County Board of Election and the St. Louis County Board of Election Commission each assume no fiscal impact to their respective entities from this proposal.

In response to a previous version, officials at the **Jackson County Board of Election**Commission, the Callaway County Commission and Lincoln County each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective entities from this proposal.

Officials at the following boards of election commissioners: Kansas City Board of Election Commission and Clay County Board of Election Commission did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

L.R. No. 4945-04 Bill No. Perfected SS for SCS for SB 698 Page 2 of 2 March 30, 2016

FISCAL ANALYSIS (continued)

Officials at the following counties: Andrew, Atchison, Audrain, Barry, Bollinger, Boone, Buchanan, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Carroll, Cass, Christian, Clay, Cole, Cooper, DeKalb, Dent, Franklin, Greene, Holt, Jackson, Jasper, Jefferson, Johnson, Knox, Laclede, Lawrence, Maries, Marion, McDonald, Miller, Mississippi, Moniteau, Monroe, Montgomery, New Madrid, Nodaway, Ozark, Perry, Pettis, Phelps, Platte, Pulaski, Scott, Shelby, St. Charles, St. Francois, Taney, Warren, Wayne and Worth did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal. Also, this legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director March 30, 2016 Ross Strope Assistant Director March 30, 2016