# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 5051-02

Bill No.: Perfected SCS for SB Nos. 661, 726 & 741

Subject: Courts; Crimes and Punishment; Criminal Procedure; Law Enforcement Officers

and Agencies

Type: Original Date: April 6, 2016

Bill Summary: This proposal creates a cause of action for persons who have been

deprived of certain rights and modifies the statute specifying when police

officers are justified in using deadly force.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
General Revenue	\$0 to (\$185,193)	\$0 to (\$213,568)	\$0 to (\$215,692)
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0 to (\$185,193)	\$0 to (\$213,568)	\$0 to (\$215,692)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 7 pages.

L.R. No. 5051-02

Bill No. Perfected SCS for SB Nos. 661, 726 & 741

Page 2 of 7 April 6, 2016

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
General Revenue	0 or 3 FTE	0 or 3 FTE	0 or 3 FTE
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0 or 3 FTE	0 or 3 FTE	0 or 3 FTE

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ES	TIMATED NET EFFE	ECT ON LOCAL FUNI	DS
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 5051-02 Bill No. Perfected SCS for SB Nos. 661, 726 & 741 Page 3 of 7 April 6, 2016

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of Administration (COA)** state this proposed legislation provides that a person shall be liable for depriving another of a Missouri Constitutional right while acting under the color of law.

The state self-assumes its own liability under the state Legal Expense Fund, Section 105.711, RSMo. It is a self-funding mechanism whereby funds are made available for the payment of any claim or judgment rendered against the state in regard to the waivers of sovereign immunity or against employees and specified and individuals. Investigation, defense, negotiation or settlement of such claims is provided by the Office of the Attorney General. Payment is made by the Commissioner of Administration with the approval of the Attorney General.

If a claim were successfully brought against a state agency or a state employee alleging a violation of this proposal, the Legal Expense Fund could be required to pay such claim or claims.

Officials from the **Attorney General's Office** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal could be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Department of Social Services - Division of Legal Services (DSS - DLS)** state the bill creates a new cause of action for damages and injunctive relief against state agencies and employees. DSS - DLS does not have sufficient data to provide an accurate estimate of the fiscal impact.

This statute establishes a legal cause of action for damages and injunctive relief against state and local governmental employees who allegedly interferes with a person's rights, privileges or immunities under the constitution and the laws of the State of Missouri. The liability could arise out of almost any aspect of DSS operations. Because DSS's programs cover a wide range of issues - from Medicaid to child welfare, from blind pensions to TANF, and touching the lives of over a million Missourians each year the scope of the risk of lawsuits, even baseless lawsuits, is very high.

The Attorney General's office represents DSS employees who are sued for money damages during the course of their employment through the legal expense fund. The bill may pose a potential conflict for the AGO's office because the AGO's office has enforcement responsibilities under the law. However, in the past the AGO's office has provided legal counsel to defend state employees even where a conflict exists because they defend liability under the state legal expense fund. DSS assumes that the AGO's office will provide legal representation and pay any liability

L.R. No. 5051-02 Bill No. Perfected SCS for SB Nos. 661, 726 & 741 Page 4 of 7 April 6, 2016

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

through the state legal expense fund.

Even in cases where the AGO's office represents DSS in court, DLS provides substantial litigation support to the AGO's office and legal advice to DSS in the defense of these cases. DLS, for example, routinely works closely with the AGO's office in responding to discovery requests and providing technical assistance on specialized areas of the law. Therefore, DLS staff will have a role in defending any lawsuits brought under this new statute.

The new statute is modeled on the federal statute that authorizes a cause of action for damages and injunctive relief in federal court for alleged violations of federal rights (See 42 USC 1983). DLS therefore assumes that litigation of these cases will follow the same general pattern as the similar types of cases in federal court. Lawsuits filed under 42 USC 1983 typically involve complex legal and factual issues. They are time intensive to defend and it sometimes can take several years to bring these cases to a conclusion. It is not unusual for a DLS lawyer to spend well over 20 hours on these types of cases, even where the AGO's office is the attorney of record.

The American Bar Association has recommended a caseload of between 40 and 60 cases for attorneys who handle child and family welfare matters. DLS estimates that a DLS lawyer could provide litigation support of about 40 of these types of cases.

DLS does not have data to determine the number of cases that may be filed under this new statute, how long they will take to litigate and how the courts will interpret the scope and extent of liability under this statute.

If we assume that DSS will have 100 such cases pending at one time DLS estimates it could need up to 3 additional FTE per 100 cases.

In summary, DSS - DLS ranges the fiscal impact of the proposal from \$0 to approximately \$215,000 per year for three additional Litigation Attorneys (each at \$42,500).

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety** (**Missouri Highway Patrol**, **Fire Safety**, and **Capitol Police**), and the **Department of Natural Resources** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Conservation** state this proposal would have an unknown impact on their agency.

L.R. No. 5051-02

Bill No. Perfected SCS for SB Nos. 661, 726 & 741

Page 5 of 7 April 6, 2016

## ASSUMPTION (continued)

In response to a previous version, officials from the Cole County Sheriff's Office, the Springfield Police Department, and the Boone County Sheriff's Office each assumed the proposal would either have either minimal or no fiscal impact to their agency.

Officials from the Buchanan County Sheriff's Office, Cass County Sheriff's Office, Clark County Sheriff's Office, Columbia Police Department, Independence Police Department, Jackson County Sheriff's Department, St. Charles Police Department, St. Joseph Police Department, St. Louis Police Department, and the St. Louis County Police Department did not respond to our request for fiscal impact.

**Oversight** assumes state and local law enforcement agencies would be able to implement the changes in this proposal without incurring a material fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2017 (10 Mo.)	FY 2018	FY 2019
GENERAL REVENUE	,		
Costs - DSS - DLS - potential need for	\$0 to	\$0 to	\$0 to
additional attorneys to assist the AGO			
Personal Service (3 FTE)	(\$106,250)	(\$128,775)	(\$130,063)
Fringe Benefits	(\$54,258)	(\$65,457)	(\$65,809)
Expense and Equipment	(\$24,685)	(\$19,336)	<u>(\$19,820)</u>
<u>Total Costs</u> - DSS - DLS	\$0 to (\$185,193)	\$0 to (\$213,568)	\$0 to (\$215,692)
FTE Change - DSS	0 or 3 FTE	0 or 3 FTE	0 or 3 FTE
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE	<b>\$0</b> to	<b>\$0</b> to	\$0 to
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	\$0 to ( <u>\$185,193)</u>	\$0 to (\$213,568)	\$0 to ( <u>\$215,692)</u>
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
GENERAL REVENUE FUND  Estimated Net FTE Change for General	( <u>\$185,193)</u>	<u>(\$213,568)</u>	( <u>\$215,692)</u>
GENERAL REVENUE FUND  Estimated Net FTE Change for General Revenue	( <u>\$185,193)</u> 0 or 3 FTE	(\$213,568) 0 or 3 FTE	( <u>\$215,692)</u> 0 or 3 FTE
GENERAL REVENUE FUND  Estimated Net FTE Change for General	( <u>\$185,193)</u>	<u>(\$213,568)</u>	( <u>\$215,692)</u>
GENERAL REVENUE FUND  Estimated Net FTE Change for General Revenue	( <u>\$185,193)</u> 0 or 3 FTE  FY 2017	(\$213,568) 0 or 3 FTE	( <u>\$215,692)</u> 0 or 3 FTE

L.R. No. 5051-02 Bill No. Perfected SCS for SB Nos. 661, 726 & 741 Page 6 of 7 April 6, 2016

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This act states that any person acting under the color of law who deprives another of any right or privilege granted by the Missouri Constitution or laws of this state shall be liable to the injured party. Under the act, the Attorney General is authorized to bring a civil action on behalf of the injured party.

Current law provides that the use of physical force when making an arrest is not justified unless the arrest is lawful or the officer reasonably believes the arrest is lawful. This act adds a provision stating that the use of force when making an arrest is also not justified unless the amount of force used was objectively reasonable in light of the totality of the facts and circumstances confronting the officer, regardless of the officer's intent or motivation.

Under current law, a law enforcement officer may use deadly force when he or she reasonably believes the force is immediately necessary to effect an arrest and reasonably believes the suspect has committed or attempted to commit a felony, is attempting to escape by use of a deadly weapon, or may otherwise endanger life or seriously injure another person.

This act allows a law enforcement officer to use deadly force when effecting an arrest or preventing an escape from custody if the officer reasonably believes the force is immediately necessary to make the arrest or prevent the escape and reasonably believes the person has committed or attempted to commit a felony involving the infliction or threatened infliction of serious physical injury, is attempting to escape by use of a deadly weapon, or may otherwise pose a threat of serious physical injury to the officer or others unless arrested without delay.

Part of this act contains an emergency clause.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

### **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Attorney General's Office Department of Public Safety Department of Natural Resources

RS:LR:OD

L.R. No. 5051-02 Bill No. Perfected SCS for SB Nos. 661, 726 & 741 Page 7 of 7 April 6, 2016

Missouri Department of Conservation Department of Social Services Cole County Sheriff's Office Springfield Police Department Boone County Sheriff's Office

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director April 6, 2016 Ross Strope Assistant Director April 6, 2016