COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0427-02 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 183

Subject: Taxation and Revenue - Income; Taxation and Revenue - General; Tax Incentives;

Fees

Type: Original

Date: February 14, 2017

Bill Summary: This proposal would extend the Big Government Get Off My Back Act

and modify several provisions relating to the collection of money by

public entities.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
General Revenue	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 9 pages.

L.R. No. 0427-02 Bill No. SB 183 Page 2 of 9 February 14, 2017

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 0427-02 Bill No. SB 183 Page 3 of 9 February 14, 2017

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Although they did not respond to our request for information, officials from the **Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning (BAP)** noted a similar proposal in the previous session would renew the expired provisions of the Big Government Get Off My Back Act for an additional five years. BAP officials assumed Total State Revenue and the calculation required under Section 18(e) of the Missouri Constitution would be impacted, as the existing language expired on August 28, 2014.

User Fees

BAP officials noted the proposal would re-enact the ban on user fee increases imposed by the state over the life of the program; exclusions would be provided to implement federal and General Assembly approved programs. BAP officials also noted this provision could reduce Total State Revenue and revenue growth to the extent an agency intended to increase any of these fees.

Oversight considers the loss of potential fee increases to be prospective and will not include an impact in this fiscal note for those potential losses.

Tax Deduction for Job Creation

BAP officials also noted the proposal would extend, for five additional years, a tax deduction for any business with less than 50 employees that creates a qualifying job paying wages above the county average. The new employee would be required to work an average of 35 hours per week for 52 weeks. The deduction would range from \$10,000 to \$20,000, depending on whether the employer provides health insurance coverage. BAP officials assume the Department of Revenue would provide the amounts claimed for this deduction over the past five years, which could be an indicator for the amount Total State Revenue could be reduced over the next five years, and noted the Section 18(e) calculation would be reduced by the amount that is claimed in the first full year after the proposal is implemented.

BAP officials assumed the proposal could encourage economic growth which could impact Total State Revenue.

L.R. No. 0427-02 Bill No. SB 183 Page 4 of 9 February 14, 2017

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight considers potential economic growth to be prospective and will not include an impact in this fiscal note for potential revenue increases.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume this proposal would freeze user fees for an additional five years unless implemented by a federal program. The proposal would also extend the deductions allowed for creation of new jobs by a small business, and would modify the definition of "small business" to include those with less than one hundred employees.

Section 143.173, RSMo.

This section would allow a \$10,000 or \$20,000 deduction for each new job created by a small business. The proposal would include businesses with fewer than one hundred employees rather than the current fifty employee limit, increasing the number of taxpayers eligible for the deduction.

DOR officials stated that for 2014, 105 Missouri individual income taxpayers filed returns claiming the new jobs deduction in the amount \$1,532,416. Based on a top tax rate of six percent, DOR officials estimated a reduction of \$91,950 to Total State Revenue. In addition, 10 Missouri corporate filers claimed the new jobs deduction in the amount \$450,000. Based on a tax rate of six and one quarter percent, DOR officials estimated a reduction of \$28,125 to Total State Revenue.

DOR officials also noted the expansion to businesses with up to 100 employees could increase the negative impact on Total State Revenue.

Oversight will include in this fiscal note an estimated fiscal impact greater than \$100,000 per year to the General Revenue Fund for the new jobs creation deduction.

Administrative Impact

DOR officials noted the Department would require forms and programming changes to reauthorize this deduction.

L.R. No. 0427-02 Bill No. SB 183 Page 5 of 9 February 14, 2017

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight notes the DOR response did not include an estimate of the cost to implement this proposal and assumes the proposal could be implemented with existing resources. If unanticipated costs are incurred or if multiple proposals are implemented which increase the DOR workload or other costs, resources could eb requested through the budget process.

Officials from the **Department of Transportation** assume this proposal would lead to a potential unknown negative impact since it would prohibit fee increases through 2022.

Oversight considers the loss of potential fee increases to be prospective and will not include an impact in this fiscal note for those potential losses.

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS)** stated that prohibiting agencies from increasing fees could lead to increased costs to the General Revenue Fund. For instance, if the cost for newborn screening tests and follow-up increases beyond the amount the current fee will support, then DHSS would have to request General Revenue funding in order to ensure these vital services continue.

Oversight considers the loss of potential fee increases to be prospective and will not include an impact in this fiscal note for those potential losses.

According to officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)**, many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, we also recognize that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what our office can sustain with our core budget. Therefore, we reserve the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** stated this legislation is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact beyond its current appropriation.

L.R. No. 0427-02 Bill No. SB 183 Page 6 of 9 February 14, 2017

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Office of the Lieutenant Governor** assume this proposal would have little to no fiscal impact on their organization.

Officials from the **Office of the Attorney General** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal could be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the Office of State Courts Administrator, the Office of the Governor, the Office of the State Auditor, the Office of the State Treasurer, the Missouri Senate, the Missouri House of Representatives, the Missouri Department of Agriculture, the Office of Administration - Administrative Hearing Commission, Division of Accounting, Division of Purchasing, and Information Technology Services Division, the Department of Conservation, the Department of Corrections, the Department of Higher Education, the Department of Insurance, Financial Institution, and Professional Registration, the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, the Department of Mental Health, the Department of Natural Resources, the Department of Public Safety, Office of the Director, Capitol Police, Division of Alcohol and Tobacco Control, Division of Fire Safety, Missouri State Highway Patrol, Missouri Veterans Commission, State Emergency Management Agency, the Department of Social Service - Division of Legal Services, the State Tax Commission, the Missouri Gaming Commission, the Missouri Lottery Commission, the Missouri Ethics Commission, the Missouri Office of Prosecution Services, the Missouri State Public Defender, the Missouri State Employees Retirement System, the Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan, and the MoDOT and Patrol Employees Retirement System assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)
Revenue reduction - New Jobs Creation Tax Credit Program reauthorized.	(More than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(More than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(More than <u>\$100,000)</u>
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2018 (10 Mo.)	FY 2019	FY 2020
	EX 2010	EX 2010	EV 202

L.R. No. 0427-02 Bill No. SB 183 Page 7 of 9 February 14, 2017

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2018 (10 Mo.)	FY 2019	FY 2020

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation would extend the Big Government Get Off My Back Act and modify several provisions relating to the collection of money by public entities.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator

Office of the Governor

Office of the Lieutenant Governor

Office of the Secretary of State

Office of the State Auditor

Office of the Attorney General

Office of the State Treasurer

Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

Missouri Senate

Missouri House of Representatives

Missouri Department of Agriculture

Office of Administration

Administrative Hearing Commission

Division of Accounting

Division of Purchasing

Information Technology Services Division

L.R. No. 0427-02 Bill No. SB 183 Page 8 of 9 February 14, 2017

SOURCES OF INFORMATION (continued)

Department of Conservation

Department of Corrections

Department of Health and Senior Services

Department of Higher Education

Department of Insurance, Financial Institution, and Professional Registration

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations

Department of Mental Health

Department of Natural Resources

Department of Public Safety

Office of the Director

Capitol Police

Division of Alcohol and Tobacco Control

Division of Fire Safety

Missouri State Highway Patrol

Missouri Veterans Commission

State Emergency Management Agency

Department of Revenue

Department of Social Service

Division of Legal Services

Department of Transportation

State Tax Commission

L.R. No. 0427-02 Bill No. SB 183 Page 9 of 9 February 14, 2017

SOURCES OF INFORMATION (continued)

Missouri Gaming Commission Missouri Lottery Commission Missouri Ethics Commission

Missouri Office of Prosecution Services

Missouri State Public Defender

Missouri Employees Retirement System Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan

MoDOT and Patrol Employees Retirement System

Forsyth R-III School District

Not responding

Office of Administration
Division of Budget and Planning

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 14, 2017

Ross Strope Assistant Director February 14, 2017