# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 0427-03

Bill No.: SCS for SB 183

Subject: Taxation and Revenue - Income; Taxation and Revenue - General; Tax Incentives;

Fees

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 7, 2017

Bill Summary: This proposal would extend the Big Government Get Off My Back Act

and modify several provisions relating to the collection of money by

public entities.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	
General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 9 pages.

L.R. No. 0427-03 Bill No. SCS for SB 183

Page 2 of 9 March 7, 2017

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	
			_	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 0427-03 Bill No. SCS for SB 183 Page 3 of 9 March 7, 2017

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning (B&P)** noted this proposal would renew the expired Big Government Get Off My Back Act provisions for an additional five years beginning on August 28, 2017; the existing language expired August 28, 2014.

The first provision would prohibit increasing state user fees over this period unless part of federal and General Assembly program implementation. B&P officials assume this could reduce Total State Revenues (TSR) and General Revenue growth to the extent an agency intended to increase any of these fees.

**Oversight** considers the loss of potential fee increases to be prospective and will not include an impact in this fiscal note for those potential losses.

**B&P** notes that the second provision would require state agencies to certify any rule (other than those implemented resulting from a federal or General Assembly program) will not adversely impact small businesses with fewer than 25 full or part-time employees. An exception from these criteria would be rules regarding government programs utilizing private contractors that net Missouri taxpayer savings or if the program protects the life, health, or public safety.

The third provision would extend an expired tax deduction for five additional years for small businesses with less than 25 employees that create qualifying jobs paying wages above the county average. The new employee must work an average of 35 hours per week for 52 weeks. The deduction ranges from \$10,000-\$20,000, depending on the inclusion of employer-funded health insurance. In 2014, the Department of Revenue noted this deduction reduced state revenues around \$120,000. B&P officials noted in 2014, businesses with fewer than 50 employees could use the deduction while the proposed language would limit the deduction to businesses with 25 or fewer employees.

B&P officials stated that any claimed amounts could impact the constitutional revenue limit calculation and TSR.

B&P officials also noted this proposal may encourage other economic activity, but B&P cannot estimate such an amount. Also, this proposal does not preclude a taxpayer concurrently using other state tax credit programs.

L.R. No. 0427-03 Bill No. SCS for SB 183 Page 4 of 9 March 7, 2017

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

**Oversight** considers potential economic growth to be prospective and will not include an impact in this fiscal note for potential revenue increases.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume this proposal would freeze user fees for an additional five years unless implemented by a federal program. The proposal would also extend the deduction for creation of new jobs by a small business, and would modify the definition of "small business" to include those with less than twenty-five employees.

#### Section 143.173, RSMo.

This section would allow a \$10,000 or \$20,000 deduction for each new job created by a small business. The proposal would include businesses with fewer than twenty-five employees rather than the current fifty employee limit, reducing the number of taxpayers eligible for the deduction.

DOR officials stated that for 2014, 105 Missouri individual income taxpayers filed returns claiming the new jobs deduction in the amount \$1,532,416. Based on a top tax rate of six percent, DOR officials estimated a reduction of \$91,950 to Total State Revenue. In addition, 10 Missouri corporate filers claimed the new jobs deduction in the amount \$450,000. Based on a tax rate of six and one quarter percent, DOR officials estimated a reduction of \$28,125 to Total State Revenue.

DOR officials also noted that limiting the deduction to businesses with less than twenty-five employees could reduce the negative impact on Total State Revenue.

**Oversight** assumes the reduction in size of eligible small businesses (from fewer than 50 to fewer than 25) would reduce participation in the job creation tax deduction program and will include in this fiscal note an estimated impact less than \$100,000 per year to the General Revenue Fund for the new jobs creation deduction.

## Administrative Impact

DOR officials noted the Department would require forms and programming changes to reauthorize this deduction.

L.R. No. 0427-03 Bill No. SCS for SB 183 Page 5 of 9 March 7, 2017

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

**Oversight** notes the DOR response did not include an estimate of the cost to implement this proposal and assumes the proposal could be implemented with existing resources. If unanticipated costs are incurred or if multiple proposals are implemented which increase the DOR workload or other costs, resources could be requested through the budget process.

Officials from the **Department of Transportation** assume this proposal would lead to a potential unknown negative impact since it would prohibit fee increases through 2022.

**Oversight** considers the loss of potential fee increases to be prospective and will not include an impact in this fiscal note for those potential losses.

In response to a previous version of this proposal, officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS)** stated that prohibiting agencies from increasing fees could lead to increased costs to the General Revenue Fund. For instance, if the cost for newborn screening tests and follow-up increases beyond the amount the current fee will support, then DHSS would have to request General Revenue funding in order to ensure these vital services continue.

**Oversight** considers the loss of potential fee increases to be prospective and will not include an impact in this fiscal note for those potential losses.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Division of Fire Safety** stated the proposal could have a negative impact on their organization since their organization was planning to implement fee increases.

**Oversight** considers the loss of potential fee increases to be prospective and will not include an impact in this fiscal note for those potential losses.

L.R. No. 0427-03 Bill No. SCS for SB 183 Page 6 of 9 March 7, 2017

## <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

According to officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)**, many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, we also recognize that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what our office can sustain with our core budget. Therefore, we reserve the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** stated this legislation is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact beyond its current appropriation.

Officials from the **Office of the Attorney General** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal could be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the Office of State Courts Administrator, the Office of the Governor, the Office of the Lieutenant Governor, the Office of the State Auditor, the Missouri Senate, the Missouri House of Representatives, the Office of Administration - Administrative Hearing Commission, Division of Accounting, Division of Purchasing, and Information Technology Services Division, the Department of Conservation, the Department of Corrections, the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, the Department of Higher Education, the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, the Department of Mental Health, the Department of Natural Resources, the Department of Public Safety, Capitol Police, Division of Alcohol and Tobacco Control, Division of Fire Safety, Missouri State Highway Patrol, Missouri Veterans Commission, the Department of Social Service -Division of Legal Services, Family Services Division, and Division of Finance and Administrative Services, the Missouri Gaming Commission, the Missouri Lottery Commission, the Missouri Ethics Commission, the Missouri Office of Prosecution Services, the Missouri State Public Defender, the Missouri State Employees Retirement System, the Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan, and the MoDOT and Patrol Employees **Retirement System** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

L.R. No. 0427-03 Bill No. SCS for SB 183

Page 7 of 9 March 7, 2017

### ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the Office of the State Treasurer, the Missouri State Employees Retirement System, the State Tax Commission, the Missouri Department of Agriculture, the Department of Insurance, Financial Institution, and Professional Registration, and the Department of Public Safety, Office of the Director and State Emergency Management Agency assumed a previous version of this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2018 (10 Mo.)	FY 2019	FY 2020
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
Revenue reduction - New Jobs Creation Tax Credit Program reauthorized.	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2018 (10 Mo.)	FY 2019	FY 2020
	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation would extend the Big Government Get Off My Back Act and modify several provisions relating to the collection of money by public entities.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 0427-03 Bill No. SCS for SB 183

Page 8 of 9 March 7, 2017

#### **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of State Courts Administrator

Office of the Governor

Office of the Lieutenant Governor

Office of the Secretary of State

Office of the State Auditor

Office of the Attorney General

Office of the State Treasurer

Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

Missouri Senate

Missouri House of Representatives

Missouri Department of Agriculture

Office of Administration

Administrative Hearing Commission

Division of Budget and Planning

Division of Accounting

Division of Purchasing

Information Technology Services Division

Department of Conservation

Department of Corrections

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Department of Health and Senior Services

Department of Higher Education

Department of Insurance, Financial Institution, and Professional Registration

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations

Department of Mental Health

Department of Natural Resources

Department of Public Safety

Office of the Director

Capitol Police

Division of Alcohol and Tobacco Control

Division of Fire Safety

Missouri State Highway Patrol

Missouri Veterans Commission

State Emergency Management Agency

L.R. No. 0427-03 Bill No. SCS for SB 183 Page 9 of 9 March 7, 2017

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION** (continued)

Department of Revenue Department of Social Service Division of Legal Services

Department of Transportation

**State Tax Commission** 

Missouri Gaming Commission

Missouri Lottery Commission

Missouri Ethics Commission

Missouri Office of Prosecution Services

Missouri State Public Defender

Missouri Employees Retirement System Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan

MoDOT and Patrol Employees Retirement System

Forsyth R-III School District

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 7, 2017

Ross Strope Assistant Director March 7, 2017