# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

# **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 0441-04

Bill No.: CCS for HCS for SB 111

Subject: Counties; Bonds - Surety; Elections; County Government; County Officials;

Property, Real and Personal

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: May 10, 2017

Bill Summary: This proposal changes the law regarding political subdivisions.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND  |         |         |         |  |
|---|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED                                 | FY 2018 | FY 2019 | FY 2020 |  |
|   |         |         |         |  |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue | \$0     | \$0     | \$0     |  |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS                    |         |         |         |  |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED  | FY 2018 | FY 2019 | FY 2020 |  |
|  |         |         |         |  |
|  |         |         |         |  |
| Total Estimated<br>Net Effect on <u>Other</u><br>State Funds | \$0     | \$0     | \$0     |  |

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 0441-04

Bill No. CCS for HCS for SB 111

Page 2 of 6 May 10, 2017

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS                        |         |         |         |  |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED  | FY 2018 | FY 2019 | FY 2020 |  |
|  |         |         |         |  |
|  |         |         |         |  |
| Total Estimated<br>Net Effect on <u>All</u><br>Federal Funds | \$0     | \$0     | \$0     |  |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) |         |         |         |  |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED                                      | FY 2018 | FY 2019 | FY 2020 |  |
|  |         |         |         |  |
|  |         |         |         |  |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE                  | 0       | 0       | 0       |  |

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS |                |                |                |  |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED                       | FY 2018        | FY 2019        | FY 2020        |  |
| <b>Local Government</b>             | \$0 or Unknown | \$0 or Unknown | \$0 or Unknown |  |

L.R. No. 0441-04 Bill No. CCS for HCS for SB 111 Page 3 of 6 May 10, 2017

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

## **ASSUMPTION**

# §108.170

In response to a previous version, officials at the **City of Kansas City** assumed this proposal may have a positive fiscal impact on the City of an indeterminate amount.

**Oversight** assumes the local political subdivisions would not use the services of a municipal advisor unless it would either save money, provide some other benefit, or be absorbed within current budget appropriations. Therefore, Oversight will assume the proposal could have a positive fiscal impact to local political subdivisions from this proposal and will range the fiscal impact from \$0 to an unknown amount of savings.

In response to similar legislation from this year, HCS for SB 95, officials at **St. Louis County** and the **Platte County Board of Election Commission** each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective entities from this proposal.

In response to similar legislation from this year, HCS for HB 950, officials at the **Office of the State Treasurer** and the **Department of Public Safety** each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

In response to similar legislation from this year, HCS for HB 950, officials at the **Callaway County Commission** assumed no fiscal impact from this proposal.

# §135.963 and §347.048

# §135.963

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning** assumed this proposal would exempt enhanced enterprise zones properties from property taxes for more than 25 years, provided that during the ten-year period prior to the enterprise zone expiration date, no exemption could be granted for more than ten years.

If this reduces the amount of property taxes collected, it would also reduce the amount going to the state's Blind Pension Fund, therefore reducing total state revenue. The constitutional revenue limit calculation could also be impacted.

In response to a previous version, officials at the **City of Kansas City** assumed a positive fiscal impact on the City in an indeterminate amount from this proposal.

In response to similar legislation from this year, SCS for SB 426, officials from the **Kirksville R-III School District** assumed any time exemptions are made it results in a negative fiscal impact for schools.

L.R. No. 0441-04 Bill No. CCS for HCS for SB 111 Page 4 of 6

May 10, 2017

# <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

In response to similar legislation from this year, SCS for SB 426, officials from **Callaway County**, **St. Louis County** and the **Platte County Board of Elections** assumed this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

In response to similar legislation from this year, SCS for SB 426, officials from **Boone County**, **Johnson County**, the **Kansas City Public Schools**, and the **West Plains School District** assumed a previous version of this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

**Oversight** notes this proposal would limit property tax exemptions to ten years statewide, during the last ten years of authorization for the enhanced enterprise zone.

**Oversight** assumes the proposal would have no fiscal impact during the period covered by this fiscal note.

#### §347.048

In response to a previous version, officials at the **City of Kansas City** assumed this proposal will have a positive fiscal impact of an indeterminate amount.

**Oversight** assumes the positive fiscal impact to the City of Kansas City would be an indirect impact and therefore will reflect no direct fiscal impact for this proposal.

# §§473.730, 473.743, 475.120

In response to a previous version, officials at **Johnson County** and **St. Louis County** each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective entities from this proposal.

#### Bill as a whole

Officials at the **Department of Revenue** assume no fiscal impact from this proposal.

In response to a previous version, officials at the Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration, the Office of State Auditor, the Office of Administration, the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules, the Office of the Secretary of State, the Office of the State Courts Administrator, the Department of Health and Senior Services, the Department of Mental Health, the State Tax Commission and the Department of Social Services each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

In response to a previous version, officials at the **Jackson County Board of Election Commissioners** assumed no fiscal impact from this proposal.

In response to a previous version, officials at the **Platte County Board of Election Commissioners** and the **Callaway County Commission** each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective entities from this proposal.

L.R. No. 0441-04

Bill No. CCS for HCS for SB 111

Page 5 of 6 May 10, 2017

| FISCAL IMPACT - State Government | FY 2018<br>(10 Mo.) | FY 2019           | FY 2020    |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|
|                                  | <u>\$0</u>          | <u><b>\$0</b></u> | <u>\$0</u> |
| FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government | FY 2018<br>(10 Mo.) | FY 2019           | FY 2020    |

#### LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS

Savings - Local Political Subdivisions employing the services of a municipal advisor when issuing debt (§108.170)

\$0 to Unknown \$0 to Unknown

# ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS \$0 or Unknown \$0 or Unknown \$0 or Unknown

# FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Section 347.048 could have a direct fiscal impact on small businesses as a result of this proposal.

## FISCAL DESCRIPTION

# §108.170

This bill requires that any political subdivision issuing debt must use a competitive process unless employing a municipal advisor. The municipal advisor can use a negotiated or competitive process to issue debt, but cannot profit financially either directly or indirectly from the underwriter of a negotiated bond issuance and must be independent of the underwriter. The bill also requires the State Treasurer to provide authoritative guidance and information to political subdivisions on debt issuance to aid them with the process of issuing debt and awarding bonds to the highest and best bidder.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 0441-04

Bill No. CCS for HCS for SB 111

Page 6 of 6 May 10, 2017

# SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the State Courts Administrator

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration

Department of Health and Senior Services

Department of Social Services

Department of Mental Health

Office of the Secretary of State

Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

Office of Administration

Division of Budget and Planning

Department of Revenue

**State Tax Commission** 

Office of State Auditor

Department of Public Safety

Office of the State Treasurer

Jackson County Board of Election Commission

Platte County Board of Election Commission

St. Louis County Board of Election Commission

Kansas City Election Board

Callaway County Commission

Johnson County

St. Louis County

City of Kansas City

Kansas City Public Schools

West Plains School District

Kirksville R-III School District

**Boone County** 

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

May 10, 2017

Ross Strope Assistant Director May 10, 2017