# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0652-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 81

Subject: Courts; Auditor, State; Civil and Criminal Procedure; Crimes and Punishment;

Judges; Political Subdivisions; Counties; Cities, Towns and Villages

Type: Original

Date: January 10, 2017

Bill Summary: This proposal repeals provisions stating that community service

alternatives used by municipal courts must be offered at no cost to the

defendant.

### FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 0652-01 Bill No. SB 81 Page 2 of 4 January 10, 2017

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	
			_	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 0652-01 Bill No. SB 81 Page 3 of 4 January 10, 2017

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials at the **City of Kansas City** assume a savings may be experienced by this proposal because the recent prohibition on assessing costs of community service to defendants required community service to be paid by the City.

**Oversight** assumes this proposal repeals provisions stating community service alternatives used by municipal courts must be offered at no cost to the defendant. Oversight assumes once this proposal is repealed, cost will be accessed to the defendant thus allowing no additional cost to Local Political Subdivisions. Therefore, Oversight assumes the distribution of costs (from the community service) and revenue (from the defendant) will net to zero.

In response to LR 4953-14, TAFP CCS #2 for HCS for SS for SCS for SB 572, from 2016 session, no entity had any direct fiscal impact from this section 479.360, subsection 1(9). **Oversight** assumes, therefore, the direct fiscal impact to this change will be minimal.

Officials at the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** and the **Office of State Auditor** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

Officials at the **Callaway County Commission** and the **City of Columbia** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective entities from this proposal.

Officials at the following counties: Andrew, Atchison, Audrain, Barry, Benton, Bollinger, Boone, Buchanan, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Carroll, Cass, Christian, Clay, Cole, Cooper, Daviess, DeKalb, Dent, Franklin, Greene, Holt, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Knox, Laclede, Lawrence, Lincoln, Maries, Marion, McDonald, Miller, Mississippi, Moniteau, Monroe, Montgomery, New Madrid, Nodaway, Ozark, Perry, Pettis, Phelps, Platte, Pulaski, Scott, St. Charles, St. Louis, St. Francois, Taney, Warren, Wayne, Webster and Worth did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

Officials at the following cities: Ashland, Belton, Bernie, Bonne Terre, Boonville, California, Cape Girardeau, Clayton, Dardenne Prairie, Excelsior Springs, Florissant, Frontenac, Fulton, Grandview, Harrisonville, Independence, Jefferson City, Joplin, Kearney, Knob Noster, Ladue, Lake Ozark, Lee Summit, Liberty, Louisiana, Maryland Heights, Maryville, Mexico, Monett, Neosho, O'Fallon, Peculiar, Pineville, Popular Bluff, Raytown, Republic, Richmond, Rolla, Sedalia, Springfield, St. Charles, St. Joseph, St. Louis, St. Robert, Sugar Creek, Sullivan, Warrensburg, Warrenton, Webb City, Weldon Spring and West Plains did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

L.R. No. 0652-01 Bill No. SB 81 Page 4 of 4 January 10, 2017

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2018 (10 Mo.)	FY 2019	FY 2020
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2018 (10 Mo.)	FY 2019	FY 2020
	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

## FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the State Courts Administrator Office of State Auditor City of Kansas City City of Columbia Callaway County Commission

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

January 10, 2017

Ross Strope Assistant Director January 10, 2017

NM:LR:OD