

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0665-01
Bill No.: SB 137
Subject: Cities, Towns, and Villages; Kansas City; Property - Real and Personal
Type: Original
Date: January 11, 2017

Bill Summary: This proposal specifies that Kansas City may require the registration of certain properties.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials at the **City of Kansas City** assume this proposal would allow the assessment of a property registration fee for certain properties if approved by voters. Although new revenue would be expected, if the voters approved, the costs incurred by the City in dealing with these properties should neutralize any revenue received.

Oversight assumes this proposal is permissive in nature and would have no local fiscal impact without action by the governing body and approved by the majority of voters. The proposal does not preempt Kansas City from adopting certain property-related ordinances and registration fees to then be decided by a majority vote of the people. Therefore, Oversight assumes no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials at the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** and the **State Tax Commission** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

Officials at the **Jackson County Board of Election Commission** and the **Kansas City Election Board** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective entities from this proposal.

Officials at Jackson County, Clay County, the Clay County Board of Election Commission and Clay County did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2018 (10 Mo.)	FY 2019	FY 2020
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2018 (10 Mo.)	FY 2019	FY 2020
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.


FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

City of Kansas City
Office of the State Courts Administrator
State Tax Commission
Jackson County Board of Election Commission
Kansas City Election Board



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
January 11, 2017

Ross Strope
Assistant Director
January 11, 2017