COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 4489-05

Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for SS for SCS for HB 1719

<u>Subject</u>: Professional Registration and Licensing; Chiropractors; Dentists; Compacts;

Psychologists; Health Care; Health Care Professionals; Counseling; Athletics;

Mental Health

Type: Original

Date: June 20, 2018

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to professional registration.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	
General Revenue Fund	(\$7,477)	(\$18,632)	(\$17,896)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	(\$7,477)	(\$18,632)	(\$17,896)	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 13 pages.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for SS for SCS for HB 1719 Page 2 of 13 June 20, 2018

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021		
Cosmetology & Barber Examiners Fund	(\$10,000)	\$30,000	\$900		
Various DIFP Funds	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)		
Professional Registration Administration Fund*	\$0	\$0	\$0		
State Committee of Psychologist Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0 or Less than \$47,000		
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	(Could exceed \$10,000	\$30,000 or (Unknown)	\$900 or Less than \$47,000		

^{*} Transfer-in and Cost net to zero

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for SS for SCS for HB 1719

Page 3 of 13 June 20, 2018

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021		
Federal Funds*	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

^{*} One-time income and expenses less than \$50,000 in FY 19 net to \$0.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	
General Revenue Fund	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE	

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for SS for SCS for HB 1719

Page 4 of 13 June 20, 2018

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Sections 285.700 to 285.750 - Professional Employer Organization (PEO) Act

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** note that these sections require some new registrations with and investigations by their agency. They would need one new FTE Paralegal to carry out new duties under this proposal. (This is, after legal review of the final language, a reduction from the four FTE SOS requested in earlier versions of PEO proposals).

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** note the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics does not track PEOs. A website, Staffmarket.com, states that 64 PEOs are currently operating in the state of Missouri (12/12/2017). Department of Labor and Industrial Relations in a 2015 fiscal note (HB 757) stated that 853 non-Missouri based PEO units operate in Missouri. If foreign PEOs are required to register, it is estimated that 10% of current PEOs would participate. SOS estimates an 8% growth rate of new creations of this type of business with a decline of 6% in renewal registration the following years for various reasons. It is estimated 5% of registered entities will be reviewed for compliance and investigated. Of those investigated it is likely that few will have violations.

SOS assumed that the initial registration fee will be \$500, the renewal fee \$250, and a penalty fee of \$1,000. SOS estimates there will be revenue of \$78,500 (\$500 x 149 PEO new registration fees) and \$4,000 in penalty fees in FY 2019, \$6,000 (\$500 x 12 PEO new registration fees), \$35,000 (\$250 x 140 renewal fees), and \$2,000 in penalty fees for a total of \$43,000 for FY 2020, \$6,500 (\$500 x 13 new registration fees), \$35,750 (\$250 x 143 renewal fees), and \$2,000 in penalties for a total of \$44,250 for FY 2021.

Oversight assumes subsection 285.720.1 states the initial registration fee should not exceed \$500, Subsection 285.720.2 states that the renewal fee should not exceed \$250, and Subdivision 285.750.3(3) states that the penalty fee should not exceed \$1,000. Since SOS is projecting the maximum fees not covering their cost after the first year, Oversight will reflect the fee revenue as estimated by SOS.

Officials from the **Department of Insurance**, **Financial Institutions and Professional Registration (DIFP)** assume the following:

Section 324.047

It is unknown what professions may be affected by this legislation in the future.

KC:LR:OD

L.R. No. 4489-05 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for SS for SCS for HB 1719 Page 5 of 13 June 20, 2018

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Section 324.047.7

This section requires a report from the DIFP to the legislature each time a bill is filed proposing a new regulation of a profession or occupation. Each report would require the DIFP to research other states' laws, Missouri industry statistics, and national industry standards for occupations and professions that the DIFP has had no previous involvement with. Section 324.047.6 would require regulatory entities to submit similar and additional information to the DIFP upon the filing of a bill prosing additional regulation of a profession or occupation, which the DIFP would then make available to the legislature. Depending upon the number of reports necessary, the DIFP may need to request additional funding and/or FTE through the budget process to complete such reports.

At this time, the impact of the legislation and the reporting requirement is unknown.

Sections 328.025 and 329.033

The DIFP believes it can handle the provisions of this bill under existing appropriations. However, should the number of licensees requesting to receive a duplicate license be larger than anticipated, the DIFP may have to request additional appropriations through the budget process.

Sections 328.080 - 329.275

The Board of Cosmetology and Barber Examiners will need to develop and prepare a instructional video for hair-braiding.

An instructional video will be made and posted to the website at a cost of \$10,000.

Based on information the Board obtained through research and information its inspectors obtained, the DIFP estimates the 1,500 individuals in the state of Missouri will be required to be registered. In addition, a 3% growth rate has been estimated.

It is assumed 1,500 inspections will be conducted annually. Based on an average of 60 miles traveled per inspection and fuel costs of 0.37 per mile, total inspection costs are estimated to be 33,300 (1,500 inspections x 60 miles x 0.37 per mile).

L.R. No. 4489-05 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for SS for SCS for HB 1719 Page 6 of 13 June 20, 2018

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Staffing:

1 Office Support Assistant (\$23,628/annually) - Keyboarding needed to provide administrative support and assist with complaints and investigations

2 Inspectors (\$29,580/annually) needed to conduct inspections of facilities or premises. In order to be consistent with other duties of the board, it is anticipated the board will inspect each of the facilities or premises of the hair-braiding registrants once a year to ensure they are complying with the provisions of this statute, should this bill pass.

Revenue:

Provisions of the proposal provide a maximum registration fee of \$20. The DIFP assumes a one-time registration fee. Total revenue of \$30,000 is estimated for FY 20, with a 3% growth rate for subsequent years. Fees will be deposited into the Cosmetology and Barber Examiners Fund.

Oversight notes the provisions of the proposal only require hair braiders to register with the DIFP. Representatives of the Board of Cosmetology and Barber Examiners **may** visit any facility in which hair braiding is performed to determine if the brochure and completed self-test are available, but it is not required. Due to the limited number of individuals who may be required to register as hair braiders, **Oversight** assumes the DIFP can perform the requirements of this proposal with existing staff. Registration fees will be sufficient to cover the cost of developing the instructional video.

Section 337.020

DIFP is uncertain how this procedural change might apply to license applications for renewal.

L.R. No. 4489-05 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for SS for SCS for HB 1719 Page 7 of 13 June 20, 2018

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Section 337.100-337.167 - Psychology Interjurisdictional Compact

The compact will be effective when it is enacted into law in the seventh member state. Currently, two states have enacted the compact and 7 other states (including Missouri) have introduced legislation to enact the compact. It is uncertain if the compact would be enacted in FY19, FY20 or FY21. Once the compact is enacted the Division would have the following fiscal impact: \$3,000 to \$6,000 annual fee to participate in the compact.

Oversight notes that 3 states (AZ, NV, and UT) have enacted the compact and one state (IL) has pending legislation besides Missouri. Oversight assumes the earliest the proposal could be enacted would be FY21 since two states enacted the compact in 2017. However, since the compact will only become effective when the seventh state becomes a member, revenues and costs will be ranged as \$0 or... since it is unknown when the seventh state will become a member.

Oversight notes, based on discussions with DIFP officials, that the original compact fee would be paid from the Professional Registration Administration Fund (#0689) and then the fund would be reimbursed from the State Committee of Psychologists Fund (#0580). It would take 250 Interjurisdictional Practice Certificates to be issued to psychologists from compact member states for the potential income to reach \$50,000 (250 X \$200/certificate). Oversight assumes potential revenues will be less than \$50,000 annually if the compact is adopted by 7 member states and at least some are states that border Missouri.

Sections 632.005

Officials from the **Department of Social Services (DSS), MO HealthNet Division (MHD)** stated this legislation revises chapter 632 by adding definitions for psychiatric assistant physician and psychiatric physician assistant under chapter 334.

This legislation only adds definitions to chapter 632, but does not change MO HealthNet reimbursement under Chapter 208, RSMo, or impact MO HealthNet health care plans regulated under Chapter 376, RSMo.

Therefore, MHD assumes there would be no fiscal impact for Managed Care or Fee-for-Service with regard to utilization of services. However, there would be an estimated negative impact of \$67,000 for adding a new specialty. The total cost includes updating rules, prior authorization processing, and updates to the ad hoc reporting tool. This impact would be in FY19, and would be a 50/50 split between State and Federal.

L.R. No. 4489-05 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for SS for SCS for HB 1719 Page 8 of 13 June 20, 2018

ASSUMPTION (continued)

MHD assumes services provided by psychiatric physician assistant and psychiatric assistant physician will be paid from DMH budget, therefore MHD defers to DMH for costs associated.

Bill as a whole:

Officials from the Department of Revenue, Department of Public Safety - Division of Fire Safety, Department of Health and Senior Services, Department of Mental Health, Department of Agriculture, Department of Natural Resources, Department of Transportation, Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol, Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, Department of Economic Development, Missouri Department of Conservation, Missouri House of Representatives, Missouri Senate, Office of Administration, Office of Administration - Administrative Hearing Commission and Office of the State Auditor each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** state this legislation is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact beyond its current appropriation.

Officials from the **Attorney General's Office (AGO)** assume any potential cost arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in cases.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for SS for SCS for HB 1719 Page 9 of 13

Page 9 of 13 June 20, 2018

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government GENERAL REVENUE FUND	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
Revenue - SOS (§285.700) Penalty Fees Initial and Renewal Registration Fees Total Revenue - SOS	\$4,000 <u>\$74,500</u> \$78,500	\$2,000 \$41,000 \$43,000	\$2,000 <u>\$42,250</u> \$44,250
Cost - SOS (§285.700) Salaries Fringe Benefits Equipment and Expense Total Cost - SOS FTE Change - SOS	(\$32,083) (\$18,519) (\$1,875) (\$52,477) 1 FTE	(\$38,885) (\$22,337) (\$410) (\$61,632) 1 FTE	(\$39,274) (\$22,452) (\$420) (\$62,146) 1 FTE
<u>Cost</u> - DSS (§632.005) System Changes	(\$33,500)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>(\$7,477)</u>	<u>(\$18,632)</u>	<u>(\$17,896)</u>
Estimated Net FTE Change on the General Revenue Fund	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE
COSMETOLOGY AND BARBER EXAMINERS FUND			
Revenue - DIFP Registration Fees (§§ 328.080 - 329.275)	\$0	\$30,000	\$900
Cost - DIFP brochure printing and instructional video development (§§ 328.080 - 329.275)	(\$10,000)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE COSMETOLOGY AND BARBER EXAMINERS FUND	<u>(\$10,000)</u>	<u>\$30,000</u>	<u>\$900</u>

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for SS for SCS for HB 1719 Page 10 of 13

Page 10 of 13 June 20, 2018

June 20, 2010			
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government (continued)	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
VARIOUS DIFP FUNDS			
Cost - DIFP Research required for proposed regulated professions - (§324.047)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO VARIOUS DIFP FUNDS	\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u>	\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u>	\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u>
PROFESSIONAL REGISTRATION ADMINISTRATION FUND (#689)			
<u>Transfer-in</u> - from State Committee of Psychologists Fund (#580) (§§337.100 - 337.167)	\$0	\$0	\$0 or \$3,000 - \$6,000
<u>Costs</u> - DIFP (§§337.100 - 337.167)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 or \$3,000 - \$6,000
Interstate compact fee			
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE PROFESSIONAL REGISTRATION ADMINISTRATION FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for SS for SCS for HB 1719 Page $11\ {\rm of}\ 13$

Page 11 of 13 June 20, 2018

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government (continued)	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
STATE COMMITTEE OF PSYCHOLOGISTS FUND (#580)			
Income - DIFP (§§337.100 - 337.167) Practice certificates issued to compact state practitioners	\$0	\$0	\$0 or Less than \$50,000
Transfer-out - to Professional Registration Administration Fund (#689)(§§337.100 - 337.167) Interstate compact fee	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 or (\$3,000 - \$6,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE STATE COMMITTEE OF PSYCHOLOGISTS FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 or Less than <u>\$47,000</u>
FEDERAL FUNDS			
Income - DSS (§632.005) Increase in reimbursement for system changes	\$33,500	\$0	\$0
Costs - DSS (§632.005) System changes	(\$33,500)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for SS for SCS for HB 1719

Page 12 of 13 June 20, 2018

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

This proposal may positively impact small business physicians offices that might provide psychiatric treatment using physician assistants or assistant physicians. Also, small businesses would now potentially be required to pay a fee as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposal modifies provisions relating to professional registration.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Revenue

Department of Public Safety

Division of Fire Safety

Missouri Highway Patrol

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration

Department of Health and Senior Services

Department of Social Services

Department of Mental Health

Department of Agriculture

Department of Natural Resources

Department of Transportation

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Department of Economic Development

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS for SS for SCS for HB 1719

Page 13 of 13 June 20, 2018

SOURCES OF INFORMATION (continued)

Missouri Department of Conservation Office of the Secretary of State Attorney General's Office

Ross Strope

Acting Director

June 20, 2018