COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 4534-04

Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1769

Subject: Civil Penalties; Crimes and Punishment; County Officials; Secretary of State

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: June 28, 2018

Bill Summary: This proposal creates the offense of filing false documents.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021				
General Revenue	(\$15,515)	(\$37,981)	(\$58,111)				
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	(\$15,515)	(\$37,981)	(\$58,111)				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS									
FUND AFFECTED FY 2019 FY 2020 FY 2									
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0						

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 8 pages.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1769

Page 2 of 8 June 28, 2018

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS								
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021						
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0					

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)									
FUND AFFECTED FY 2019 FY 2020 FY									
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0						

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021				
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0				

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1769

Page 3 of 8 June 28, 2018

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** assume no fiscal impact on their organization.

Officials from **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assume the proposal repeals the current class E felony and establishes a class D felony for the first offense and in the conditions of aggravating circumstances a class C felony. The language in the newly created section is more specific than that in the current statute, and allows for a Class D felony for first time offenders, with an enhancement to class C for repeat offenders or offenses against certain government officials, police, fire fighters, etc. While this change makes the legislation more clear, it does not appear that any new actions would be considered a crime under these changes.

The current legislation found in RSMo 400.9-501 was modified in 2014 to introduce the criminal penalty. No charge code was ever created for this statute, and the legislation is still quite new, meaning that good data for the current impact is unknown. Thus, this will be treated as a new offense; further, as this offense is expected to be quite rare, only the D felony version will be considered. Under this situation, 3 individuals will be sentenced to prison and 5 to probation in each fiscal year. For incarcerated individuals, the average sentence is 4.8 years, with a total of 2.9 years in prison and 1.9 years on parole; the probationers serve a 3.0 year term.

If this impact statement has changed from statements submitted in previous years, it is because the DOC has changed the way probation and parole daily costs are calculated to more accurately reflect the way the Division of Probation and Parole is staffed across the entire state.

In December 2017, the DOC reevaluated the calculation used for computing the Probation and Parole average daily cost of supervision and revised the cost calculation to be used for 2018 fiscal notes. The new calculation estimates the increase/decrease in caseloads at each Probation and Parole district due to the proposed legislative change. For the purposes of fiscal note calculations, the DOC averaged district caseloads across the state and came up with an average caseload of 51 offender cases per officer. The new calculation assumes that an increase/decrease of 51 cases in a district would result in a change in costs/cost avoidance equal to the cost of one FTE staff person in the district. Increases/decreases smaller than 51 offenders are assumed to be absorbable.

In instances where the proposed legislation would only affect a specific caseload, such as sex offenders, the DOC will use the average caseload figure for that specific type of offender to calculate cost increases/decreases.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1769

Page 4 of 8 June 28, 2018

ASSUMPTION (continued)

The DOC cost of incarceration is \$17.003 per day or an annual cost of \$6,206 per offender. The DOC cost of probation or parole is determined by the number of P&P Officer II positions that would be needed to cover the new caseload.

	# to prison	Cost per year	Total Costs for prison	# to probation & parole	Cost per year	Total cost for probation and parole	Grand Total - Prison and Probation (includes and 2% inflation
Year 1	3	(\$6,206)	(\$15,515)	5	absorbed	\$0	(\$15,515)
Year 2	6	(\$6,206)	(\$37,981)	10	absorbed	\$0	(\$37,981)
Year 3	9	(\$6,206)	(\$58,111)	15	absorbed	\$0	(\$58,111)
Year 4	9	(\$6,206)	(\$59,273)	18	absorbed	\$0	(\$59,273)
Year 5	9	(\$6,206)	(\$60,458)	21	absorbed	\$0	(\$60,458)
Year 6	9	(\$6,206)	(\$61,667)	21	absorbed	\$0	(\$61,667)
Year 7	9	(\$6,206)	(\$62,901)	21	absorbed	\$0	(\$62,901)
Year 8	9	(\$6,206)	(\$64,159)	21	absorbed	\$0	(\$64,159)
Year 9	9	(\$6,206)	(\$65,442)	21	absorbed	\$0	(\$65,442)
Year 10	9	(\$6,206)	(\$66,751)	21	absorbed	\$0	(\$66,751)

Officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender** assume for the purpose of the proposed legislation, and as a result of excessive caseloads, the Office of the State Public Defender cannot assume existing staff will provide competent, effective representation for any new cases where indigent persons are charged with the proposed new crime of intentionally filing a fraudulent financing statement or any financing statement with the Secretary of State with the intent to harass or defraud any other person. This offense would be a new Class D Felony, unless the offense meets other circumstances, then it becomes a new Class C Felony. The Missouri State Public Defender System is currently providing legal representation in caseloads in excess of recognized standards.

While the number of new cases may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the Office of the State Public Defender will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide competent and effective representation in all cases where the right to counsel attaches.

L.R. No. 4534-04 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1769 Page 5 of 8 June 28, 2018

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume there may be some impact but there is no way to quantify that currently. Any significant changes will be reflected in future budget requests.

Officials from the **Attorney General's Office** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The Attorney General's Office may seek additional appropriations if there is a significant increase in litigation.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assumed the proposal will have no measurable fiscal impact on their organization.

Officials from the Department of Public Safety-Missouri State Highway Patrol, Department of Public Safety Capitol Police, Department of Public Safety Office of the Director, Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, Missouri Department of Conservation, Office of Administration-General Services, Office of Administration-Purchasing, Office of Administration-Personnel, Office of Administration-Facilities Management Design and Construction, Department of Revenue, Department of Transportation, and Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Officials from the **Platte County Board of Elections**, **Jackson County Election Board**, and **St. Louis County** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Officials from the City of Kansas City, City of Columbia, and City of Springfield each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Officials from the **State Technical College of Missouri**, **Missouri State University**, **University of Missouri**, and **University of Central Missouri** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1769

Page 6 of 8 June 28, 2018

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>(\$15,515)</u>	<u>(\$37,981)</u>	<u>(\$58,111)</u>
<u>Cost</u> - DOC - increased incarceration costs for creation of offense of filing false documents	(\$15,515)	(\$37,981)	(\$58,111)
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(10 Mo.)		
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill creates the offense of filing a false document, which is committed if, with intent to defraud, deceive, harass, alarm, or negatively impact financially, a person files, causes to be filed, or attempts to file, creates, uses as genuine, transfers or has transferred, presents, or prepares with knowledge or belief that it will be filed, presented, or transferred to the Secretary of State or his or her designee, any county recorder of deeds or his or her designee, any municipal, county, district, or state government entity or office, or any credit bureau or financial institution specified documents. For the first offense, filing a false document is a class D felony. Filing false documents is a class C felony in certain specified instances. Any person who is found guilty of committing such offense will be ordered to make full restitution to any person or entity that has sustained any actual losses as a result of the commission of such offense.

The bill specifies that a system must be created, by January 1, 2019, in which suspicious filings are logged, and outlines the process for petitioning the court when a person has probable cause to believe a filing is fraudulent.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1769

Page 7 of 8 June 28, 2018

FISCAL DESCRIPTION (continued)

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State

Department of Corrections

Office of the State Public Defender

Office of State Courts Administrator

Attorney General's Office

Office of Prosecution Services

Department of Public Safety-Missouri State Highway Patrol

Department of Public Safety-Capitol Police

Department of Public Safety-Office of the Director

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations

Missouri Department of Conservation

Office of Administration-General Services

Office of Administration-Purchasing

Office of Administration-Personnel

Office of Administration-Facilities Management, Design and Construction

Department of Revenue

Department of Transportation

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration

Platte County Board of Elections

Jackson County Election Board

St. Louis County

City of Kansas City

City of Columbia

City of Springfield

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 1769

Page 8 of 8 June 28, 2018

SOURCES OF INFORMATION (continued)

State Technical College of Missouri Missouri State University University of Missouri University of Central Missouri

Ross Strope

Acting Director June 28, 2018

Cim A Day