

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 4709-02
Bill No.: HCS for SB 695
Subject: Boards, Commissions, Committees, and Councils
Type: Original
Date: April 30, 2018

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to gubernatorial appointments.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§161.026 & §161.072 Teacher Representative

Officials at the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE)** note the state board of education consists of eight members. This proposal would result in a nine-member state board of education.

The following chart shows total expenditures for the eight members of the board.

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
Board Expenditures	\$76,936	\$70,173	\$73,952	\$70,302	\$71,976
# of Board Members	8	8	8	8	8
Expenditure Per Member	\$9,617	\$8,772	\$9,244	\$8,788	\$8,997

Five year average total	\$45,417
Number of years	5
Average per year	\$9,083

An average of five years yields an expenditure per board member of \$9,083. If the department assumes increased expenses of 3% per year the impact to the department would be \$9,083 in FY 2019, \$9,356 in FY 2020 and \$9,637 in FY 2021.

In response to a previous version, Perfected SB 695 (LR 4709-01), officials from the **Office of the Governor** assume section 161.026 requires the Governor to appoint a teacher representative to the State Board of Education. There should be no added cost to the Governor’s Office as a result of this measure. However, if additional duties are placed on the office related to appointments in other TAFP legislation, there may be the need for additional staff resources in future years.

Oversight assumes the cost noted above by DESE are immaterial. Oversight assumes these costs can be absorbed with existing resources.

§8.003 to 8.017 Missouri State Capitol Commission

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Facilities Management Design and Construction (OA-FMDC)** assume this proposal makes changes to the already existing Second State Capitol Commission. OA-FMDC assumes the following:

ASSUMPTION (continued)

8.003: This proposal changes the commission from eleven to fifteen members - comprising of the commissioner of the office of administration, one member of senate from the majority party appointed by the president pro tempore of the Senate, one member of senate from the minority party appointed by the minority leader of the Senate, one member of the house from majority party appointed by the speaker, one member of the house from the minority party appointed by the minority leader of the house, an employee of the house appointed by the speaker, one employee of the senate appointed by the president pro tempore, two members of the general public appointed by the speaker of the house, two members of the public appointed by the president pro tempore, and four members appointed by the governor.

8.007: This proposal gives the Capitol Commission supervision and control over the Capitol building and grounds and any "annex areas." With annex area for this proposal being stated as the building currently occupied by the Missouri Department of Transportation, located at 105 West Capitol Avenue in Jefferson City. It would also require the Capitol Commission to approve of any "restoration projects" that take place at the Capitol. Since restoration projects is not defined, it is not clear whether this would require the Capitol Commission to approve all routine maintenance and CI projects, or only projects intended to restore the Capitol to its original state. It is unclear what FMDC's role in Capitol maintenance and repair would be under this proposal. It is also unclear how this proposal would interact with section 8.010, RSMo, which provides that the Board of Public Buildings has supervision and control over buildings at the seat of government.

8.015 & 8.017: This proposal makes the Capitol Commission responsible for the assignment of space in the Capitol rather than the Board of Public Buildings.

Oversight assumes OA-FMDC would continue to provide facility management services and, when called for, design and construction services for the impacted state facilities in the same manner as it currently does.

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Accounting Division** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

In response to a similar proposal, SCS for HCS for HB 1605 (LR 4767-03), officials from the **Office of the Governor** assumed section 8.003 increases the number of gubernatorial appointments from four to eight for the Missouri State Capitol Commission. There should be no added cost to the Governor's Office as a result of this measure. However, if additional duties are placed on the office related to appointments in other TAFP legislation, there may be the need for additional staff resources in future years.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

In response to a similar proposal, SCS for HCS for HB 1605 (LR 4767-03), officials from the **Department of Transportation** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective organization.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Missouri House of Representatives** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Bill as a Whole

Officials from the **Missouri Senate** and **Department of Natural Resources** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Missouri Senate
Missouri House of Representatives
Office of the Governor
Office of Administration
 Facilities Management Design & Construction
 Division of Accounting
Missouri Department of Transportation

Ross Strope

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ross Strope", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Acting Director
April 30, 2018