COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4839-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 880

Subject: Taxation and Revenue - General, Political Subdivisions

Type: Original

Date: February 8, 2018

Bill Summary: This proposal requires a tax rate ceiling adjustment after the expiration of

a temporary levy increase.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 4839-01 Bill No. SB 880 Page 2 of 4 February 8, 2018

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019 FY 2020 F					
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0			

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2019 FY 2020 FY 20				
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0			

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials at the **Office of Administration Division of Budget and Planning** assume this proposal clarifies if voters approve an increase to the tax rate ceiling prior to the expiration of a previously approved temporary levy increase, the new tax rate ceiling will only be in effect until the temporary levy increase expires.

Voter approval negates impact to Total State Revenue and the 18(e) calculation. If these changes impact the amount of property tax receipts, then the State's Blind Pension Fund revenue will also be impacted.

Officials at the **Department of Revenue** and the **State Tax Commission** each assume there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials at the City of Kansas City assume there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials at the **Summersville R-II School District** assume a possible negative impact.

Officials at the Wellsville-Middletown R-I School District assume no negative fiscal impact.

Officials at St. Louis County assume there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Oversight assumes this proposal would merely clarify existing statutory requirements and would therefore have no fiscal impact to the state or to local governments

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

L.R. No. 4839-01 Bill No. SB 880 Page 4 of 4 February 8, 2018

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

City of Kansas City
Department of Revenue
Office of Administration
Division of Budget and Planning
State Tax Commission
St. Louis County
Summersville R-II School District
Wellsville-Middletown R-I School District

Ross Strope

Acting Director February 8, 2018

Com Al